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## ANNUAL REPORT FOR 1979

## NTRODUCTION

A Convention on Great Lakes Fisheries, ratified by the Governments of the United States and Canada in 1955 provided for the establishment of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission.

The Commision was given the responsibilities of formulating and coordinating fishery research and management programs, advising governments on measures to improve the fisheries, and implementing a program to control the sea lamprey.

In accordance with Article VI of the Convention, the Commission pursues much of its program through cooperation with existing agencies. Sea lamprey control, a direct Commission responsibility, is carried out under contract with federal agencies in each country.

The Commission has now been in existence for 24 years. Its efforts to control the sea lamprey and reestablish lake trout have, in the main been very successful although inherent problems remain. Residual populations of sea lampreys continue to be a source of mortality. Operational costs and costs of the chemicals used in the sea lamprey control program continue to rise. The need to develop and test alternative and supplementary control methods is urgent. Also, because of environmental considerations, the Commission is obligated to continue its support of research on the immediate and long-term effects of the chemicals being used. Self-sustaining populations of lake trout have not been widely reestablished, and efforts to encourage natural reproduction by lake trout must be intensified.

Through the years of its existence, the Commission has encouraged close cooperation among state, provincial, and federal fisheries agencies on the Great Lakes. Many, and probably most, of the fisheries problems are of concern to all agencies. The development of integrated and mutually acceptable management programs, supported by adequate biological and statistical information is vital. The Commission is gratified with the spirit of interagency cooperation that has developed and anticipates continued cooperation for the benefit of the fishery resource and its users.

Further, recognizing that ultimately the welfare of the fishery resource of the basin depends upon maintaining an environment of the highest possible quality, the Commission, with the support of other fishery agencies, is developing close liaison with those governmenta agencies who have direct responsibility for water quality, pollution abatement, and land use.

The Commission's Annual Meeting was held at Toronto, Ontario, June 26-28, 1979 and its Interim Meeting was convened in Ann Arbor, Michigan, November 27-28, 1979.

## ANNUAL MEETING

## PROCEEDINGS

The twenty-fourth Annual Meeting of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission was held in Toronto, Ontario on June 26-28, 1979.

Commission Chairman K. H. Loftus convened the meeting at 0900 h , and introduced Donald D. Tansley, Deputy Minister of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada, who delivered the welcoming address.

Deputy Minister Tansley listed some recent actions of the Canadian Government toward resolving problems of Great Lakes fisheries: reorganization of the Department of Fisheries and Environment into two separate departments; the appointment of Mr. H. D. Johnston to the position of Assistant Deputy Minister for Pacific and Freshwater Fisheries; and the strengthening of the Fisheries Act with respect to habitat protection. He also described the elements of success in the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) west coast program (mobilize the public, develop overall plan, sell the program to the government as an investment) and offered DFO's assistance in planning a similar program for the Great Lakes.

During the introduction of Commissioners, Chairman Loftus welcomed Mr. H. D. Johnston, who was formally appointed to the Commission shortly after the Annual Meeting.

In his Chairman's Report, Commissioner Loftus summarized activities since the 1978 Annual Meeting with respect to publications, varioús projects, sea lamprey control and assessment, sea lamprey barrier dams, fisheries management and research, interaction with Commission committees, overexploitation of lake trout, the character and value of the Great Lakes fishery, administrative items, and interactions with the IJC and National Marine Fisheries Service. He added that the variety of Commission activities reflected a broadening approach to the Commission's mandate to achieve control of the sea lamprey and to rehabilitate stocks of common concern. In concluding, he noted that the Commission's contribution is merely to coax, lead, and coordinate; most of the credit for Commission activities belongs to the Commission's cooperators.

In a more solemn mood, the attendees paused for a moment of silence and heard eulogies on behalf of four friends who died in the past year-Roger Bodin (long-time member of the Lake Superior Advisory Committee), Don McKernan (a former Commissioner), Cam Stevenson (editor of the Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada), and Lloyd Smith (a former long-time Scientific Advisory Committee member).

Sea Lamprey Control and Research. The Commission accepted reports on sea lamprey control and research during 1978 from its United States and Canadian agents.

Mr. Braem (USFWS) described his agency's progress and findings in 1978 (published elsewhere in this Annual Report) and also reviewed activities during the first half of 1979, including studies on adult sea lamprey and ammocetes, and chemical control plans. He also responded to questions from the audience on the dangers of sea lamprey infestation of the Fox River (Green Bay, Wisconsin), which has improving water quality.

Dr. Tibbles and Messrs. B. J. H. Johnson and S. Dustin delivered the Canadian agent's 1978 Annual Report (published elsewhere in this annual report) and reported on the Sea Lamprey Control Centre's activities in the spring of 1979 which included adult sea lamprey assessment operations, stream surveys, lampricide treatments, sea lamprey barrier dam construction, and a sea lamprey larval growth study.

The annual report of the Hammond Bay Biological Station, summarizing progress since January 1978 (published elsewhere in this annual report), was submitted by Dr. Joseph Hunn, Station Chief (USFWS).

Dr. Fred Meyer (USFWS) summarized the activities of the National Fisheries Research Laboratory (La Crosse) on registration-oriented research on lampricides and other related research.

Commissioner Lawrence presented reports on the status of barrier dam programs in Ontario, the status of approved construction in the United States, proposed barrier dam construction in the United States, and research on required height of barrier dams.

Mr. Bernard Smith (USFWS) reported on the objectives of the Sea Lamprey International Symposium to be held in the summer of 1979, on the papers to be presented, and the organization of the synthesis portion of the symposium. The proceedings will be published in the Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada.

The Commission approved both the 1980 and 1981 Sea Lamprey Control and Research programs and budgets and tentatively approved the Administration and General Research allocations for the two years.

1980
1981
Sea Lamprey Control and Research

Administration and General Research
Total
\$6,079,300 404,600 $\$ 6,483,900$

Management and Research. Various matters pertaining to the fishery resources of the Great Lakes were brought to the attention of the Commission.

Reports from each Lake Committee (Huron, Superior, Michigan, Ontario, Erie) and the Council of Lake Committees covering management and research activities in 1978 and recommendations, were presented by committee chairmen and accepted by the Commission.

Mr. James Warren (USFWS), Chairman of the Fish Disease Control Committee, reviewed the status of fish disease control in the Great Lakes and concerns of the committee. He described the committee's plan for drawing the private hatcheries under the umbrella of the fish disease control program, and for updating the technical procedures and disease classification system for hatcheries.

Mr. Dan Bumgarner (USFWS) reported on progress with the Iron River National Fish Hatchery, noting that a decision of whether or not to issue construction permits will be handed down by a Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources hearing examiner following formal hearing proceedings in August 1979.

Mr. A. Berst (OMNR, retired) reported on planning progress of the Stock Concept Symposium with respect to time (fall 1980) and site (Allison, Ontario), the expected number of participants (75), the program, arrangements for publication in the Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, compilation of a bibliography for use by symposium participants, and the budget.

Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC)-Board of Technical Experts (BOTE). Mr. Andrew H. Lawrie (OMNR), convenor, reviewed the SAC report and recommendations to the Commission. He pointed out that committee membership had been increased and the committee renamed Board of Technical Experts (BOTE) with revised terms of reference. The report addressed Great Lakes Ecosystem Rehabilitation and Restoration (GLERR), contaminants, the protocol on use of general research funds, various research proposals, and some sea lampreyrelated items.

Great Lakes Recreational Fishing Statistics. Dr. Joseph Kutkuhn (USFWS) explained that in fiscal year 1980 the Great Lakes Fishery Laboratory plans to initiate a two year study whose objective will be to develop the technical basis for a standardized, comprehensive system of collecting and centrally managing sports fishery statistics for all Great Lakes (as is done with commercial fishery statistics). The completed
study will be made available for the immediate and future use by any or all fishery management agencies that wish to participate; the USFWS is presently assisting a number of states with their individual initiatives.

Values Associated with Great Lakes Fishing: Approaches to an In-depth Study. In introducing Dr. Dan Talhelm (Michigan State University), Vice-chairman R. L. Herbst stated that establishing defensable economic values for the Great Lakes fishery would be extremely valuable to fish managers for countering the demands of competing users and in selling research and management programs to governments and other funding entities. Dr. Talhelm described the value of the Great Lakes fishery as two-fold, comprising the value of the resource and the role of the resource in the economy, each with several component values, some of which require substantiation.

Michigan's Tribal, State, and Federal Great Lakes Fisheries Task Force. Dr. Joseph Kutkuhn (USFWS) described the duties of the task force appointed by Michigan's governor which resulted from USFWS and Bureau of Indian Affairs deliberations relative to concerns ex pressed by the Commission and others relative to overharvest of lake trout and other species. The terms of reference require the task force to circumscribe geographically the affected areas, rank the affected fish stocks, establish major parameters (growth, mortality, size, rate of replenishment) for each stock, and suggest portions of surplus production required for restoration and portions available to users

Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan (SGLFMP). The Commission accepted a progress report from Mr. Andrew H. Lawrie (OMNR), co-chairman of the SGLFMP Steering Committee, who explained progress to date. In the fall of 1978 senior officials from all agencies with major responsibility for Great Lakes fisheries met as the Committee of the Whole and appointed an interim steering committee to develop recommendations for developing a plan for review by early 1980. The Committee of the Whole accepted the interim steering committee's stated objectives (to identify major problems and develop strategies for dealing with them as a basis for the development of operational plans) and its approach to develop a strategic plan (to identify existing goals and objectives of agencies with responsibility for or impact on Great Lakes fisheries, to examine these for commonalities and differences, to identify fundamental differences as issues to be addressed, to develop strategies for resolving issues, to recognize unreconcilable differences). The Committee of the Whole then appointed a Steering Committee with (optional) representation from all agencies with responsibility for the Great Lakes fishery, to be cochaired by Mr. Lawrie (OMNR) and Mr. William Pearce (NYDEC). Mr Lawrie reviewed the progress of the Steering Committee, noting that goals, objectives, and issues would be identified by mid-September 1979. The Steering Committee would then direct its attention to the strategies.

Feasibility Study: Great Lakes Ecosystem Rehabilitation and Restoration (GLERR). Commission Chairman Loftus expressed the Commission's pleasure that the feasibility report for rehabilitating Great Lakes ecosystems, originally suggested by the Scientific Advisory Committee in 1977, is now complete and will be published. The Commission accepted the report delivered by its editors, Dr. George Francis (University of Waterloo), Dr. John J. Magnuson (University of Wisconsin-Madison), Dr. Henry Regier (University of Toronto), and Dr. Dan Talhelm (Michigan State University). The chapters of the publication address the background and overview of the study, lake ecology, historical uses and consequences, rehabilitation methods, socioeconomic feasibility of rehabilitation, institutional arrangements for rehabilitation, rehabilitating particular ecosystems, and recommendations. The subsequent discussions strongly praised the report, identified a need for the Great Lakes Fishery Commission and the International Joint Commission to pursue ecosystem rehabilitation goals in a mutually supportive way, the need for a popular version of the report, and its usefulness as a resource document for development of the Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan.

Extended Navigation-A Status Report. Chairman Loftus expressed the Commission's concern over the unknown nature of the environmental impacts that winter navigation may have on fisheries and explained that the participants on the panel have been asked to address specific questions.

Colonel M. D. Remus, Detroit District Engineer for the Corps of Engineers, described the background of the extended navigation proposal leading to the demonstration program and the preparation of a final survey report on the feasibility of extended navigation which will be submitted to the Congress after review by the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors. Accompanying the Final Survey Report will be an environmental impact statement which embraces a program of environmental action based on the "adaptive method." Total cost of extended navigation is estimated at $\$ 2.3$ to $\$ 4$ billion. Colonel Remus described the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway Navigation Season Extension Program, the St. Lawrence Seaway Additional Locks Study, and the Great Lakes Connecting Channels and Harbors Study as closely coordinated and interrelated but not interdependent.

Mr. George Griebenow (USFWS), coordinator of the Environmental Assessment of Great Lakes Ecosystems (EAGLE) team established by the USFWS under a memorandum of understanding with the Corps of Engineers, described EAGLE's function as involving state and public interest groups in the planning process, and transferring
${ }^{1}$ Rehabilitating Great Lakes Ecosystems, edited by George R. Francis, John J. Magnuson, Henry A. Regier, and Daniel R. Talhelm. December 1979. Great Lakes Fishery Commission Technical Report 37, 99 pp.
scientific data in language understandable to the Congress and vested interest groups. He listed some of the studies being conducted by USFWS as part of the environmental plan of action and added that the USFWS is a free agent and is prepared to recommend a moratorium on construction if warranted.

Mr. D. E. Gage (OMNR) stated that Canadian participation had been nonexistent, and recommended that the IJC be requested to review the winter navigation proposal, and that Canada's Department of External Affairs be approached officially by the U.S. State Department. He discussed the hydrodynamic effects of vessel passage in channels, ice jamming, and Ontario's concerns with respect to the environment, riparian interests, and generation of hydroelectric power.

Dr. Paul Nickel (Great Lakes Basin Commission) described state concerns as expressed at a Basin Commission-sponsored symposium to discuss the results of the Basin Commission's economic analysis of the Corps' survey report on winter navigation. The Basin Commission's analysis suggested that less costly alternatives to winter navigation for increasing capacity be examined; that benefits and costs should be recalculated; that regional economic benefits related to jobs were overstated; and that the extent of shippers' use of the waterway during the season extension was still in question.

Mr. James Fish (Great Lakes Commission) is a member of the Winter Navigation Board and his agency has supported the extended navigation study and demonstration program. He stated that the Corps of Engineers has attempted to respond to identified problems and changes occuring in the demonstration program and added that winter navigation in one form or another has been practiced for years and is not a complete unknown with respect to environmental costs.

Present and Future Roles of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) in the Development of the Great Lakes Fisheries. Dr. B. Rothschild (NOAA) reviewed a broad spectrum of his agency's activities in the Great Lakes region including the services of the National Weather Service, charting of the Great Lakes, Sea Grant research, the Fisheries Assistance Program of the Office of Coastal Zone Management, the Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory program of limnological studies, and support of the Great Lakes Basin Commission's Great Lakes Information Service. He proposed that senior officals from his agency with responsibility for the Great Lakes meet regularly with those associated with the Great Lakes Fishery Commission to discuss how NOAA's activities can best be interfaced with the Commission's fishery management and rehabilitation efforts.

Mr. J. T. Everett, Chief of Fishery Development for NMFS, reviewed the policy announcement on fishery development in the U.S. which was released in May of 1979. Two major elements of the policy were the fostering of cooperation among the fishing industry, regional
planning, and various levels of government. Comprehensive programs will be initiated to improve access to foreign markets, ease the regulatory burden on the fishing industry, supply information on the industry to financial institutions, improve product safety and quality, and develop new technology. The administration will propose fisheries development legislation which will allow funding of cooperative efforts as proposed by groups such as the Great Lakes Fishery Development Foundation.

Project Quinte: An Example of Federal, Provincial, and Academic Cooperation. Mr. Jack Christie (OMNR) described the Bay of Quinte, its problems of cultural eutrophication and changes in fish fauna. Project Quinte, whose personnel are scientists from the provincial and federal governments and universities, has as its goal the improvement of water quality and fish stocks, with the first step through control of municipal phosphate loading. Dr. John Cooley (DFO) added some comments on the unique nonscientific aspects of Project Quinte such as the cooperation of independent agencies in a project with no special budget, the existence of a common user data pool, and the success of a grass roots organization of self-directed inspired co-equals. He also explained how nutrient loading is affected by waterflow, speculated on the recovery of the fisheries, and suggested further action which may become necessary as local populations increase.

Report on Contaminant Research Needs in the Great Lakes. The Commission accepted a report from its Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC) on the subject. The Commission had charged SAC to determine if current research on contaminants was adequate to assess the effects of contaminants on Great Lakes fish. The SAC presented this and several related questions to 25 acknowledged experts in this area. The majority responded and were unanimous that the quantity and quality of current research were inadequate to demonstrate the effects of contaminants on Great Lakes fish. The respondents also identified research inadequacies, research needs, and research strategies. Following the report given by Mr. Vic Cairns (DFO), Commissioner Johnson added that the bottom line is that we must ensure that Great Lakes fish are edible, and that no harmful contaminants are introduced to the system.

The 1978 Canada-U.S. Water Quality Agreement. In his review of the 1978 Canada-U.S. Water Quality Agreement, Mr. Ken Oakley, Director of the Great Lakes Regional Office, International Joint Commission, discussed its purpose policy, specific and general objectives, and programs with respect to municipal and industrial discharges, pollution from agriculture and land uses, airborn pollutants, eutrophication, persistent toxic substances, and surveillance and monitoring.

National Section Meetings. Commissioner Ver Duin, Chairman of the U.S. Section, reported on four topics discussed at the U.S. Section meeting: changes in fish health services by the USFWS, reduction in federal funds for anadromous fish programs, the Indian fishery, and the
official aninouncement by the FDA that the tolerance level for PCBs in fish would be lowered from 5 to 2 ppm .

Commissioner Johnson, Chairman of the Canadian Section, summarized the proceedings of the Canadian Section meeting. The Canadian Section was pleased to hear that the Commission was producing a 25 th anniversary information package detailing its progress and aspirations, and urged that money and staff be made available for its preparation. They were pleased with the proposed Commission letter to the Department of External Affairs supporting nondestructive testing of the St. Marys River compensating works and that the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources will look into the possibility of using dredge spoils from the St. Marys River to build an artificial reef for whitefish. In light of recent decisions in the United States with respect to native fisheries and its repercussions on management, members of the Canadian Section expressed the intention to brief themselves on Canadian Indian rights. The Canadian Section, concerned over the winter navigation program, urged the Commission to assume a state of preparedness in case it becomes necessary for the Commission to take action. In addition, the group discussed barrier dam construction for sea lamprey control and introduced Mr. Mac McKenzie, the newly hired manager for the Ontario Council of Commercial Fisheries.

Administrative and Executive Decisions. Chairman Loftus summarized executive action which included responses to various committee recommendations.

The Commission:

## General

Approved the programs and budgets for Sea Lamprey Control and Research and tentative budgets for Administration and General Research for fiscal years 1980 and 1981.

Made funds available for hiring replacement staff which will allow OMNR employees to participate more actively in the development of the Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan.

Referred a Great Lakes Basin Commission resolution on the management of hazardous and toxic substances to developers of the Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan.

Appointed an ad hoc committee to develop an information package in commemoration of the Commission's 25th anniversary.

Will send letters to the U.S. State Department and the Canadian Department of External Affairs urging that the Canadian Department of Public Works proceed on nondestructive testing of the Lake Superior compensating works (St. Marys Rapids).

Will encourage National Marine Fisheries Service to undertake a study in the Great Lakes on PCBs which would be similar in nature to "Report on the Chance of U.S. Sea Food Consumers Exceeding the

Current Acceptable Daily Intake of Mercury and Recommended Regulatory Controls" which was a key factor in the legal decision to increase the acceptable residue level of mercury in fish from 0.5 to 1.0 ppm .

Will review the terms of reference of all the Commission committees.

## Publications

Initiated a review of the present system of editing technical report manuscripts to facilitate speedier publication.

Approved publication of "Illustrated Field Guide for Classification of Sea Lamprey Attack Marks on Great Lakes Lake Trout" by E. L. King, Jr. and T. A. Edsall.

Will publish the Lake Michigan Committee's final report of the Chub Technical Committee in the Technical Report Series

## Sea Lamprey Control and Research

Determined that in spite of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans freeze on capital expenditures, barrier dam construction in Canada may be able to proceed with special dispensation from the Deputy Minister, and requested from its Canadian sea lamprey control agent an outline of 1979-1981 barrier dam plans as well as discussion of anticipated problems.

Approved funding for construction of a barrier dam on the Middle River, Wisconsin.

Hired a formulations chemist to examine current techniques of lampricide application and to define problems and processes associated with development of bottom lampricides.

Developed a policy for amending the Memorandum of Agreement between the Commission and its Canadian and U.S. agents.

Contracted with the USFWS National Fishery Research Laboratory for research into the effect of environmental conditions on the activity of lampricides and their effects on nontarget fish species.

Responses to other sea lamprey related recommendations which emanated from lake committees will be found under responses to Lake Committees.

## Fisheries Management

Requested Drs. Kutkuhn and Hartman (USFWS), authors of "Inventory of Great Lakes Fish Stock Assessment Needs," to append a synopsis of state and provincial comments on the subject document.

Will review Dr. Gleason's (Lake Superior State College) progress and plans in development of hatchery techniques in production of whitefish to 100 mm in length, and consider for possible funding at the next executive meeting.

Other fishery management items may be found under responses to Lake Committee recommendations.

## Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC)

Charged the SAC to determine information needs and methods of acquiring and packaging recreational fishing statistics.

Refered to SAC the question of Commission sponsorship of a study to determine current values of the Great Lakes fishery.

Hired Dr. Dan Talhelm (Michigan State University) to develop a "state of the knowledge" (status report) of Great Lakes commercial and recreational fishery economic values.

Looked with favor on recommendations for extension of Great Lakes Ecosystem Restoration and Rehabilitation activities, and requested two Commissioners (with staff support) to work with GLERR components to develop specific terms of reference.

Decided to publish the GLERR report in the technical report series.
Looked forward to the SAC's further refinement of its report on the adequacy of contaminant research and congratulated Messrs. Johnson, Kevern, and Cairns on an auspicious beginning.

## Lake Committees and Council of Lake Committees

The Scientific Advisory Committee and each Lake Committee had been asked, "Is the Commission assuming an adequate role in the development and coordination of the Great Lakes fisheries research programs?" A variety of responses and suggestions were received. The Commission requested advice of the Scientific Advisory Committee on implementation of Lake Committee ideas, encouraged Lake Committees to proceed with internal assignments to meet their own needs, and charged the Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan Steering Committee to incorporate research needs in relation to accomplishing goals and objectives in the plan.

## Lake Superior Committee

The Lake Superior Committee recommended that the Commission take a stronger lead in developing more efficient means of sea lamprey control which will meet the challenge of changing attitudes and new problems. The commission responded that it is sponsoring the Sea Lamprey International Symposium in 1979 which will provide a forum for $90-100$ experts to address the sea lamprey problem in the Great Lakes. The Commission expects to receive recommendations which will improve current approaches to control as well as innovative directions to pursue.

## Lake Michigan Committee

In response to the Lake Michigan Commmittee recommendation that the Commission accelerate efforts to develop better bottom toxicants for large rivers and estuarine systems such as the St. Marys River, and anticipated problems in the Fox River system, the Commission related that efforts to improve bottom lampricides were underway with a formulations chemist and Hammond Bay Biological Station personnel cooperating to develop improved formulations.

Both the Lake Michigan and Lake Huron Committees urged the Commission to develop an acceptable sea lamprey sterilant as an adjunct to the ongoing control program. The Commission responded that it is aware that the sterile male technique to control sea lamprey has been demonstrated successfully except that there in no "approved" sterilant available for wide scale use. Bisazir, the compound used experimentally, may not be acceptable for regular field use. Investigations have also been conducted on the possibility of using immunosterilization techniques, which stimulated the Commission to request an in-depth report on the state of the art and status of sterilants.

The Lake Michigan Committee encouraged the Commission to press for a meeting of interested agencies to assess and develop feasible methods of sea lamprey control for the newly accessible Fox River system (Green Bay, Wisconsin). The Commission reported that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Corps of Engineers, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, and the Fox Valley Water Quality Planning Agency met in 1979 to discuss the problem of preventing sea lamprey movement into the watershed. The meeting recommended that the "USFWS consider a feasibility study for sea lamprey control on the Fox River, with emphasis on limiting adult migration by closure or regulation of the navigation locks or by some other means."

Both the Lake Michigan and Lake Huron Committees recommended that the Commission discontinue the use of electric assessment weirs and encourage the agents to use portable assessment traps which will greatly broaden the base of the assessment program. The Commission approved the discontinuation of electric assessment barriers and approved the control agents' expanded use of portable assessment traps which can be used on all the Great Lakes.

## Lake Huron Committee

Two recommendations of the Lake Huron Committee were similar to those of the Lake Michigan Committee; responses are listed under the Lake Michigan Committee.

## Lake Erie Cominittee

The Lake Erie Committee recommended that the Commission increase sea lamprey assessment in Lake Erie in 1979 through 1981 and begin chemical treatment in 1982, if warranted. The Commission responded that it is aware that improving water quality in tributary streams to Lake Erie is increasing the spawning and nursery areas available to sea lamprey. The Commission's agents have increased survey efforts and the Commission will request them to intensify their efforts where possible, extend to Lake Erie the use of portable assessment traps which will provide counts and biological information on spawning runs to assess changes and provide baseline data if lampricide treatments are eventually scheduled for Lake Erie streams.

## Lake Ontario Committee

The Lake Ontario Committee recommended that the Sea Lamprey Control Units and cooperating agencies intensify assessment in 1979, and the appropriate 1979 data be reported in advance of the November (1979) Interim Meeting to the ad hoc committee of Lake Ontario and Oneida Lake. In responding, the Commission declined to request program changes of its control units and cooperators at such a late date, "but did request that the appropriate 1979 data be made available early to the ad hoc committee which would be requested to reconvene in November. The Commission expected the committee to determine and recommend future assessment and control action to the Commission relative to Lake Ontario and Oneida Lake.

In response to the Lake Ontario recommendation that the Commission provide a compendium of available knowledge and criteria for the construction of sea lamprey control barrier dams, the Commission will defer action on this request until after the Sea Lamprey International Symposium since that topic will be addressed there. Further, the Commission is awaiting results on barrier dam research. With symposium recommendations and research results in hand, the Commission will consider appointment of a small group to develop the compendium and consolidate the appropriate knowledge and criteria for construction of sea lamprey barrier dams.

The Lake Ontario Committee recommended that the Commission contract to determine economic values of Great Lakes sport and commercial fisheries. The Commission responded that it has already contracted a short term study to assemble existing data and is considering, as a Great Lakes Ecosystem Restoration and Rehabilitation followup, the scope and directions appropriate to a study of greater depth to assess values of Great Lakes fisheries and aquatic communities and strategies for which this information is vital.

The Lake Ontario Committee recommended and the Council of Lake Committees endorsed a program related to the Stock Concept

Symposium (STOCS) to determine the best lake trout stocks for each of the Great Lakes. In responding, the Commission recognized this as an important target for which STOCS will help establish the scientific rationale and suggest methodology.

The Lake Ontario Committee drew to the Commission's attention the proposed American Eel Workshop and the possibility of a request for financial support. The Commission agreed to provide the funding upon receipt of a formal request.

The Lake Ontario Committee requested, and the Council of Lake Committees endorsed, that the commission require the minutes of annual, interim, and lake committee meetings to be printed and distributed within 90 days. The Commission stated that it would do its best toward timely publication of reports. Further, the Commission requested each lake committee chairman to supply a biologist to attend the lake committee meeting for the purpose of taking notes and producing minutes from the notes and recording tape made available by the Secretariat. The Secretariat will circulate the draft minutes for review and package the minutes for distribution. The Commission will pay travel expenses of one, and perhaps two, such persons to each lake committee meeting.

## Council of Lake Committees

The Council of Lake Committees recommended that a work group develop a protocol for using coded wire tags and evaluating experimental programs. In response, the Commission asked the Council of Lake Committees to develop terms of reference and membership for such a committee, and recommended that the board of Technical Experts (BOTE) be represented on the committee.

The Council of Lake Committees requested the Commission to examine the effects of contaminants on fish and on people. The Commission responded that it is attempting to obtain funds for fishery mation for contaminant monitoring programs, and a meshing of inforeffect for both IJC and Commission use. The BOTE is considering the habilitative efforts. ants on fish populations which may constrain remeasuring efforts. A workshop is planned to address the question of Manaring ecosystem health. The Strategic Great Lakes Fisheries Further, effects will address strategies for dealing with the problems. the National people is implied in the new Commission requests to and Oceans Canada Fisheries Service, in consultation with Fisheries and the rationale and to critically examine the current PCB guidelines,

Other Business. Mr. Kodology behind guideline setting. Lake Superior Band of Chipp Andrews (Tribal Council member of the Inter-tribal Council) of Chippewa, Executive Director the Great Lakes of the lakes." Mr. Andrered "a message from the traditional keeper lakes." Mr. Andrews requested that the Commission maintain
contact with the native community and that the Commission recommend appointment to the Commission of representatives of the Indian tribes surrounding the Great Lakes. In responding, Vice-chairman Herbst noted that he will ask the Commission staff to review communications and liaison status with the Indian community, and report back to the Commission any recommendations for strengthening that communication. With regard to the appointment of Indian representatives to the Commission, he explained that the Commissioners cannot recommend appointees but would refer Mr. Andrews request to those who make the appointments, the U.S. President and the Canadian Privy Council.

Adjournment. The Chairman informed the delegates that the next annual meeting will be held in Duluth, Minnesota on June 3-5, 1980.

There being no further business, Chairman Loftus adjourned the meeting at 1230 h , June 28, 1979.

## INTERIM MEETING

## PROCEEDINGS

The Great Lakes Fishery Commission's twenty-fourth Interim Meeting was convened in Ann Arbor, Michigan, on November 27-28, 1979, to review programs, budgets, and achievements of the preceding six months, and to consider activities of its various committees.

Sea Lamprey Control and Research. Commissioner Lawrence re ported on the status of current and proposed barrier dam construction projects.

Reports on 1979 sea lamprey wounding rates on lake trout, salmon and whitefish were presented on Lakes Superior, Michigan, Huron, and Ontario.

Progress reports on sea lamprey control operations in the United States and Canada for 1979 were presented by the agents. Problem areas such as the St. Louis River, Fox River, and the St. Marys River, and the effect of chemicals on invertebrates such as Hexagenia spp. continue to be of concern.

Also presented were reports covering sea lamprey research at Hammond Bay Biological Station (hormonal sterilization, predator-prey ratios, resistance to lampricide), Monell Chemical Senses Center (chemical attractants), and La Crosse National Fishery Research ormulation (registration of lampricides and sterilant bisazir, TFM The Sations, TFM soil-binding).
The Sea Lamprey International Symposium had been held as scheduled on July 29-August 8, 1979 at Marquette, Michigan. Much hitherto unavailable data will be published, and SLIS conclusions and improvendations will give the GLFC directions to consider in the better apprecif sea lamprey control and enhancement of fish stocks. A fostered in the mina sea lamprey control and its importance has been consider in the minds of scientists here and abroad who will now

The comtrol implications as they conduct their research.
1980 and 1981 . 980 and 1981. Appropriations for fiscal year 1980 are as follows:

|  | U.S. | Canada | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sea Lamprey Control and Research | $\$ 3,827,200$ | $\$ 1,719,400$ | $\$ 5,546,600$ |
| Administration and General Research | $-181,500$ | $\underline{181,500}$ | $\underline{363,000}$ |
| Total | $\$ 4,008,700$ | $\$ 1,900,900$ | $\$ 5,909,600$ |

Requested appropriations for fiscal year 1981 are as follows:

|  | U.S. | Canada | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sea Lamprey Control and Research | $\$ 4,194,700$ | $\$ 1,884,600$ | $\$ 6,079,300$ |
| Administration and General Research | $\underline{202,300}$ |  | 202,300 |
|  | $\boxed{\$ 4,397,000}$ |  | $\boxed{\$ 2,086,900}$ |

The sea lamprey control and research program includes lampricide treatments on Lakes Superior, Michigan, Huron, and Ontario, the operation of electric weirs on Lake Huron, the expanded use of portable assessment traps on Great Lakes tributaries, sea lamprey stream surveys on all the Great Lakes including portions of the Finger LakesOswego River system in New York, research at Hammond Bay Biological Station and National Fishery Research Laboratory, La Crosse, and a continuation of the barrier dam construction program.

For fiscal year 1981 a budget was submitted to the State Department which calls for continuation of sea lamprey control on Lakes Ontario, Huron, Michigan, and Superior, stream surveys for larval sea lampreys, use of portable assessment traps on Great Lakes tributaries, research to assess immediate and long-term effects of lampricides in the environment, research to improve present control techniques, and continuation of barrier dam construction on selected streams to prevent sea lamprey access to problem areas and reducing application costs and the use of expensive lampricides.

Fish Management and Research. The following groups reported on progress in discharging their respective mandates: Steering Committee for the Stock Concept Symposium (STOCS), organizers of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources/Great Lakes Fishery Commissionorganized American Eel Workshop, and the Steering Committee for drafting the Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan (SGLFMP). STOCS is scheduled to be held in Alliston, Ontario, on the 29th of September through 9 October 1980, and the American Eel Workshop will be held 5 through 7 February 1980 in Toronto, Ontario. The SGLFMP Steering Committee, having identified common goals of Great Lakes fish management agencies, and issues or impediments to realization of those goals, now plans to develop strategies for resolution of those issues, and submit the Plan (goals, issues, strategies) for Great Lakes fish management agencies' review and, hopefully, adoption.

The following committees of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission gave brief reports on their activities and recommendations: Lake

Ontario Committee (lake trout eggs discovered near Henderson Harbor); Lake Erie Committee (Lake Erie Fish Community Workshop, Lake St. Clair research and management coordination meeting, and Standing Technical Committee meetings on interagency management of Lake Erie yellow perch and walleye stocks); Lake Michigan Committee (interagency coho salmon tagging study); Lake Superior committee; and the Scientific Advisory Committee, which among other items, recommended that a workshop be convened to examine in a pragmatic and scientifically sound way how determination of health and well-being of aquatic communities, for example in the presence of potential contaminants, should be carried out in the Great Lakes system.

The Lake Superior Advisory Committee (LSAC) made recommendations to the U.S. Section of the Commission on Lake Superior fish management (St. Louis River management opportunities, need for interagency approach to siscowet and pink salmon, suggested strains of lake trout broodstock for Iron River National Fish Hatchery, propagation of herring and whitefish), representation and recruitment of LSAC members, and the appropriateness and desirability of state management authority in regulating Great Lakes fisheries

The Commission was apprised of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's progress in construction of the Iron River National Fish Hatchery, a facility which will greatly enhance lake trout rehabilitation efforts in the Great Lakes.

One of the Commission's major objectives is lake trout rehabilitation, an objective whose realization is subject to stresses such as habitat quality, interaction with introduced species, and exploitation. For this reason the Commission provided a forum for discussion of the Indian fishery and its impact on Great Lakes fishery management. Elmer Nitschke (Field Solicitor, Department of the Interior) discussed treaty history in the Great Lakes area and responsibilities of the Department of the Interior. Howard Tanner (Director, Michigan Department of Natural Resources) reviewed his agency's concerns that its success in rehabilitation, achieved through water quality improvements, sea lamprey control and restoration of a predator-prey balance through stocking and control of harvest, may be compromised if its authority to allocate harvest is eroded through court action. Joseph Kutkuhn (Director, USFWS Great Lakes Fishery Laboratory) discussed the contents of a "Data Inventory" which was just produced by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, and USFWS which identifies resources of interest in each of the three Upper Great Lakes (lake trout, lake whitefish, bloater chub, lake herring), determines how these perform (growth, mortality, reproduction, etc.), and synthesizes this information in order to estimate what is available for harvest. Also described were management options compatible with the objectives of self-sustaining stocks, a put-and-take fishery, and an intermediate condition. Bruce Green (Attorney, Native American

Rights Fund) discussed recent litigation with regard to the 1836 treaty ceding tribal territory, and current Indian fishing practices and regulations.

Administrative and Executive Actions. In the six months preceding and including the Interim Meeting, the Great Lakes Fishery Commission has:

1) Developed projects such as symposia, the Great Lakes Ecosystem Rehabilitation (GLER) document, and the Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan (SGLFMP)
a) held the Sea Lamprey International Symposium at Marquette, Michigan in the summer of 1979.
b) provided up to $\$ 5,000$ to pay travel expenses of European and east coast experts without agency support in order that they may participate in the American Eel Workshop to be held February 1980 in Ontario.
c) funded $(\$ 59,000)$ GLER Phase II, with the purpose of fostering rehabilitation of Great Lakes ecosystems, and encouraged the consideration of new approaches for describing the socio-economics of the Great Lakes fishery.
d) offered to entertain a proposal from Dr. N. Kevern (Michigan State University), Mr. V. Cairns (DFO) and Dr. M. G. Johnson (DFO) for a workshop on how best to determine the health and well-being of aquatic communities.
2) Published
a) accepted the following for publication in the Technical Report Series
No. 39. Minimum Size Limits for Yellow Perch in Western Lake Erie, by J. Kutkuhn, W. Hartman, S. Nepszy, and R. Scholl.
Parasitic Fauna of Commercially Important and Associated Fish Species from Lake Erie, by A. Dechtiar and S. Nepszy.
b) and published and distributed the following Technical Reports
No. 33. Distribution and Ecology of Lampreys in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, 1957-75, by Robert H. Morman. April 1979. 59 pp.

No. 34. Effects of Granular 2', 5-dichloro-4'-nitrosalicylanilide (Bayer 73) on Benthic Macroinvertebrates in a Lake Environment, by Phillip A. Gilderhus. May 1979. Pages I-5

No. 34. Efficacy of Antimycin for Control of Larval Sea Lampreys (Petromyzon marinus) in lentic habitats, by Philip A. Gilderhus. May 1979. Pages 6-17

No. 35. Variations in Growth, Age at Transformation, and Sex Ratio of Sea Lampreys Reestablished in Chemically Treated Tributaries of the Upper Great Lakes, by Harold A. Purvis. May 1979. 36 pp .
c) distributed for fish management agencies' comments

Mimeo. Report 79-1. Current Estimates of Great Lakes Fisheries Values: 1979 Status Report, by D. Talhelm, R. Bishop, K. Cox, N. Smith, D. Steinnes, and A. Tuomi. Great Lakes Fishery Commission, Ann Arbor, MI. 17 pp.
3) Improved sea lamprey control and assessment
a) initiated audit of both U.S. and Canadian sea lamprey control and research operations (program, staffing, legalities, budget) by in-
viting representatives on the audit trol viting representatives on the audit team from USFWS, DFO, OMNR, a
state through the Council of Chamut, DFO, will chair Lake Committees, and the Commission. Pat Chamut, DFO, will chair and Aarne Lamsa will represent the Com-
mission.
b) assisted the Lake Champlain Fish and Wildlife Managemen Cooperative with their assessment of Lake Champlain ammocete populations, by providing granular Bayer 73 and advice on application.
c) requested the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to evaluate the seriousness of the effects of lampricides on aquatic invertebrate popufindings, initiate a program to alleviates, and on the basis of early
4) Sponsored research
a) instructed the Secretariat to develop a new procedure for handling unsolicited requests for subsidization of research, publications, Workshops and symposia. The process will include a Scientific Advisory Commisise evaluation prior to the Interim Meeting, at which time the
b) accepted W. D Youngs's are to be funded.

Dams to Spawning Sea Lamprey Migration,", "Evaluation of Barrier barrier dams.
c) contracted with chemist R. Monroe for development of

Bayer 73 formulations for bottom. Monroe, for development of new
d) funded $\$ 35,000$ fortom release.

National Fishery Rean for each of two years) USFWS La Crosse effects of environmental conditions
e) funded $(\$ 33,000)$ Brussard's (Corne activity of lampricides.
of Minnesota) research on the brussard's (Cornell U.) and Spangler's (U
f) funded $(\$ 6,000)$ Nancy Aunetics of sea lamprey populations. a description manual of Great Lakes (Urval fishes. $\$ 6,00$ ) Nan) preparation of
g) funded ( $\$ 6,000$ ) Koonce (Case Western Reserve U.) and Shuter's (OMNR) further development of a stochastic model of fish populations.
5) Interacted with its committees
a) is reviewing terms of reference for the Lake Committees, Council of Lake Committees, Fish Disease Control Committee, and the Scientific Advisory Committee for approval.
b) instructed the Great Lakes Fish Disease Control Committee to review recent revisions to the American Fisheries Society's fish disease manual and determine whether changes are required in the Commission's fish disease control model.
6) Initiated public relations activities, and reacted to changes in staff
a) secured the services of OMNR, USFWS, and DFO personnel in publicizing Interim and Annual Meetings of the Commission.
b) in honor of the Commission's 25th anniversary began development of an updated informational brochure describing the Commission's program and accomplishments, offered to co-sponsor with the IJC, OMNR, OME and DFO a television documentary on the Great Lakes, and is considering other steps to provide information on the Commission's role.
c) accepted the resignation of Bill Maxon, the Commission's Chief Administrative Officer, who is now employed by the USFWS in Washington, D.C.
d) are in the process of hiring a senior scientist for fishery resources who will work closely with cooperators on Commission activities as a resource person and facilitator.
7) Communicated with external entities
a) encouraged U.S. and Canadian federal governments in modification of the U.S. and Canadian Hunting and Fishing Surveys in order that they may be made more relevant to the Great Lakes.
b) responded to the Sport Fishing Institute's urging of the Commission to collect baseline economic data describing the economic activity of recreational fishermen around the Great Lakes, by describing the Commission's "double-barreled" approach which incorporates short term (the Talhelm report) and long term (GLER, SGLFMP) answers to economic questions.
c) met with the International Joint Commission (IJC) on 7 September for discussion of several topics of mutual interest such as the comparative thrust and roles of the Commission, the Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan and the Feasibility Study on Great Lakes Ecosystem Rehabilitation. Discussion of topics of concern led to action items which IJC is considering:
i) The Commission asked why there was not direct fishery representation on the IJC's Great Lakes Levels Advisory Board and what could be done to expand the membership. (The Commission will recommend to appointing bodies that persons with fishery expertise be appointed to the Lake Levels Advisory Board.)
ii) The Commission asked IJC for their reaction to the Commission recommending to governments a reference to IJC under the Boundary Waters Treaty to examine the effects of airborne pollutants. (The Commission will write to the U.S. and Canadian governments regarding its concern that approaches to atmospheric pollution are too often restricted to acid rain, neglecting for example transport of toxics, and also too often dwell on the atmospheric aspect to the exclusion of subsequent water pollution. Given the urgency of the problem the Commission will recommend that a reference on atmospheric pollution be given to the IJC under the Boundary Waters Act pending a binational air treaty.)
iii) The Commission requested an IJC-sponsored review of state-provincial and federal toxic substances legislation and its implementation in terms of potential vs. real effectiveness in meeting the aims of the 1978 Water Quality Agreement, particularly Annex 12.
iv) The Commission recommended to IJC a review of surveillance programs with the suggestion that the two Commissions and their cooperators were joint clients of the data produced. A second thrust of the review would involve strategies to evaluate ecosystem health.
v) The Commission asked IJC if it wished to write jointly to the Canadian government urging financial commitment to the nondestructive testing of the Lake Superior compensating works. This is in connection with minimum flows over the St. Marys Rapids to protect fish food organisms and the fishery, and the construction of remedial works. (The Commission will send a letter on behalf of both Commissions restating the need for remedial works on the St. Marys Rapids.)
vi) The above discussion led to IJC's request for an opinion from the Commission on the various water release plans from Lake Superior being considered. The Commission responded that it would not object to plan 77 provided that the timing and extent of critical low flow conditions in the rapids would not deteriorate aquatic habitat beyond that which would have occurred under alternate plans. IJC opted for plan 77.

Adjournment. After announcing that the 1980 Annual Meeting would be convened in Duluth, Minnesota, 3-5 June 1980, and that the 1980 Interim Meeting was scheduled for 2-3 December 1980 in Toronto, Ontario, the Chairman adjourned the meeting.

## SUMMARY OF MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH ${ }^{1}$

## SEA LAMPREY INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM (SLIS)

The Sea Lamprey International Symposium (SLIS) was the fifth in a series of symposia sponsored by the Great Lakes Fishery Commission. The sea lamprey control program, directed by the Commission, is one of the largest and most intensive efforts to control a vertebrate predator ever attempted.

In the belief that every program needs occasional close examination and can be improved, the Commission appointed a steering committee in 1975 to plan and implement a sea lamprey symposium. The main objectives of SLIS were threefold. First was the organization, consolidation, and publication of information on sea lamprey control and associated research. Second was to assemble experts in specialty areas involving lampreys to exchange knowledge and ideas to bring everyone to a common plateau of understanding, and finally to provide a forum for the participating scientists to develop new imaginative initiatives and stimulate new vigor in dealing with the effort to control sea lamprey predation and understand fish-lamprey interactions. With the firm conviction that the three objectives could be achieved and that many informative and valuable recommendations would be forth-coming, SLIS was held at Northern Michigan University, Marquette, Michigan, from July 30 to August 8, 1979.

The symposium was designed to be a workshop-type conference, and every attempt was made to provide a comfortable, informal atmosphere conducive to free interchange of information. Eighty-four participants from Australia, Canada, Denmark, England, Finland, Malaysia, Poland, Scotland, Sweden, and the United States assembled for 10 days and worked long and hard to ensure that the objectives of SLIS were met.

The proceddings of the symposium will be published in 1980 as a special issue of the Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Bernard R. Smith chaired the symposium steering committee.

## STRATEGIC GREAT LAKES FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN

In February 1979 the Committee of the Whole, composed of senior administrators from Great Lakes state, provincial, and federal resource agencies, met in Detroit, Michigan to appoint and charge a permanent steering committee, which would have responsibility for drafting a Strategic Fishery Management Plan for the Great Lakes (SGLFMP). The steering committee would consist of representatives from each state, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, the Province of Ontario, and the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans. The steering committee would then elect from each country co-chairmen, who would assign work groups and oversee the development of a plan

At their first meeting the steering committee elected Dr. A. H. Lawrie and Mr. William Pearce co-chairmen. The steering committee and two work groups met frequently during 1979. One work group was assigned responsibility to develop goals and objectives, and the other was to determine major issues affecting fishery resources. Eventually, the work groups would be merged to develop strategic procedures. Both work groups presented progress reports to the Committee of the Whole at the 1979 interim meeting in Ann Arbor.

## REPORTS FROM LAKE COMMITTEES

Research and management aimed at enhancing the productivity of the Great Lakes fisheries continues to be coordinated through the lak committees, umbrella organizations under the aegis of the Commission The lake committees provide a forum for exchange of data and coordination of fishery programs on stocks of common concern within the Convention Area. Because of an increasing investment in fishery management programs and a rapid growth in the value of the fisheries, the level of participation and involvement in lake committee affairs by the eight Great Lakes states, the Province of Ontario and the two federal governments has been accelerating.

New lake committee initiatives in 1979 included the following: an ad hoc committee was formed to identify stocks of common concern assess current programs, and develop fishery management schemes for Lake St. Clair and the connecting waters of the St. Clair and Detroit Rivers; an Atlantic Salmon Work Group was organized to investigate the feasibility of reintroducing Atlantic salmon to Lake Ontario; an interagency task group was established to develop a management program for muskellunge in the upper St. Lawrence River; a Fish

2In 1979 the total economic impact of the Great Lakes sport and commercial fisheries was estimated at $\$ 1.16$ billion (Talhelm et al. Current Estimates of Great Lakes Fisheries Values: 1979 Status Report. Great Lakes Fisheries Commission)

Community Workshop for Lake Erie was held; and plans were made for an American Eel Conference (Lake Ontario) to be held in February 1980. Details concerning the lake committee actions on these new initiatives, as well as their actions on ongoing tasks, are recorded in minutes of the annual meetings of the respective committee. A review of the highlights of these activities by species follows.

## Lake Trout

Extinction of lake trout stocks in Lakes Michigan and Huron and a severe reduction of Lake Superior stocks were primary factors leading to the formation of the Great Lakes Fishery Commission in 1956, and the rehabilitation and restoration of lake trout stocks continues to be a major goal of the Commission. Lake trout are now stocked in all five Great Lakes, and the fishery agencies concerned with these waters conduct programs coordinated through the Commission to assess and evaluate the rehabilitation efforts. For Canadian waters, lake trout are reared and stocked by the Province of Ontario. For U.S. waters, these activities are carried out primarily by the USFWS, although some states stock limited numbers of lake trout and the State of Michigan holds the major share of the brood stock. Rehabilitation commenced first in Lake Superior, and progress towards the original goal is advanced there in comparison to the other lakes. Lake trout do not mature until their fifth or sixth year, and it is apparent that sea lamprey abundance and fishing mortality must be closely controlled for rehabilitation to succeed.

## Lake Superior

Increasing numbers of naturally reproduced lake trout are reported over large inshore areas in both Canada and the U.S. Near the Apostle Islands (Wisconsin) the protection afforded by a recently created fish refuge resulted in the largest number of native trout recorded since the pre-lamprey days. Other areas in Wisconsin, however, remain excessively fished and show little natural reproduction. In the most heavily stocked areas of Michigan native fish make up $22-25 \%$ of the catch-an improvement over the $8-11 \%$ reported in 1977. Native lake trout abundance has shown some improvement both inshore and offshore in Canadian waters.

Changes in fishing and stocking rates have caused some differences in the abundance of hatchery-reared lake trout. As a result of improved protection from the fisheries, hatchery stocks have doubled in the Apostle Island refuge area. On the other hand, removals by the Indian treaty fishery have led to a decline in lake trout abundance in several areas of Michigan. Lake trout mortality is excessive in lower Keweenaw Bay and in Whitefish Bay, both centers for treaty fishing in Michigan. Reduced stocking rates in some areas of Michigan have resulted in fewer
hatchery fish in the populations, but this decrease has been partly compensated for in some areas by increases in native trout.

Reports of improved spawning stocks in some areas of Lake Superior have been encouraging. Approximately 12,800 lake trout were estimated to have spawned on Gull Island Shoal in 1979, and most ( $88 \%$ ) of these fish were of native origin; only 9,000 spawned on the shoal in 1978.

A program of mapping lake trout spawning reefs using SCUBA is underway in the Wisconsin waters of Lakes Superior and Michigan. Nine reefs were mapped in 1979. These maps will provide valuable information for selecting the most favorable stocking sites.

Sea lamprey wounding rates on lake trout were reported to be either stable and low or declining to low levels in almost all areas of Lake Superior during 1979. Michigan, Minnesota and Ontario noted the lowest wounding rates since sea lamprey control began in 1958, and Wisconsin reported low rates of from $2-4 \%$ for the last decade.

Lake Michigan. Lake trout have been planted in Lake Michigan since 1965, but no significant natural reproduction has yet occurred. Fishing mortality remains high in many areas. In southern Lake Michigan extensive angler fisheries have developed, and in the northern part lake trout are taken incidentally in the whitefish fisheries of Michigan and Wisconsin and in the Indian treaty fishery in Michigan. Hence, in many areas large brood stocks have not been developed, or those that were developed have been reduced by the fisheries.

Concern for Green Lake strain lake trout stocked offshore over the Milwaukee Reef in southern Lake Michigan was expressed due to the appearance of these fish in gill nets set for chubs. Green Lake strain lake trout originated from native stocks that spawned offshore in the southern basin, and it is thought that they may be genetically adapted for offshore spawning. Consideration will be given to establishing a refuge over part of the Milwaukee Reef.

Sea lamprey wounding rates were less than $5 \%$ for all size groups of lake trout in Lake Michigan. Wounding was highest (4.9\%) in northern Wisconsin, but the 1979 figure declined by half from 1978, when sea lampreys from the Peshtigo River (treated in 1977) were yet abundant in these waters. Excluding northern Wisconsin, wounding rates remained low (less than $2 \%$ ) in other areas of Lake Michigan.

Lake Huron. The Province of Ontario experimented with splake, a brook trout $\times$ lake trout cross, as an alternative to lake trout in Lake Huron. The advantage of splake over lake trout is that splake mature at an earlier age, and thus have a better chance of escaping attack by sea lamprey (which tend to prey on larger fish) before reaching maturity. In 1979 the province stocked substantial numbers of splake, which had been backcrossed to lake trout, in southern Georgian Bay. Survival and growth of the backcrosses were exceptional, and after only one year in the lake these fish were prominent in the angler and assessment catches.

Two stocks of native lake trout persisted in small numbers in Georgian Bay after the species became extinct in the rest of Lake Huron in 1940s and 50s, and one of these, the McGregor Bay stock, is being enhanced with the stocking of hatchery reared fish spawned from the parental stock. McGregor Bay lake trout are potentially important in the rehabilitation program, and the enhancement project is aimed at maintaining this genetic strain.

Stocking rates of approximately one million lake trout per year in Michigan waters since 1973 have produced substantial standing stocks. Growth and early survival of lake trout has been good except in northern waters where the Indian treaty fishery reported catching 0.5 million pounds between the fall of 1978 and the spring of 1979. Survival rates for the 1973-74 year-classes in this area were estimated to be only $1-2 \%$ after the treaty fishing ended.

In comparison to sea lamprey wounding rates in Lakes Superior and Michigan, the rates in Lake Huron's main basin are relatively high. Lake trout in the smallest reference size group experienced rates of 3.1-8.6\%, depending on lake area. Rates tended to be higher in the north, and were very high ( $14.6 \%$ ) on residual stocks in the treaty fishing area. Because stocking began recently in Lake Huron, the higher rates may be a result of a high predator-to-prey ratio, rather than exceptionally large numbers of lampreys.

Lake Erie. Production stocking of hatchery reared lake trout in Lake Erie began in 1975 in New York and Pennsylvania waters, but stocking has been much less intense than in the other Great Lakes. Recoveries of large lake trout have been sparse to date.

Lake Ontario. The rehabilitation program is well underway in Lake Ontario, where production stocking began in New York waters in 1974 and in Ontario waters in 1976. Lake trout grow more rapidly and reach maturity sooner in the lower lakes, most likely due to the longer growing season; spawning has already been observed near Snowshoe Bay in New York waters. The following four strains of lake trout have been stocked in New York waters: Lake Superior; Clearwater Lake, Manitoba; and Seneca Lake, New York. Preliminary results suggest that the Seneca strain survives best, and that the Clearwater Lake strain inhabits warmer waters.

Agency biologists are concerned with an anatomical anomaly in the testes of mature lake trout taken from Lake Ontario. More than half of the fish sampled exhibited constrictions of the testes, and weights of constricted testes averaged $35 \%$ less than those of normal fish: Causes for this abnormality are unknown. Lake trout from the upper lakes will be examined for comparison.

Sea lamprey wounding rates on lake trout appear to be higher in the eastern basin of Lake Ontario than in west and central areas, but these differences may not be significant. In comparison to Lakes Superior and Michigan, the wounding rates, particularly in the eastern basin, are high
and are cause for concern. Survival rates for larger lake trout in New York waters are not high enough to allow development of large brood stocks, and sea lamprey predation could be a major factor. However, the trout are not yet abundant, and wounding rates may decline as additional young fish are planted and they recruit to the adult stocks.

## Whitefish

In the upper Great Lakes whitefish are the most valuable of the commercial species, and management agencies are very concerned with the conservation and improvement of the stocks. Whitefish in all three upper lakes benefited greatly from the sea lamprey control program, because unchecked lamprey populations were destroying whitefish brood stocks.

Commercial landings of whitefish from Lake Superior have been very stable, averaging about one million pounds per year over the last decade. Ontario accounts for one-third of the lakewide catch, and since 1977 the Canadian fishery has been operating on a quota system, which also incorporates lake trout quotas. When the quota of either whitefish or lake trout is taken from an area, the fishery for both species is closed.

Northern Lake Michigan continues to be the center for whitefish production in the Great Lakes. The catch in recent years has fluctuated between 3 and 4 million pounds; approximately two-thirds of the total are harvested in Michigan.

Whitefish landings from Lake Huron have generally been increasing since the late 1960s, and have averaged about 2 million pounds since 1977. A major share of the production is taken by the main-basin Canadian fishery, which expanded abruptly following recruitment into the catch of the very strong 1975 year-class. The 1975 year-class was reported as strong throughout the main basin, as was the 1977 yearclass, which is beginning to recruit to the fishery. Recruitment in southern Georgian Bay and in the North Channel has improved as a result of sea lamprey control that began in the late 1960s. The whitefish fishery in Michigan is concentrated in the north, and landings have increased gradually over the decade.

Whitefish in Lake Erie have been scarce for more than 20 years, and a recovery of the stocks is not foreseen in the near future. Small but increasing numbers of young-of-the-year whitefish were reported in the eastern basin, and spawning populations were located near Kelly Island in the western basin and off Presque Isle in Pennsylvania waters.

There has been no observable trend in whitefish abundance in Lake Ontario. Stocks declined to near insignificance in the late 1960s. The effects of the first sea lamprey treatments in 1971-72 may help recovery, but the stocks are so severely depressed that rehabilitation may require many years.

## Chubs

Abundance and production of chubs have changed markedly in each of the upper Great Lakes in recent years. Chubs, a complex of several closely associated species, are important commercially and were also a main food source for native lake trout before the invasion and proliferation of smelt and alewife. In the lower lakes chubs have been commercially extinct for many years.

In the U.S. waters of Lake Superior chubs appear to be declining, and their future is uncertain. Landings increased in the late 1950s due to improved markets, but the higher catches do not appear to be sustainable. The Canadian fishery by contrast is considered underdeveloped, and stocks there are considered stable.

Lake Michigan has traditionally been a center for chub production in the Great Lakes, but the fishery has been greatly depressed by declines in abundance of chubs and by diminished marketability of fish from southern waters due to increases in contaminants, especially dieldrin. Chub stocks declined throughout the late 1960s and early 1970s, apparently because of over-fishing. The lake's Chub Technical Committee (disbanded in 1979) recommended severe restrictions on catch in 1974, and state agencies allowed only assessment or quota fishing after 1975. Restrictions on commercial fishing proved to be very beneficial to the chub stocks, which responded with improved adult abundance and the first substantial year-classes in 1978 and 1979. In fact, these year-classes were estimated to be 5.3 times larger than any of the other year classes in the 1967-77 sampling period.

The Lake Michigan chub fisheries are now regulated by quota except for the Indian treaty fishery in Michigan waters. Quotas for the Wisconsin fishery were increased in 1979 as the availability of chubs improved. In Michigan waters south of Holland the 0.3 ppm tolerance limit on dieldrin established by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has prevented opening of the fishery because many of the larger chubs have tissue concentrations of dieldrin that exceed the tolerance limit.

Chubs declined severely in Lake Huron's main basin ater a period of intensified fishing in the late 1950s and early 1960s. Michigan closed its chub fishery in 1970, and the Canadian fishery shifted to Georgian Bay in the early 1970s. Recovery of the main basin chub stocks proceeded very slowly until stronger year-classes were produced in 1977-78. These year-classes are cause for optimism, but renewed chub fishing in Canadian waters of the main basin has begun before the stocks have had an adequate time to recover.

Intensification of the Georgian Bay chub fishery began in 1970, and through 1976, landings remained at approximately 600,000 pounds. However, the yield was not sustainable and the southern stocks collapsed. Central Georgian Bay stocks also declined with intensified fishing, but recent improvements in recruitment have spared the resource.

## Pink Salmon

Inadvertently stocked in Lake Superior in 1956, pink salmon have increased greatly in that lake and have spread to the remaining Great Lakes. Their presence is observed only when they ascend streams to spawn in odd-numbered years. Fishery agencies reported the largest runs to date in Lake Superior. In Lake Huron spawning runs were reported in streams along the north shore of the main basin, the western North Channel, and the south shore of Manitoulin Island. Spawning runs in Lake Erie were verified from Long Point Bay and from Pennsylvania. Lake Ontario spawning runs were also noted, so that the Great Lakes have been completely colonized. Except for insignificant angler fisheries, pink salmon are not fished or otherwise utilized.

## Smelt

Introduced into the Lake Michigan watershed in 1912, smelt have become one of the dominant species in the Great Lakes. Smelt are fished commercially in Lakes Superior and Michigan, primarily during the spawning run. Lake Erie, however, holds by far the largest smelt fishery on any of the lakes. Except for Lake Erie the commercial smelt fisheries are nominal in relation to the productivity of the stocks.

Smelt are very important in the food chain of cold water fishes such as trout and salmon, and for this reason they are monitored by the fishery agencies. In Lake Michigan smelt abundance has been very stable over the 7 -year sampling period; stocks are densest in the northern portion of the lake. Lake Huron smelt stocks have increased gradually during the 1973-79 assessment period, and the outlook is for continued high abundance since good year-classes were reported in 1978-79. Smelt landings from Lake Erie declined $10 \%$ in 1979 after having reached a record level of 27 million pounds in 1978. Fluctuations in landings are, however, thought to mirror market conditions rather than stock availability. A cooperative assessment of forage fish stocks in Lake Ontario was begun by the USFWS and the NYDEC.

## Alewife

The alewife is a major component of the forage fish stocks in the Great Lakes, excepting Lake Superior, which is apparently too cold for the species. Significant commercial fisheries are restricted to the Wisconsin waters of Lake Michigan, where landing were down to 25 million pounds in 1979 after two years of record catches ( 46 million pound average) in 1977-78. The decline in landings is not thought to be associated with alewife abundance, because assessment trawling indicated that stocks had not decreased in that area of Lake Michigan. However, alewives appear to be declining on the east shore south of Frankfort. Colder winters in the late 1970s may have resulted in lower
than average over-winter survival of alewife in southern Lake Michigan. Nevertheless, alewives still dominate the total fish biomass in southern Lake Michigan, and are the principal food item for adult lake trout.

Surveys in Lake Huron suggested that alewives were more abundant in 1979, and that both the 1978 and 1979 year-classes were moderately strong. The stocks appear to be increasing gradually from a 1976 low. Surveys in the lower lakes have begun, and a time series for those stocks will be available in the future.

## American Eel

Eel are of significance only in Lake Ontario where a commercial fishery, operating chiefly in Ontario waters, has found a European market. Landings have increased during the 1970s, and have peaked at roughly 0.5 million pounds in 1978-79. Agency biologists are concerned because fishing success (CPUE) and mean size of eels have declined as landings increased. The stocks may be over-fished at least from the viewpoint that a greater poundage could be harvested if smaller eels were allowed to grow into adult sizes before capture. Because of concern for this fishery, the Commission offered support for an Eel Conference to be held in February 1980. Attendees will include European biologists.

## Walleye

Bacause of their importance as a preminum sport and commercial fish and as a fish predator in warm water fish communities, walleye are the focus of intensive enhancement schemes in several areas of the Great Lakes. Stocking programs in Green Bay, Lake Michigan and Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron have succeeded well in increasing populations. Both bays were historic centers for walleye production in the Great Lakes.

Connecting Waters. The connecting waters between Lakes Huron and Erie, consisting of the St. Clair River, Lake St. Clair and the Detroit River, contain walleye stocks shared by Canada and the U.S. These stocks undergo extensive movements into southern Lake Huron, and also interchange with Lake Erie walleyes. Walleyes in the connecting waters are reported to be increasing in abundance, with a strong year-class produced in 1977. Fishery agencies are launching an interagency walleye tagging effort, coordinated by the USFWS and aimed at defining seasonal movements and interchange between the various waters.

Lake Erie. Interagency management of walleye in the western basin of Lake Erie is coordinated through the Commission's Lake Erie Committee, which has instituted quota management. Western basin
stocks declined precipitously in the 1960s following record landings in 1956. Discovery of mercury contamination in walleyes led to closures of commercial fisheries in 1970. When mercury levels declined in the early 1970s, limited permits were given to Ontario fishermen, and in 1976 the entire fishery operated on a quota basis. Quotas were deveoped by a Standing Technical Committee, and were held at conservative levels to allow rebuilding of the stocks. The commercial fishery was not reopened in Michigan and Ohio; the quota allocation for these jurisdictions was awarded to the angler fisheries.

The walleye quota of 2.35 million fish in the western basin in 1979 was divided among the jurisdictions on a geographical area basis, but the allocation was exceeded by a factor of 1.8 because of extensive angler overharvest in Ohio. The quota had been based on a conservative exploitation rate of $9.4 \%$. However, a greatly improved abundance of walleye due to recruitment of the strong 1977 year-class and an expansion of angler effort in Ohio resulted in the excessive 1979 catch. Ohio plans to reduce its creel limit in 1980 from 10 walleye per trip to 6 per day. This restriction is expected to significantly reduce the angler harvest.

Because of good reproduction in 1977 and the expansion of western basin stocks into the central basin, a higher exploitation rate of $16.7 \%$ will be allowed in 1980. This will result in a recommended quota of 3.0 million walleyes for the western basin of Lake Erie.

Lake Ontario. Walleye stocks in Lake Ontario's Bay of Quinte were reported to be improving as a result of a very good year-class produced in 1978. Pollution abatement in the bay is credited with the favorable reproduction.

## Yellow Perch

Lake Erie continued to be the major producer of yellow perch in the Great Lakes, and although 1979 commercial catches were the largest in 6 years, they were well below levels recorded in the 1960s. Some 15 million pounds of perch were commercially taken from Lake Erie in $1979,80 \%$ of which were harvested in Ontario waters. Ohio's commercial fishery accounted for 2.7 million pounds, or $18 \%$ of the lakewide commercial catch, but Ohio's angler fishery, which is well developed, took an additional 3.7 million pounds. Angling fisheries in Ontario's water of Lake Erie are much smaller.

The Lake Erie Committee has expressed a desire for interagency quota management of yellow perch both to enhance the reproductive capabilities of the stocks and to dampen oscillations in the catch. The Standing Technical Committee is expected to develop appropriate models for the perch stocks and make recommendations for implementing interagency management.

## GREAT LAKES FISHERY COMMISSION 1979 ANNUAL REPORT ERRATA

(insert after page 33)

Table 1. Lake Superior commercial ish production in pounds for 1979.

| Species | Michigan | Wisconsin | Minnesota | U.S. <br> Total | Ontario | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alewife | 42 | - | - | 42 | - | 42 |
| Burbot | 52.252 | 2,420 | - | 54,672 | 6,785 | 61.457 |
| Carp | 738 | 420 | - | 1,158 | 476 | 1.634 |
| Chubs | 669,474 | 97.504 | 10,650 | 777,628 | 601.395 | 1,379,023 |
| Lake herring | 100,427 | 88,454 | 189,610 | 378,491 | 1,972,030 | 2,350,521 |
| Lake sturgeon | - | - | - | - | 1,936 | 1.936 |
| Lake trout | 139,712 | 244,667 | 36,015 | 420.394 | 247,127 | 667.521 |
| Lake whitefish | 513,854 | 253,521 | 345 | 767.720 | 352.402 | 1,120,122 |
| Northern pike | 5185 | , | - | - | 3,530 | 3,530 |
| Pacific salmon | - | - | - | - | 14,639 | 14,639 |
| Round whitefish | 764 | 13,022 | - | 13.786 | 39.348 | 53,134 |
| Smelt | 4.000 | 130.619 | 1.845.643 | 1.980.262 | 75.857 | 2,056.119 |
| Suckers | 22,655 | 7,491 | - | 30,146 | 165.625 | 195,771 |
| Walleye | - | - | - | - | 396 | 396 |
| White bass | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| Yellow perch | - | - | - | - | 105,119 | 105,119 |
| Unidentified | - | - | - | - | 14.542 | 14.542 |
| Total | 1,503,918 | 838,118 | 2.082.263 | 4,424.299 | 3,601,209 | 8.025 .508 |

Table 2. Lake Michigan commercial fish production in pounds for 1979.

| Species | Michigan |  |  | Wisconsin |  |  | Illinois | Indiana | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Green Bay } \\ \text { MM-1 } \end{gathered}$ | Michigan proper | Total | Green Bay WM-1.2 | Michigan proper | Total |  |  |  |
| Alewife | 2,588.020 | 10,739 | 2,598,759 | 2.589.951 | 22.314 .610 | 24.904.561 | - | 4 | 27,505.324 |
| Brown trout | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 110 | 110 |
| Bullheads | - | - | - | 29.321 | - | 29.321 | - | - | 29.321 |
| Burbor | 24.062 | 1.640 | 25,102 | 70.870 | 1,148 | 72,018 | - | - | 97,-20 |
| Carp | - | 1 | 1 | 452,097 | 16 | 452,113 | - | - | 452,114 |
| Channel catfish | - | - | - | 2.449 | - | 2,449 | - | 3 | 2.452 |
| Chubs | - | 135.388 | 135.388 | - | 1,003.874 | 1.003 .874 | 78,741 | 586 | 1,218.589 |
| Coho salmon | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 388 | 388 |
| Lake herring | 25 | - | 25 | 210 | 7 | 217 | - | - | 242 |
| Lake trout | - | 631 | 631 | - | - | - | - | - | 631 |
| Lake whitefish | 1,153.263 | 1,076,483 | 2.229.746 | 636.453 | 395.406 | 1.031 .859 | - | 370 | 3.261 .975 |
| Northem pike | 24 | - | 24 | 225 | 7.003 | 7.228 | - | - | 7.252 |
| Round whiterish | - | 36.301 | 36.301 | 2.175 | 31.352 | 33.527 | - | - | 69.828 |
| Sheepshead | - ${ }^{-7}$ - | - | - ${ }^{88}$ | 5.388 | - | 5.388 | - | - | 5.388 |
| Smelt | 1,373.236 | 15.234 | 1,388,470 | 29.539 | 148.217 | 177.756 | 10 | 1.227 | 1.56i.463 |
| Suckers | 662.880 | 26,729 | 689,609 | 248.244 | 5,731 | 253,975 | - | 2.698 | 946.282 |
| Walleye | - | - | - | 12.654 | - | 12.654 | - | - | 12.654 |
| White bass | - | - | - | 2.085 | - | 2,085 | - | - | 2,085 |
| Yellow perch | - | - | - | 932.577 | 7,632 | 940,209 | 55,211 | 125.956 | 1,121.376 |
| Total | 5,801,510 | 1,303,146 | 7,104,656 | 5,014.238 | 23,914,996 | 28.929.234 | 133.962 | 131,342 | 36,299.194 |

Table 3. Lake Huron commercial fish production in pounds for 1979.

| Species | Michigan |  |  | Onlario |  |  |  | Grand Toua |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Huron proper | Saginaw Bay MH- | Total | Huron proper | Georgian Bay GB-1.2.3,4 | North Channel NC-1.2,3 | Tolal |  |
| Bowfin | - | 565 | 565 | - | 400 | 1,280 | 1,680 | 2,245 |
| Bullheads | - | 3,355 | 3,355 | 500 | 3.835 | 4,298 | 8,563 | 11,918 |
| Burbot | 12 | 275 | 237 | - | 3,110 | 3,943 | 7.053 | 7,290 |
| Carp | 380 | 654,829 | 655,209 | 39,079 | 8.303 | 4,417 | 51.799 | 707,008 |
| Channel catlish | 713 | 456,740 | 457,453 | .109,183 | 404 | 87 | 109,674 | 567,127 |
| Chubs | - | 585 | 585 | 152,398 | 271,670 | 480 | 424,548 | 425,133 |
| Crappie | - | 7,423 | 7,423 | - | 75 | - | 75 | 7,498 |
| Garfish | - | 124 | 124 | - | - | - | - | 124 |
| Gizzard shad | - | 26.864 | 26,864 | 8,533 | - 777 | - | 8,533 | 35.397 |
| Lake herring | 405 | - | 405 | 6.480 | 45,777 | 6.528 | 58.785 | 59.190 |
| Lake sturgeon | - | - | - | 3.874 | 841 | 4,197 | 8,912 | 8.912 |
| Lake trout | - | - | - | 38,319 | 2.976 | 5,898 | 47,123 | 47,123 |
| Lake whitefish | 629.519 | 39,323 | 668.242 | 991,304 | 165.513 | 138,999 | 1,295,816 | 1.964,658 |
| Norbern pike | - | - | - | 466 | 9.215 | 21,099 | 30,780 | 30,780 |
| Pacific salmon | - | - | - | 7.869 | 273 | 2,652 | 10.794 | 10.794 |
| Quillback | - | 13,572 | 13.572 | - | - | - | - | 13.572 |
| Rock bass | - | - | - | 99 | 5,239 | 3,414 | 8,752 | 8.752 |
| Round whitefish | 1 | 25.117 | 25.118 | 12.521 | 42.621 | 6,883 | 62,025 | 87,143 |
| Sauger | - | - | - | - | 340 | 20 | 360 | 360 |
| Sheepshead | 40 | 13.909 | 13.949 | 87.698 | - | - | 87.698 | 101.647 |
| Smelt | - | 20.000 | 20,000 | 396 | 1,416 | 18 | 1.830 | 21.830 |
| Splake | - | - | - 110.03 | 22,861 | 2,030 | 24 | 24,915 | 24,915 |
| Suckers | 109,981 | 51 | 110,032 | 68,971 | 28,384 | 79,761 | 177.116 | 287,148 |
| Walleye | - | - | - 130 | 221,766 | 37.373 | 43,477 | 302,616 | 302,616 |
| While bass | - | 132 | 132 | 9,884 | 1 | 77 | 9,962 | 10,094 |
| Yellow perch | 90 | 167,673 | 167,763 | 129,197 | 99,103 | 64,220 | 292.520 | 460,283 |
| Unidentified | - | - | - | 205,580 | 6,928 | 75,079 | 287,587 | 287,587 |
| Total | 741,141 | 1,430.487 | 2,171.628 | 2.116 .978 | 735.827 | 466.711 | 3.319 .516 | 5,491.144 |

Table 4. Lake Erie commercial fish production in pounds for 1979.

| Species | Michigan | New York | Ohio | Pennsylvania | U.S. <br> Total | Onlario | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bowfin | - | - | - | - | - | 26.924 | 26.924 |
| Buffalo | 18.888 | - | 33,035 | - | 51.093 | - | 51,923 |
| Bullheads | 10,868 | 1,361 | 55,694 | 896 | 68.819 | 37,777 | 106.596 |
| Burbot | - | - | - | 105 | 105 | 1.156 | 1.261 |
| Carp | 382.735 | 7.670 | 1.980 .681 | 4 | 2,371.090 | 32,430 | 2,403.520 |
| Channel cafish | 26.411 | 711 | 240.430 | 407 | 267.959 | 81,961 | 349,920 |
| Crappie | - | - | - | - | - | 4,332 | 4.352 |
| Eels | - | - | - | - | - | 242 | 242 |
| Gizzard shad | - | 100 | 1.957 .168 | - | 1.957 .568 | 300 | 1.957 .868 |
| Goldfish | - | - | 196.871 | - | 196.871 | - | 196,871 |
| Lake herring | - | - 25 | - | - | - 25 | 4 | 4 |
| Lake sturgeon | - | 25 | - | - | 25 | 560 | 585 |
| Lake trout | - | - | - | 196 | 196 | 405 | 601 |
| Lake whitefish | - | 11 | - | 85 | 96 | 1.699 | 1,795 |
| Northern pike | - | - | - | - | - | 18.243 | 18.243 |
| Pacific salmon | - | - | - | - | - | 21,391 | 21.391 |
| Quillback | - | - | 82.620 | - | 82.620 | - | 82.620 |
| Rock bass | - | 162 | - | - | 162 | 47.633 | 47,795 |
| Sheepshead | - | 28,630 | 1,271,378 | 32,963 | 1,332.971 | 271,542 | 1,604,513 |
| Sauger | - | - | - | - | - | 65 | 65 |
| Shiners | - | - | - | 8.564 | 8.564 | - | 8,56-4 |
| Smelt | - | 857 | 139 | 2,151 | 3,147 | 23,856,964 | 23,860,111 |
| Suckers | 2,530 | 24,515 | 41,813 | 6,320 | 75.178 | 30,475 | 105,653 |
| Sunfish | - | - | - | - | - | 58,619 | 58.619 |
| Walleye | - ${ }^{-}$ | 96,943 | - | 4.930 | 101.873 | 1,195.179 | 1.297,052 |
| While bass | 10,581 | 10,149 | 1,942,310 | 5.498 | 1.968 .538 | 1,679,487 | 3,648.095 |
| White perch | - | 53 | - | - | 53 | - | 53 |
| Yellow perch | - | 154.269 | 2,678,483 | 314.247 | 3,146.999 | 12.050 .722 | 15.197.721 |
| Unidentified | - | - | - | - | - | 1,421.555 | 1,421,555 |
| Tota | 452,013 | 325.456 | 10.480.972 | 376,366 | 11.634,757 | 40.839,665 | 52,474,422 |

Table 5. Lake Ontario commercial fish production in pounds for 1979.

| Species | New York | Ontario | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boufin | 322 | 2.170 | 2.492 |
| Bullheads | 26.449 | 361.087 | 387,536 |
| Burbot | - | 121 | 121 |
| Carp | 228 | 8.358 | 8.586 |
| Channel catish | 1.091 | 276 | 1.367 |
| Crappie | 1.963 | 15.063 | 16.426 |
| Eels | 40.113 | 492.28? | 532.395 |
| Gizzard shad | - | 39 | 39 |
| Lake herring | - | 29.749 | 29.749 |
| Lake sturgeon | - | 27 | 27 |
| Lake trout | - | 296 | 296 |
| Lake whitefish | - | 2.863 | 2.863 |
| Norherm pike | - | 41.653 | 41.653 |
| Pacific salmon | - | 1.662 | 1.66? |
| Rock bass | 5.112 | 23.436 | 28.548 |
| Round whitefish | - | $!97$ | 197 |
| Sheepshead | 331 | 70. | 401 |
| Smelt | 10.400 | 58.279 | 68.679 |
| Suckers | 1.726 | 18.432 | 20.158 |
| Sunfish | 5.565 | 155.748 | 161.313 |
| Walleye | 177 | 52.732 | 52.005 |
| W'hite bass | !54 | 3.756 | 3,910 |
| White perch | 18.135 | 102.580 | 120.713 |
| Yellow perch | 22.860 | 657.183 | 680.042 |
| Unidentified | - | 16.162 | 16.162 |
| Total | 134.024 | 2.044 .220 | 2.178 .244 |

## SUMMARY OF TROUT, SPLAKE, AND SALMON PLANTINGS

Intensive annual plantings of hatchery-reared salmonids continue to be the principal method employed to rehabilitate Great Lakes fisheries. In 1979, about 30 million trout and salmon were planted.

In Lakes Superior, Michigan, Huron, and Ontario, salmon and trout survival is dependent upon sea lamprey control since experience has shown that planting of these species where sea lamprey are abundant results in high mortality of fish and heavy wounding of survivors. In Lake Erie there is no clear evidence that the sea lamprey population causes high mortality of planted salmon and trout; the relatively low numbers of sea lamprey in Lake Erie is usually attributed to the scarcity of suitable streams for spawning, although improved water quality in some streams is increasing the reproductive potential of the sea lamprey.

Most of the rainbow, brook, and brown trout, and all of the Pacific salmon plantings are aimed at the recreational fishery. On the other hand, a substantial part of the lake trout and the Province of Ontario's splake plantings are intended to develop self-sustaining stocks. With anglers pursuing a wide variety of species ranging from salmon and trout to yellow perch and walleye to panfish and bass, it was estimated that the economic impact of the Great Lakes recreational fishery is $\$ 1$ billion annually. The economic impact of the commercial fishing industry, which harvests relatively few of the stocked salmonids, has been estimated at $\$ 160$ million (Talhelm, 1979).

In an attempt to foster naturally reproducing lake trout stocks, the USFWS in 1978 successfully sought commitment from the U.S. Coast Guard for assistance in making future off-shore plants of lake trout on spawning reefs. Construction of the required tanks was underway in 1979. The Steering Committee for the Stock Concept Symposium (to be held in October 1980) continued its planning activities in 1979; it is hoped that the symposium will serve to focus attention on the makeup of lake trout stocks with respect to successful natural reproduction in the Great Lakes.

Lake trout planted in Lake Ontario by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and splake planted in Lake Huron by Ontario in 1979 were
marked with coded wire tags for the first time in the history of the Great Lakes lake trout planting program. Tag numbers appear in the tables under the "Fin Clip/Mark"' heading as "CWT (agency code) first data row/second data row." Initial difficulties associated with placing a tag in the lake trout's rather hard snout were overcome with the casting of lake trout nose cones modified to place the tag higher up on the snout.

Lake trout have been planted annually in Lake Superior since 1958, in Lake Michigan since 1965, and in Lake Ontario since 1972. These programs have been carried out cooperatively by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the states of Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota and New York, and the Province of Ontario. Lake trout eggs are largely obtained from brood fish in hatcheries, and, to a lesser extent mature lake trout from inland lakes and Lake Superior. Nearly all trout are reared to yearlings (ca. 30/pound) and planted during the spring and early summer. Some, however, are planted as fingerlings in fall. Despite certain advantages (relative to hatchery production) associated with stocking in the fall, the procedure has not been used extensively; studies have shown that lake trout planted in fall as fingerlings generally do not survive nearly as well as those stocked in spring as yearlings. The higher mortality of fall-stocked fish is commonly believed to be related to their smaller size at time of planting. In a study to determine whether increasing the size of the fall-stocked fingerlings might improve their survival, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, in the fall of 1971, 1972, and 1973, stocked two size groups of lake trout fingerlings: one group grown normally (oversize weight $80 / \mathrm{lb}$ ) and the other group grown at an accelerated rate ( $30 / \mathrm{lb}$ ) by special diet and elevated rearing temperatures. Data collected through assessment fishing have suggested that in general the accelerated-growth fingerlings survived better than the normal-growth fingerlings. Catches in experimental gillnets fished by the USFWS in Lake Michigan $(1976,1977)$ indicated that, of the trout stocked in 1971 and 1972, the accelerated growth fish had survived nearly three times as well as the normal growth fingerlings, but that from the 1973 plantings, the accelerated growth fish had survived only about half as well as the normal growth fish; there is no obvious explanation for the apparent anomaly (Wells and Eck, 1978). In 1979, approximately 547,000 accelerated growth fingerlings were planted in the U.S. waters of Lake Superior, 120,000 in Lake Michigan, 508,000 in Lake Erie, and 193,490 in Lake Ontario, in the ongoing experimental planting program.

To rehabilitate fish stocks in Lake Huron, the Province of Ontario and the State of Michigan originally agreed to plant highly-selected splake. These fish were developed in Ontario through an intensive breeding program in which male brook trout were crossed with female lake trout to produce a fast growing fish similar to lake trout in behavior and appearance, and to the brook trout in fast growth and early maturity. Following several generations of selective breeding a splake was developed which grows rapidly, matures at an early age, and
inhabits deep water. First plantings were made in 1969 in Ontario waters (mostly yearlings) and in 1970 in Michigan waters (mostly fingerlings) Because of a shortage of highly-selected splake brood fish and the need to expand rehabilitation efforts in U.S. waters of Lake Huron, splake milt also was used to fertilize lake trout eggs to produce backcrosses. It was believed these fish would retain the advantages of early maturity and fast growth. The first backcrosses were produced in the fall of 1971 and planted in Lake Huron as yearlings in the spring of 1973, and the program was to have continued. Because of fish disease problems in the U.S. brood stock of splake (chronicled in Annual Reports for 1975 and 1976, Appendix B), lake trout plants were initiated in U.S. waters of Lake Huron in 1973 and continued through 1979. The Province of Ontario continued to plant highly selected splake through 1979 but also made a small planting of lake trout. Survival of Ontario's splake has improved dramatically in recent years, following hatchery cleanup and an adjustment in genetic content in favour of lake trout.

In Lake Erie, Pennsylvania made small experimental plants of lake trout fingerlings in 1969 and yearlings in 1974, 1975, and 1976. New York initiated lake trout plants in Lake Erie in 1975, and in 1978 and 1979 Pennsylvania and New York cooperated in stocking USFWS-supplied yearlings, doubling the numbers previously planted in Lake Erie. Representatives from the concerned agencies (New York, Ohio, Ontario, Pennsylvania, USFWS, etc.) met in 1979 to discuss assessment procedures for determining the success of the planting program.

Plants of yearling splake in Lake Ontario were initiated in 1972 and continued through 1974 by the Province of Ontario, but none were planted in 1975. In 1976, the Province planted a few splake and initiated lake trout planting. In addition, plants of lake trout were made by New York State in 1973 and 1978, and through a cooperative arrangement between New York and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in the period 1974 through 1979.

Table 1 summarizes annual plantings of lake trout and hybrids in the Great Lakes, and Table 2 details the 1979 plants in each of the Great Lakes. Other small experimental plants of first generation splake and backcrosses have been made by Wisconsin and Michigan in Lake Superior (Table 3) with the objective of providing a nearshore fishery; these plants are not thought to contribute to offshore populations.

Coho salmon, usually stocked in the spring as yearlings, have been planted annually in Lakes Superior and Michigan since 1966, and in Lakes Huron, Erie, and Ontario since 1968. Table 4 summarizes annual planting in each of the Great Lakes, and Table 5 details the 1979 plantings in each of the Great Lakes.

Annual plantings of chinook salmon, usually stocked in the spring as fingerlings, have been made in Lakes Superior and Michigan since 1967, in Lake Huron since 1968, in Lake Erie since 1970, and in Lake Ontario since 1969. Table 6 summarizes annual plantings of chinook
salmon in the Great Lakes and Table 7 details the 1979 plantings in each of the Great Lakes.

In 1972, Michigan and Wisconsin inaugurated plants of Atlantic salmon in the Upper Great Lakes. In 1972, Wisconsin planted 8,000 3 -year-old and 12,000 2-year-old fish. After 1972, Michigan discontinued its plants in Lake Huron but continued them in Lake Michigan. Table 8 summarizes Atlantic salmon plantings in the Great Lakes 1972-1978; none were planted in 1979.

Plantings of rainbow and steelhead trout, brown trout, and brook trout have been continued in the Great Lakes over the years, but were not included in these records prior to 1975 ( 1976 for brook trout) because of the variability in reporting and difficulty in separating "inland" plantings from "Great Lakes" plantings. Nevertheless, the need for stocking information on these species prompted inclusion of rainbow and steelhead trout, brown trout, and brook trout plantings in the Annual Report. Table 9 summarizes the annual plantings of rainbow and steelhead trout for 1975 through 1979, and Table 10 details the 1979 plantings. Table 11 summarizes annual plantings of brown trout for 1975 through 1979, and Table 12 details the 1979 plantings. Brook trout plantings were included for the first time in 1976 (Table 13). Table 14 details the 1979 plantings of brook trout.

The grid number system developed by Stan Smith and others in the early 1970s, is used here for the first time in the Annual Report series, in order to assist readers in the location of planting site. Copies of Great Lakes maps with superimposed numbered grids are available through this office.

The abbreviations SF, FF, F, Y, and A designate ages of planted fish. Their respective meanings are fingerlings planted in the spring, fingerlings planted in the fall, fingerlings, yearlings, and adults.
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Table 1. Annual plantings (in thousands) of lake trout, splake ${ }^{1.2}$ and backcrosses ${ }^{3}$ in the Great Lakes, 1958-1979.

| Year | LAKE SUPERIOR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Michigan | Wisconsin | Minnesota | Ontario | Total |
| 1958 | 298 | 184 | - | 505 | 987 |
| 1959 | 44 | 151 | - | 473 | 668 |
| 1960 | 393 | 211 | - | 446 | 1,050 |
| 1961 | 392 | 314 | - | 554 | 1,260 |
| 1962 | 775 | 493 | 77 | 508 | 1,853 |
| 1963 | 1,348 | 311 | 175 | 477 | 2,311 |
| 1964 | 1,196 | 743 | 220 | 472 | 2,631 |
| 1965 | 780 | 448 | 251 | 468 | 1,947 |
| 1966 | 2,218 | 352 | 259 | 450 | 3,279 |
| 1967 | 2,059 | 349 | 382 | 500 | 3,290 |
| 1968 | 2,260 | 239 | 377 | 500 | 3,376 |
| 1969 | 1,860 | 251 | 216 | 500 | 2,827 |
| 1970 | 1,944 | 204 | 226 | 500 | 2,874 |
| 1971 | 1,055 | 207 | 280 | 475 | 2,017 |
| 1972 | 1,063 | 259 | 293 | 491 | 2,106 |
| 1973 | 894 | 227 | 284 | 500 | 1,905 |
| 1974 | 888 | 436 | 304 | 465 | 2,093 |
| 1975 | 872 | 493 | 337 | 510 | 2,212 |
| 1976 | 789 | 814 | 345 | 1,062 | 3,010 |
| 1977 | 803 | 551 | 350 | 677 | 2,381 |
| 1978 | 855 | 622 | 355 | 630 | 2,461 |
| 1979 | 1,055 | 508 | 314 | 526 | 2,403 |
| Subtotal | 23,841 | 8,367 | 5,045 | 11,689 | 48,941 |
| Year | Michigan | LAKE MICHIGAN |  | Indiana | Total |
|  |  | Wisconsin | Illinois |  |  |
| 1965 | 1,069 | 205 | - | - | 1,274 |
| 1966 | 956 | 761 | - | - | 1,717 |
| 1967 | 1,118 | 1,129 | 90 | 87 | 2,424 |
| 1968 | 855 | 817 | 104 | 100 | 1,876 |
| 1969 | 877 | 884 | 121 | 119 | 2.001 |
| 1970 | 875 | 900 | 100 | 85 | 1,960 |
| 1971 | 1,195 | 945 | 100 | 103 | 2,343 |
| 1972 | 1,422 | 1,284 | 110 | 110 | 2,926 |
| 1973 | 1,129 | 1,170 | 105 | 105 | 2,509 |
| 1974 | 1,070 | 971 | 176 | 180 | 2,397 |
| 1975 | 1,151 | 1,055 | 186 | 186 | 2,577 |
| 1976 | 1,255 | 1,045 | 160 | 164 | 2,624 |
| 1977 | 1,057 | 970 | 166 | 177 | 2,369 |
| 1978 | 1,304 | 994 | 116 | 175 | 2,589 |
| 1979 | 1,216 | 943 | 162 | 176 | 2,497 |
| Subtotal | 16,550 | 14,073 | 1,696 | 1,767 | 34.083 |

LAKE HURON


Great Lakes Total, lake trout, splake and backcrosses, 1958-1979
102,202
${ }_{2}^{1}$ Lake trout $\times$ brook trout hybrid.
Superior (see small experimental splake plants by Michigan and Wisconsin in Lake ${ }^{3}$ erior (see Table 3).
Lake trout $\times$ splake hybrid, (see text).

Table 2. Planting of lake trout and splake ${ }^{1,2}$ in the Great Lakes, 1979.

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location | Grid |  |  |
| No. Numbers | Age | Fin Clip/Mark |  |

## Michigan waters

| Big Bay |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Black River Harbor | 1327 |
| Grand Marais | 1438 |
| Huron Islands | 1326 |
| Laughing Whitefish Point | 1531 |
| Loma Farm | 1428 |
| Manitou Island | 1028 |
| Marquette Harbor | 1529 |
| Munising | 1633 |
| Ontonogan River Mouth | 1318 |
| Partridge Island | 1529 |
| Pequaming | 1323 |
| Point Abbaye | 132 |
| Point Abbaye | 1323 |
| Porcupine Mts. Reef | 13 |
| Presque Isle Harbor | 14 |
| Rock Beach | 1323 |
| Shelter Bay | 1632 |
| Tahquamenon Island | 15 |
| Traverse Island Reef | 1224 |
| $\quad$ Subtotal |  |

Minnesota waters

| Little Marais | 1007 | 59,149 ${ }^{4}$ | Y | left ventral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palmers | 1303 | $80,022^{4}$ | Y | left ventral |
| Paradise Beach | 814 | $50,000{ }^{4}$ | Y | adipose-right pectoral |
| Split Rock | 1206 | 59,987 ${ }^{4}$ | Y | left ventral |
| Tofte | 909 | 65,017 ${ }^{4}$ | Y | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 314,175 |  |  |
| Ontario waters |  |  |  |  |
| Caribou Island | 320 | 45,000 ${ }^{3}$ | Y | left ventral |
| Jackson's Point | 1546 | 50,204 | Y | left ventral |
| Lapoints Point | 1347 | 42,900 | Y | left ventral |
| Mamainse Point | 1245 | 57,341 | Y | left ventral |
| Mary Island | 320 | $54,900{ }^{3}$ | Y | left ventral |
| Michipicoten Harbour | 744 | 50,302 | Y | left ventral |
| Montreal River | 1145 | 50,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Rossport Dock | 128 | 150,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Sinclair Cove | 1045 | 25,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 525,647 |  |  |

Table 2. (Cont'd.)

| Location |  |  |  | Grid <br> No. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Numbers | Age | Fin Clip/Mark |  |  |
| Wisconsin waters |  |  |  |  |
| Bark Point | 1306 | $6,740^{4}$ | Y | left ventral |
| Cornucopia | 1307 | $20,220^{4}$ | Y | left ventral |
| Devils Island Shoal | 1209 | $211,200^{3,4}$ | FF | both pectoral |
| Superior Entry | 1402 | 270,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 508,160 |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total, Lake Superior |  | $2,402,532$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

LAKE MICHIGAN-LAKE TROUT

| Illinois waters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waukegan | 2302 | 100,259 ${ }^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Waukegan Reef | 2302 | 61,540 ${ }^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 161,799 |  |  |
| Indiana waters |  |  |  |  |
| Bethlehem Steel | 2706 | 95,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Joerse Park | 2705 | 38,100 | Y | left ventral |
| Michigan City | 2707 | 42,900 | Y | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 176,000 |  |  |
| Michigan waters |  |  |  |  |
| Acme | 916 | 50,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Charlevoix | 616 | 75,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Ford River | 306 | 75,000 ${ }^{4}$ | Y | left ventral |
| Frankfort | 1011 | 75,800 | Y | left ventral |
| Good Harbor Reef | 814 | 25,000 ${ }^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Grand Haven | 1911 | 65,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Greilickeville | 915 | 75,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Holland | 211 | 116,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Manistee | 1210 | 90,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Montague | 1710 | 90,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Old Mission Point | 816 | 59,100 | FF | right-pectoral-left ventral |
| Pentwater | 1510 | 90,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Petoskey | 519 | 75,000 | Y | left ventral |
| St. Joseph | 1509 | 100,000 | Y | left ventral |
| South Fox Island | 513 | 25,000 ${ }^{3}$ | Y | left ventral |
| South Haven | 2311 | 90,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Stony Lake | 1710 | 40,500 | FF | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 1,216,400 |  |  |


| Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip/Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Wisconsin waters }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Algoma | 1004 | 90,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Gills Rock | 606 | $55,152^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Kewaunee | 1104 | $57,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-both ventral |
| Kewaunee | 1104 | 90,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Larson's Reef | 804 | 154,400 | Y | left ventral |
| Manitowoc | 1303 | 91,100 | Y | left ventral |
| McKinley Park | 1901 | 4,171 | FF | left pectoral |
| Milwaukee | 1901 | 90,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Port Washington | 1701 | 40,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Racine | 2102 | 90,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Sheboygan | 1502 | 90,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Sturgeon Bay | 905 | 90,850 | Y | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 942,673 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Michigan |  | 2,496,872 |  |  |

LAKE HURON-LAKE TROUT AND SPLAKE
Michigan waters (lake trout)

| Adams Point | 607 | 75,000 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Black River Island | 1010 | $90,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral <br> adipose-left ventral |
| Caseville | 1510 | 75,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Detour Ferry Dock | 306 | $50,218^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Goose Island Shoal | 303 | $25,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Greenbush | 1110 | 100,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Grindstone City | 1412 | 100,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Hammond Bay | 505 | 75,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Harbor Beach | 1514 | 75,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Look Out Point | 1408 | 87,410 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Martins Reef | 305 | $25,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Middle Entrance Reef | 308 | $25,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Middle Island | 709 | $30,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Oscoda | 1210 | 100,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Port Austin | 1412 | 75,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Port Sanilac | 1814 | 25,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Reynolds Reef | 404 | $25,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Rockport | 709 | $30,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Round Island Shoal | 302 | $25,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Scarecrow Island | 709 | $75,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Sturgeon Point | 1010 | 50,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Tawas Point | 1309 | 100,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |


| Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip/Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ontario waters (lake trout) |  |  |  |  |
| South Bay (Inner Basin) | 418 | $15,000^{3}$ | Y | left pectoral |
| Ontario waters (splake) |  |  |  |  |
| Heywood Island | 319 | $153,240^{3}$ | Y | right ventral |
| Jackson Shoal | 822 | $142,458{ }^{3}$ | Y | right ventral |
| Lion's Head Dock | 822 | 6,160 | Y | adipose-right pectoral |
| Lion's Head Dock | 822 | 4,953 | Y | right pectoral |
| Mary Ward Ledges | 1128 | $182,176{ }^{3}$ | Y | right ventral |
| Meaford Range | 1126 | 25,917 | Y | adipose, <br> CWT (63) 1-12/blank |
| Meaford Range | 1126 | 14,952 | Y | adipose-rght pectoral, CWT (63) 1-12/blank |
| Meaford Range | 1126 | 29,475 | Y | none, CWT (63) 1-12/blank |
| Meaford Range | 1126 | 10,370 | Y | adipose-right pectoral, CWT (63) 1-12/blank |
| Meaford Range | 1126 | 27,709 | Y | right pectoral, CWT (63) 1-12/blank |
| North Channel | 319 | 11,070 | Y | right ventral |
| North Keppel Dock | 1024 | 28,063 | Y | right ventral |
| Pyette Point | 1025 | 130,558 | Y | right ventral |
| Sheguindah Gov't Dock | 319 | 16,388 | Y | right ventral |
| South Bay (Inner Basin) | 418 | $15,000^{3}$ | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Subtotal |  | 798,489 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Huron |  | 2,151,117 |  |  |
|  | LAKE | RIE-LAKE | UT |  |
| New York waters |  |  |  |  |
| Barcelona | 523 | 100,560 | Y | left pectoral-right ventral |
| Barcelona | 523 | 254,000 | FF | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 354,560 |  |  |
| Pennsylvania waters |  |  |  |  |
| Barcelona | 522 | 100,560 | Y | left pectoral-right ventral |
| Barcelona | 522 | 254,000 | FF | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 354,560 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Erie |  | 709,120 |  |  |

Table 2. (Cont'd.)

| Location | Grid <br> No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip/Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE ONTARIO-LAKE TROUT |  |  |  |  |
| New York waters |  |  |  |  |
| Hamlin | 713 | 34,951 ${ }^{3}$ | FF | adipose, CWT (60) 41/2 |
| Hamlin | 713 | 78,900 | Y | left pectoral-left maxillary |
| Niagara | 806 | 34,459 | FF | adipose, CWT (60) 41/2,5 |
| Niagara | 806 | 79,290 | Y | left pectoral-left maxillary |
| Selkirk | 623 | 34,577 ${ }^{3}$ | FF | adipose, CWT (60) 41/1 |
| Selkirk | 623 | 100,015 | Y | left pectoral-left maxillary |
| Sodus | 819 | 31,491 ${ }^{3}$ | FF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adipose, CWT (60) } \\ & 41 / 1,2,4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sodus | 819 | 78,000 | Y | left pectoral-left maxillary |
| Stoney Point | 422 | $58,012^{3}$ | FF | adipose, CWT (60) 41/1,3 |
| Stoney Point | 422 | 140,800 | Y | left pectoral-left maxillary |
| Stoney Point | 422 | 15,400 | Y | left pectoral-right maxillary |
| Subtotal |  | 685,895 |  |  |
| Ontario waters |  |  |  |  |
| Clarkson | 603 | 101,416 | Y | both ventral |
| Main Duck Islands | 421 | 100,000 ${ }^{3}$ | Y | both ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 201,416 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Ontario |  | 887,331 |  |  |
| Great Lakes Total |  | 8,646,972 |  |  |

[^0]Table 3. Plantings of $F_{1}$ splake in Lake Superior, 1971, 1973, 1974, 1975, 1976, 1978 and 1979. The 1977 plant was of backcrosses.

| Year | State | Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Michigan | Copper Harbor | 926 | 13,199 | Y | none |
| 1971 | Wisconsin | Bayfield Area | 1409 | 5,000 | F | dorsal-left ventral |
| 1974 | Wisconsin | Washburn | 1509 | 10,316 | Y | dorsal |
|  |  | Houghton Point | 1509 | 9,782 | Y | dorsal |
| 1975 | Wisconsin | Pikes Bay | 1409 | 15,000 | Y | dorsal-right ventral |
| 1976 | Wisconsin | Pikes Bay | 1409 | 18,360 | $Y$ | dorsal-right ventral |
| 1977 | Michigan | Copper Harbor | 926 | 26,100 | F | left pectoral-right ventral |
| 1978 | Wisconsin | Chequamegon Bay | 1509 | 55,200 | F | none |
|  |  | Cornucopia | 1307 | 26,400 | F | none |
|  |  | Ashland Coal Dock | 1509 | 12,000 | Y | none |
| 1979 | Wisconsin | Bark Pt. | 1306 | 12,000 | FF | none |
|  |  | Bark Pt. | 1306 | 6,000 | Y | none |
|  |  | Bayfield | 1409 | 10,800 | Y | none |
|  |  | Cornucopia | 1307 | 12,000 | FF | none |
|  |  | Houghton Pt. | 1509 | 12,000 | FF | none |
|  |  | Houghton Pt. | 1509 | 16,200 | Y | none |
|  |  | Madeline Is. | 1409 | 12,000 | FF | none |
|  |  | Onion River | 1409 | 36,000 | FF | none |
|  |  | Onion River | 1409 | 22,700 | Y | none |
|  |  | Port Superior | 1409 | 2,675 | Y | none |
|  |  | Washburn | 1509 | 24,000 | FF | none |
|  |  | Washburn Coal Dock | 1509 | 16,000 | Y | none |
|  | Total, Lak | ke Superior |  | 373,732 |  |  |


| Year | LAKE SUPERIOR |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Michigan | Min |  | Ontario | Total |
| 1966 | 192 |  |  | - | 192 |
| 1967 | 467 |  |  | - | 467 |
| 1968 | 382 |  |  | - | 382 |
| 1969 | 526 |  |  | 20 | 656 |
| 1970 | 507 |  |  | 31 | 649 |
| 1971 | 402 |  |  | 27 | 617 |
| 1972 | 152 |  |  | - | 297 |
| 1973 | 100 |  |  | - | 135 |
| 1974 | 455 |  |  | - | 529 |
| 1975 | 275 |  |  | - | 275 |
| 1976 | 400 |  |  | - | 400 |
| 1977 | 627 |  |  | - | 627 |
| 1978 | 140 |  |  | - | 140 |
| 1979 | 200 |  |  | - | 200 |
| Subtotal | 4,825 | 663 |  | 78 | 5,566 |
| Year | Michigan | LAKE MICHIGAN |  | Illinois | Total |
|  |  | Wisconsin | Indiana |  |  |
| 1966 | 660 | - | - | - | 660 |
| 1967 | 1,732 | - | - | - | 1,732 |
| 1968 | 1,176 | 25 | - | - | 1,201 |
| 1969 | 3,054 | 217 | - | 9 | 3,280 |
| 1970 | 3,155 | 340 | 48 | - | 3,543 |
| 1971 | 2,411 | 267 | 68 | 5 | 2,751 |
| 1972 | 2,269 | 258 | 96 | - | 2.623 |
| 1973 | 2,003 | 257 | - | 5 | 2,265 |
| 1974 | 2,788 | 318 | 125 | - | 3,231 |
| 1975 | 2,026 | 433 | 46 | - | 2,505 |
| 1976 | 2,270 | 648 | 179 | 80 | 3,177 |
| 1977 | 2,314 | 491 | 179 | 103 | 3,087 |
| 1978 | 1,802 | 499 | 105 | 279 | 2,685 |
| 1979 | 3,317 | 320 | 118 | 289 | 4,044 |
| Subtotal | 30,977 | 4,073 | 964 | 770 | 36,784 |



Table 5. Plantings of coho salmon in the Great Lakes, 1979.

| Location | Grid <br> No. | Numbers | Age | Fin clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LAKE SUPERIOR-COHO SALMON |  |  |  |
| Michigan waters |  |  |  |  |
| Dead River | 1529 | 100,000 | Y | none |
| Falls River | 1423 | 50,000 | Y | none |
| Sucker River | 1439 | 50,000 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 200,000 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Superior |  | 200,000 |  |  |

LAKE MICHIGAN-COHO SALMON

| Diversey Harbor | 2603 | 114,140 | Y | none |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Jackson Harbor | 1603 | 127,100 | $Y$ | none |
| Kellogg Creek | 2302 | 25,700 | $Y$ | left ventral |
| Waukegan Area | 2302 | 22,500 | $Y$ | none |
| Subtotal |  | 289,440 |  |  |


| Indiana waters |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Little Calument River | 2705 | 66,697 | FF | right pectoral <br> Trail Creek | 2707 |
|  |  | 50,809 | FF | right pectoral |  |

Michigan waters

| Black River | 2311 | 100,000 | Y | none |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Big Sauble River | 1410 | 300,000 | Y | none |
| Brewery Creek | 915 | 100,000 | Y | none |
| Cedar River | 504 | 50,000 | Y | none |
| Grand River | 1911 | 100,000 | Y | none |
| Little Manistee River | 1211 | 675,000 | Y | none |
| Manistee River | 1211 | 200,000 | Y | none |
| Muskegon River | 1810 | 394,000 | SF | none |
| Platte River | 912 | 973,032 | Y | none |
| Portage Lake | 1111 | 150,000 | Y | none |
| St. Joseph River | 2509 | 200,000 | Y | none |
| Thompson Creek | 211 | 75,000 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 3,317,032 |  |  |


| Wisconsin waters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kenosha | 2202 | 76,400 | Y | none |
| Milwaukee | 1901 | 66,260 | Y | none |
| Port Washington | 1701 | 47,000 | Y | none |
| Racine | 2102 | 42,005 | Y | none |
| Sheboygan | 1502 | 88,200 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 319,865 |  |  |
| Total, Lake M |  | 4,043,843 |  |  |


| Location | Grid <br> No. | Numbers | Age |  | Fin clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| chigan | LAKE HURON-COHO SALMON |  |  |  |  |
| AuSable River | 1210 | 782,216 | SF | none |  |
| Carp river | 302 | 75,000 | F | none |  |
| Cass River | 1606 | 75,000 | Y | none |  |
| Diamond Creek | 1513 | 50,000 | Y | none |  |
| Tawas River | 1308 | 100,000 | Y | none |  |
| Subtotal |  | 1,082,216 |  |  |  |
| Total, Lake Huron |  | 1,082,216 |  |  |  |


|  |  | ERIE-CO | SAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan waters |  |  |  |  |
| Detroit River | 603 | 202,831 | Y | left pectoral-right ventral |
| Huron River | 702 | 100,000 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 302,831 |  |  |
| New York waters |  |  |  |  |
| Cattaragus Creek | 327 | 50,000 | F | none |
| Cattaragus Creek | 327 | 50,000 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 100,000 |  |  |
| Ohio waters |  |  |  |  |
| Chagrin River | 1006 | 30,008 | FF | adipose-left ventral |
| Huron River | 1006 | 79,920 | FF | right ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 109,928 |  |  |
| Pennsylvania waters |  |  |  |  |
| Godfrey Run | 619 | 19,600 | Y | none |
| Orchard Beach Run | 523 | 9,700 | Y | left ventral |
| Presque Isle Bay | 521 | 10,000 | Y | none |
| Trout Run Creek | 522 | 5,000 | Y | none |
| Walnut Creek | 620 | 53,800 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 10,000 | Y | none |
|  |  | 108, 100 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Erie |  | 620,859 |  |  |

Table 5. (Con't.)

| Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LAKE ONTARIO-COHO SALMON |  |  |  |
| New York waters |  |  |  |  |
| Eighteenmile Creek | 708 | 25,000 | F | none |
| Eighteenmile Creek | 708 | 19,970 | Y | none |
| Oak Orchard | 711 | 31,000 | Y | none |
| Salmon River | 623 | 56,400 | F | none |
| Salmon River | 623 | 37,302 | F | none |
| Salmon River | 623 | 70,000 | Y | none |
| Sandy Creek | 713 | 35,000 | F | none |
| Sandy Creek | 713 | 32,865 | Y | none |
| South Sandy Creek | 623 | 15,000 | F | none |
| South Sandy Creek | 623 | 21,000 |  | none |
| Subtotal |  | 343.537 |  |  |
| Ontario waters |  |  |  |  |
| Bronte Creek | 702 | 40,146 | Y | right pectoral |
| Clarkson | 603 | 25,625 | Y | adipose-right pectoral |
| Credit River | 603 | 129,633 | F | none |
| Credit River | 603 | 90,568 | Y | nght pectoral |
| Subtotal |  | 285,972 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Ontario |  | 629,509 |  |  |
| Great Lakes Total |  | 6,576,427 |  |  |

TROUT, SPLAKE, AND SALMON PLANTINGS
Table 6. Annual plantings (in thousands) of chinook salmon in the Great Lakes, 1967-1979.

| Year | Michigan | LAKE SUPERIOR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Minnesota | Total |
| 1967 | 33 |  |  | - | 33 |
| 1968 | 50 |  |  | _ | 50 |
| 1969 | 50 |  |  | - | 50 |
| 1969 | 150 |  |  | _ | 150 |
| 1971 | 252 |  |  | - | 252 |
| 1972 | 472 |  |  | - | 472 |
| 1973 | 509 |  |  | $\overline{-}$ | 509 |
| 1974 | 295 |  |  | 228 | 523 |
| 1975 | 253 |  |  | - | 253 |
| 1976 | 201 |  |  | 291 | 493 |
| 1977 | 116 |  |  | 103 | 254 |
| 1978 | 150 |  |  | 278 | 478 |
| 1979 | 100 |  |  | 341 | 501 |
| Subtotal | 2,631 |  |  | 1,241 | 4,018 |
| Year | Michigan | LAKE MICHIGAN |  | Illinois | Total |
|  |  | Wisconsin | Indiana |  |  |
| 1967 | 802 | - | - | - | 802 |
| 1968 | 687 | - | - | - | 687 |
| 1969 | 652 | 66 | - | - | 718 |
| 1970 | 1,675 | 119 | 100 | 10 | 1,904 |
| 1971 | 1,865 | 264 | 180 | 8 | 2,317 |
| 1972 | 1,691 | 317 | 107 | 24 | 2,139 |
| 1973 | 2,115 | 697 | - | 174 | 2,986 |
| 1974 | 2,046 | 616 | 159 | 757 | 3,578 |
| 1975 | 2,816 | 927 | 156 | 381 | 4,280 |
| 1976 | 1,947 | 1,276 | 38 | 142 | 3,403 |
| 1978 | 1,576 | $\begin{array}{r}913 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 141 | 347 | 2,977 |
| 1979 | 1,524 2,307 | 2,017 | 213 | 611 | 5,365 |
| 197 | 2,307 | 1,964 | 531 | 183 | 4,984 |
| Subtotal | 22,703 | 9,176 | 1,625 | 2,637 | 36,140 |



Great Lakes Total, chinook salmon, 1967-1979

Table 7. Plantings of chinook salmon in the Great Lakes, 1979.

| Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan waters LA | LAKE SUPERIOR-CHINOOK SALMON |  |  |  |
| Dead River | 1529 | 100,000 | SF | none |
| Minnesota waters |  |  |  |  |
| Baptism River | 1107 | 48,762 | SF | adipose <br> adipose-left ventral adipose-left ventral adipose adipose adipose-right pectoral adipose-left ventral |
| Baptism River | 1107 | 35,212 | FF |  |
| Bluebird Landing | 1303 | 45,697 | FF |  |
| Cascade River | 811 | 48,347 | SF |  |
| French River | 1302 | 72,246 | SF |  |
| Grand Portage Creek | 716 | 53,000 | SF |  |
| Lake Portage Creek | 812 | 37,310 | FF |  |
| Subtotal |  | 340,574 |  |  |
| Wisconsin waters |  |  |  |  |
| Black River | 1401 | 60,000 | F | none |
| Total, Lake Superior |  | 500,574 |  |  |

LAKE MICHIGAN-CHINOOK SALMON

| Illinois waters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calumet Harbor | 2703 | 22,800 | SF | none |
| Diversey Harbor | 2603 | 56,000 | SF | none |
| Jackson Harbor | 2703 | 14,300 | SF | none |
| Kellogg Creek | 2302 | 38,850 | SF | none |
| Waukegan | 2302 | 51,140 | F | none |
| Subtotal |  | 183,090 |  |  |
| Indiana waters |  |  |  |  |
| Bethlehem Steel Pier | 2706 | 134,478 | SF | none |
| Inland Steel Pier | 2704 | 186,262 | SF | left pectoral |
| Michigan City | 2707 | 161,153 | SF | adipose-right ventral |
| Trail Creek | 2707 | 48,777 | SF | none |
| Subtotal |  | 530,670 |  |  |
| Michigan waters |  |  |  |  |
| Big Manistee River | 1211 | 200,000 | SF | none |
| Brewery Creek | 915 | 50,000 | SF | none |
| Grand River | 1911 | 400,000 | SF | none |
| Kalamazoo River Little Manistee River | 2211 | 153,602 | SF | none |
| Little Manistee River Muskegon | 1211 | 603,098 | SF | none |
| Muskegon Portage Lake | 1810 | 400,000 | SF | none |
| Portage Lake | 1111 | 50,000 | SF | none |
| Sauble River St. Joseph River | 1410 | 200,000 | SF | none |
| St. Joseph River | 2509 | 250,000 | SF | none |
| Subtotal | 2,306,700 |  |  |  |


| Table 7. (Cont'd.) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Grid |  |  |  |
| Location | No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |


| Wisconsin waters |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Algoma | 1004 | 100,000 | F | none |
| Kenosha | 2202 | 196,611 | F | none |
| Kewaunee | 1104 | 100,000 | F | none |
| Manitowoc | 1303 | 339,200 | F | none |
| Marinette | 703 | 195,000 | F | none |
| Milwaukee | 1901 | 250,000 | F | none |
| Oconto Park | 802 | 100,000 | F | none |
| Port Washington | 1701 | 125,000 | F | none |
| Racine | 2102 | 40,000 | F | none |
| Sheboygan | 1502 | 294,000 | F | none |
| Strawberry Creek | 905 | $\underline{224,000}$ | F | none |
| $\quad$ Subtotal |  | $1,963,811$ |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total, Lake Michigan |  | $4,984,271$ |  |  |

LAKE HURON-CHINOOK SALMON

Michigan waters
Augres River
AuSable River
AuSable River
Harbor Beach
Mill Creek
Nagels Creek
Soo Rapids
Subtotal
Total, Lake Huron

| 1408 | 100,111 | SF | none |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1210 | 499,922 | SF | none |
| 1606 | 125,000 | SF | none |
| 1514 | 150,000 | SF | none |
| 1110 | 300,000 | SF | none |
| 606 | 50,000 | SF | none |
| 105 | $\frac{100,000}{}$ | SF | none |
|  | $1,325,033$ |  |  |
|  | $1,325,033$ |  |  |

LAKE ERIE-CHINOOK SALMON

| Ohio waters |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chagrin River | 814 | 90,000 | SF | none |
| Huron River | 1006 | 120,000 | SF | none |
| Subtotal |  | 210,000 |  |  |
| Pennsylvania waters |  |  |  |  |
| Elk Creek | 619 | 157,100 | SF | none |
| Elk Creek | 619 | 100,000 | FF | left pectoral |
| Elk Creek | 619 | 65,000 | Y | adipose |
| Godfrey Run | 619 | 35,000 | SF | none |
| Sixteenmile Creek | 522 | 30,000 | SF | none |
| Trout Run | 620 | 35,000 | SF | none |
| Walnut Creek | 620 | 175,000 | SF | none |
| Walnut Creek | 620 | 55,000 | FF | left pectoral |
| Walnut Creek | 620 | 55,550 | Y | adipose |
| Subtotal |  | 707,650 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Erie |  | 917,650 |  |  |


| Location | Grid <br> No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York waters LAKE ONTARIO-CHINOOK SALMON |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| North \& South Sandy Creeks | 623 | 68,000 | SF | none |
| Oak Orchard Creek | 711 | 57,850 | SF | none |
| Salmon River | 623 | 95,800 | SF | none |
| Subtotal |  | 221,650 |  |  |
| Ontario waters |  |  |  |  |
| Bronte Creek | 702 | 147,450 | SF | none |
| Total, Lake Ontario |  | 369,100 |  |  |
| Great Lakes Total |  | 8,096,628 |  |  |

Table 8. Plantings of Atlantic salmon in the Great Lakes, 1972-1979.

|  |  | Grid |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year State | Location | No., Numbers Age | Fin Clip |  |


| LAKE SUPERIOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | Wisconsin | Bayfield | 1409 | 20,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| 1973 | Wisconsin | Bayfield | 1409 | 20,000 | Y | right ventral |
| 1976 | Michigan | Cherry Creek | 1529 | 9,106 ${ }^{2}$ | Y | none |
| 1978 | Wisconsin | Pikes Creek | 1409 | 36,772 | Y | none |
| Total |  |  |  | 85,878 |  |  |

## LAKE MICHIGAN

| 1972 | Michigan | Boyne River | 616 | $10,000^{2}$ | Y | none |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | Michigan | Boyne River | 616 | 15,000 ${ }^{2}$ | Y | none |
| 1974 | Michigan | Platte River | 912 | 7,308 ${ }^{2}$ | Y | adipose |
|  |  | Boyne River | 616 | 14,555 ${ }^{2}$ | Y | none |
| 1975 | Michigan | Boyne River | 616 | $18,742^{2}$ | Y | none |
|  |  |  |  | 3,430 | A | right ventral |
| 1976 | Michigan | Boyne River | 616 | 20,438 ${ }^{2}$ | Y | none |
|  |  |  |  | $108^{2}$ | A | adipose, left ventral, right ventral |
|  |  |  |  | $162^{2}$ | A | left ventral |
|  |  |  |  | $438{ }^{2}$ | F | none |
| 1977 | Michigan | Pere Marquette River | 1410 | 7,131 | Y | left ventral |
|  |  | Little Manistee River | 1211 | 4,500 ${ }^{1}$ | Y | left ventral |
|  |  | Pere Marquette River | 1410 | 3,961 ${ }^{2}$ | Y | right ventral |
|  |  | Little Manistee River | 1211 | 2,997 ${ }^{2}$ | Y | right ventral |
| 1978 | Michigan | Little Manistee River | 1211 | 5,000 ${ }^{3}$ | Y | left pectoral |
|  |  | Pere Marquette Rive | 1410 | $14,800^{3}$ | Y | left pectoral |
|  |  | Little Manistee River | 1211 | $10,000^{2}$ | Y | right pectoral |
|  |  | Pere Marquette Rive | 1410 | 31,654 ${ }^{2}$ | Y | right pectoral |
| Total |  |  |  | 170,224 |  |  |

LAKE HURON
1972 Michigan Au Sable River $1210 \quad 9,000^{2} \quad Y$ none
Great Lakes Total, Atlantic salmon, 1972-1979 265, 102

[^1]Table 9. Annual plantings (in thousands) of rainbow, steelhead, and palomino ${ }^{1}$ trout in the Great Lakes, 1975-1979. ${ }^{2}$


Table 9. (Cont'd.)

|  | LAKE ONTARIO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | New York | Ontario | Total |
| 1975 | 252 | 29 | 282 |
| 1976 | 186 | 108 | 295 |
| 1977 | 144 | 110 | 254 |
| 1978 | 313 | 121 | 434 |
| 1979 | 325 | 111 | 436 |
| Subtotal | 1,220 | 479 | 1,701 |

rainbow, steelhead, and palomino trout, 1975-1979 17.673
${ }^{1}$ Rainbow $\times$ W. Virginia Golden hydrid (small numbers planted by Pennsylvania only).
${ }^{1}$ Rainbow $\times W$. Virginia
${ }^{2}$ Excluding eggs and fry.

TROUT, SPLAKE, AND SALMON PLANTINGS

Table 10. Plantings of rainbow, steelhead, and palomino ' trout in the Great Lakes, 1979.

|  | Grid |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location | No. Numbers Age | Fin Clip |  |

LAKE SUPERIOR-RAINBOW AND STEELHEAD TROUT
Minnesota waters (rainbow trout)

| Minnesota waters |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Baptism River | 1107 | 20,043 | Y | adipose-right pectoral |
| Baptism River | 1107 | 9,996 | Y | both ventrals |
| Baptism River | 1107 | 12,742 | FF | none |
| Bluebird Landing | 1303 | 6,200 | Y | adipose-right ventral |
| Brule River | 814 | 29,974 | Y | both ventral |
| Burlington Bay | 1303 | 27,500 | FF | none |
| French River | 1302 | 32,150 | FF | none |
| French River | 1302 | 33,919 | Y | adipose-right pectoral |
| French River | 1302 | 32,243 | Y | both ventral |
| Split Rock River | 1106 | 8,051 | Y | both ventral |
| Stewart River | 1204 | 7,606 | Y | left pectoral-right ventral |
| Sucker River | 1302 | 7,606 | Y | left pectoral-right ventral |
|  |  | 228,030 |  |  |


| Wisconsin waters (rainbow trout) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Amnicon | 1402 | 30,000 | Y | none |
| Brule | 1404 | 30,000 | Y | left pectoral-right ventral |
| Superior | 1401 | 96,000 | Y | none |
|  |  | 156,000 |  |  |
| Subtotal |  | 384,030 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Superior |  |  |  |  |

LAKE MICHIGAN-RAINBOW AND STEELHEAD TROUT
Illinois waters (rainbow trout)

| Chicago | 2603 | 10,000 | SF | none |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Chicago | 2603 | 19,200 | Y | none |
| Evanston | 2503 | 31,250 | SF, Y | none |
| Dawes Park | 2502 | 75,370 | Y | none |
| Lake Forest | 2402 | 4,350 | SF, Y | none |
| Waukegan Harbor | 2302 | 46,100 | Y | none |
| Waukegan | 2302 | 5,878 | Y | none |
| Wilmette Harbor | 2502 | 23,300 | Y | none |
| $\quad$ Subtotal |  |  | 215,448 |  |

Indiana waters (steelhead trout)

| Little Calumet River | 2705 | 8,955 | FF | none |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Little Calumet | River | 2705 | 104,698 | SF | left pectoral


| Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan waters (steelhead trout) |  |  |  |  |
| Crockery Creek | 1911 | 5,000 | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Crockery Creek | 1911 | 50,000 | FF | none |
| Fish Creek | 1911 | 5,000 | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Fish Creek | 1911 | 50,000 | FF | none |
| Flat River | 1911 | 5,000 | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Flat River | 1911 | 50,000 | FF | none |
| Grand River | 1911 | 15,000 | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Grand River | 1911 | 150,000 | FF | none |
| Looking Glass River | 1911 | 10,000 | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Looking Glass River | 1911 | 100,000 | FF | none |
| Muskegon River | 1810 | 10,000 | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Muskegon River | 1810 | 35,000 | Y | none |
| Rogue River | 1911 | 15,000 | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Rogue River | 1911 | 150,763 | FF | none |
| St. Joseph River | 2509 | 30,000 | Y | none |
| St. Joseph River | 2509 | 300,000 | FF | none |
| Subtotal |  | 980,763 |  |  |
| Wisconsin waters (rainbow trout) |  |  |  |  |
| Algoma | 1004 | 68,500 | SF | none |
| Algoma | 1004 | 15,000 | FF | none |
| Algoma | 1004 | 47,000 | Y | none |
| Baileys Harbor | 706 | 6,000 | FF | none |
| Baileys Harbor | 706 | 25,000 | Y | none |
| Egg Harbor | 705 | 33,310 | Y | none - |
| Gill's Harbor | 606 | 18,810 | Y | none |
| Kenosha | 2202 | 29,700 | FF | none |
| Kenosha | 2202 | 8,492 | Y | dorsal |
| Kenosha | 2202 | 46,200 | Y | none |
| Kewaunee | 1104 | 36,700 | Y | none |
| Manitowoc | 1303 | 47,400 | FF | none |
| Manitowoc | 1303 | 41,000 | Y | none |
| Marinette | 703 | 13,592 | FF | none |
| Marinette | 703 | 13,700 | Y | none |
| Milwaukee | 1901 | 54,655 | SF | none |
| Milwaukee | 1901 | 52,706 | FF | none |
| Milwaukee | 1901 | 34,160 | Y | none |
| Oconto Park | 802 | 46,264 | FF | none |
| Oconto Park | 802 | 11,700 | Y | none |
| Peshtigo | 803 | 40,704 | FF | none |
| Port Washington | 1701 | 50,886 | FF | none |
| Port Washington | 1701 | 40,440 | Y | none |
| Racine | 2102 | 62,674 | SF | none |
| Racine | 2102 | 17,955 | FF | none |
| Racine | 2102 | 27,152 | Y | none |
| Sawyer Harbor | 905 | 53,920 | FF | none |
| Sawyer Harbor | 905 | 22,732 | Y | none |


|  | Grid <br> Lo. |  |  | Numbers | Age |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

LAKE ERIE-RAINBOW AND STEELHEAD, AND PALOMINO TROUT New York waters

## Athol Springs Cattaragus Creek

Subtotal

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
228 & 14,600 & Y & \text { none } \\
327 & 14,000 & Y & \text { none }
\end{array}
$$

Ohio waters (rainbow trout)

| Arcola Creek |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Beaver Creek | 813 | 8,000 | SF | none |
| Chagrin River | 1004 | 5,000 | SF | none |
| Conneaut Creek | 912 | 131,680 | SF | none |
| Grand River | 718 | 55,000 | SF | none |
| Green Creek | 814 | 41,200 | SF | none |
| Rocky River | 1004 | 4,500 | F | none |
| Turkey River | 911 | 21,285 | SF | none |
| Vermilion River | 718 | 8,000 | SF | none |
| $\quad$ Subtotal | 1008 | 9,729 | F | none |
|  |  | 284,394 |  |  |
| Ohio waters (steelhead trout) |  |  |  |  |
| Conneaut Creek | 718 | 5,520 | Y | none |

Table 10. (Cont'd.)

|  | Grid |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location | No. Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |


| Ontario waters (rainbow trout) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Big Creek | 321 | 72,095 | SF | none |
| Big Creek | 321 | 18,480 | F | none |
| Big Creek | 321 | 14,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Big Otter Creek | 316 | 37,500 | SF | none |
| Cranberry Creek | 321 | 22,675 | F | none |
| Dedricks Creek | 321 | 1,550 | SF | none |
| Little Otter Creek | 316 | 35,000 | SF | none |
| Lyndock Creek | 321 | 19,130 | F | none |
| North Creek | 321 | 10,500 | F | none |
| Pirrie Creek | 316 | 15,000 | F | none |
| Saul Creek | 321 | 900 | F | none |
| South Creek | 321 | 37,500 | F | none |
| South Otter Creek | 317 | 20,000 | F | none |
| Stony Creek | 321 | 11,500 | F | none |
| Tobacco Creek | 321 | 1,800 | F | none |
| Venison Creek | 321 | 19,500 | F | none |
| White Creek | 318 | 4,200 | F | none |
| Young Creek | 220 | 17,020 | F | none |
| Young Creek | 7,200 | Y | none |  |
| $\quad$ Subtotal |  | 365,550 |  |  |

Pennsylvania water (rainbow trout)

## Crooked Creek



Raccoon Creek
Sixmile Creek
Sixteenmile Creek
Taylor Run
Taylor Run
Taylor Run
Temple Run
Temple Run
Twelvemile Creek
Tweivemile Creek
Twentymile Creek
Subtotal

| 619 | 1,250 | Y | none |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 619 | 16,700 | Y | none |
| 522 | 600 | Y | none |
| 619 | 50 | 3 yrs. | none |
| 522 | 775 | Y | none |
| 522 | 1,295 | Y | none |
| 718 | 50 | 3 yrs. | none |
| 718 | 760 | 2 yrs. | none |
| 718 | 8,780 | 2 \& 3 yrs. | none |
| 718 | 60 | 3 yrs. | none |
| 522 | 550 | Y | none |
| 523 | 9,950 | 2 yrs. | none |

Pennsylvania waters (steelhead trout)

| Elk Creek | 619 | 20,000 | Y | none |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Godfrey Run | 619 | 56,100 | Y | none |
| Lake Erie | 620 | 23,000 | Y | none |
| Sixteenmile Creek | 522 | 20,000 | Y | none |
| Trout Run | 620 | 60,000 | Y | none |
| Walnut Creek | 620 |  | 20,000 | Y |


| Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pennsylvania waters (palomino trout) |  |  |  |  |
| Crooked Creek | 619 | 100 | Y | none |
| Elk Creek | 619 | 300 | Y, 3yrs. | none |
| Godfrey Run | 619 | 600 | Y | none |
| Lake Erie | 620 | 6,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Lake Erie | 620 | 500 | Y | none |
| Lake Erie | 620 | 1,000 | Y | none |
| Orchard Beach Run | 523 | 75 | Y | none |
| Sixteenmile Creek | 522 | 5 | Y | none |
| Twelvemile Creek | 522 | 10 | Y | none |
| Twentymile Creek | 523 | 300 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 8,890 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Erie |  | 932,874 |  |  |
| LAKE ONTARIO-RAINBOW TROUT <br> New York waters (rainbow trout) |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Ontario | 623 | 5,840 | Y | adipose-left pectoral |
| Lake Ontario | 819 | 17,520 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 707 | 2,650 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 708 | 2,650 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 623 | 12,233 | Y | both ventrals |
| Lake Ontario | 819 | 5,025 | F | left pectoral |
| Lake Ontario | 819 | 135,355 | F | none |
| Lake Ontario | 623 | 26,833 | F | none |
| Subtotal |  | 208,106 |  |  |
| New York waters (steelhead) |  |  |  |  |
| Catfish Creek | 623 | 2,000 | Y | left ventral |
| East Branch Twelvemile Creek | 702 | 10,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Irondequoit Creek | 815 | 4,500 | Y | left pectoral-left ventral |
| Irondequoit Creek | 815 | 10,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Keg Creek | 708 | 7,500 | Y | left ventral |
| Salmon River | 623 | 75,854 | Y | left ventral |
| Sandy Creek | 713 | 7,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Subtotal |  | 116,854 |  |  |
| Ontario waters (rainbow trout) |  |  |  |  |
| Credit River | 603 | 66,429 | Y | adipose |
| Credit River | 603 | 30,791 | Y | adipose-right pectoral |
| Duffin Creek | 507 | 13,440 | Y | adipose |
| Subtotal |  | 110,660 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Ontario |  | 435,620 |  |  |
| Great Lakes Total |  | 4,588,680 |  |  |


| Year | Michigan | LAKE SUPERIOR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wisconsin |  | Minnesota | Total |
| 1975 | 35 | 103 |  | 108 | 246 |
| 1976 | 35 | 43 |  | 10 | 88 |
| 1977 | 40 | 62 |  | 31 | 133 |
| 1978 | - | 94 |  | 9 | 103 |
| 1979 | 15 | 110 |  | 6 | 131 |
| Subtotal | 125 | 412 |  | 164 | 701 |
| Year | Michigan | LAKE MICHIGAN |  | Indiana | Total |
|  |  | Wisconsin | Illinois |  |  |
| 1975 | 279 | 356 | 10 | 20 | 665 |
| 1976 | 666 | 292 | 94 | 199 | 1,251 |
| 1977 | 226 | 802 | 42 | 109 | 1,180 |
| 1978 | 150 | 1,208 | 13 | 131 | 1,503 |
| 1979 | 199 | 960 | 1 | 69 | 1,228 |
| Subtotal | 1,520 | 3,618 | 160 | 528 | 5,827 |
|  | LAKE HURON |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Year | Michigan |  |  |  |
|  | 1975 | 155 |  | 155 |  |
|  | 1976 | 447 |  | 447 |  |
|  | 1977 | 210 |  | 210 |  |
|  | 1978 | 258 |  | 25890 |  |
|  | 1979 | 90 |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | 1,160 |  | 1,160 |  |
| Year | Ohio | LAKE ERIE |  | New York | Total |
|  |  | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
| 1975 | - | 7 |  | 26 | 33 |
| 1976 | - | 11 |  | 67 | 78 |
| 1977 | - | 49 |  | 125 | 174 |
| 1978 | 28 | 34 |  | - | 62 |
| 1979 |  | 51 |  | 26 | 77 |
| Subtotal | 28 | 152 |  | 244 | 424 |


|  | LAKE ONTARIO |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Year | New York | Total |
| 1975 | 371 | 371 |
| 1976 | 311 | 311 |
| 1977 | 353 | 353 |
| 1978 | 94 | 94 |
| 1979 | 219 | 219 |
| Subtotal | 1,348 | 1,348 |

[^2]Table 12. Plantings of brown and tiger ${ }^{1}$ trout in the Great Lakes, 1979.

|  | Grid |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location | No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |

Michigan waters

| Marquette Bay | 1529 | 10,000 | FF | none |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Munising Bay | 1633 | 5,000 | FF | none |
| Subtotal |  | 15,000 |  |  |

Minnesota waters

| Baptism River | 1107 | 2,501 | Y | none |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Big Nett River | 1401 | 602 | Y | none |
| Blackhoof River | 1401 | 797 | Y | none |
| Cascade River | 811 | 401 | Y | none |
| Devil Track River | 812 | 301 | Y | none |
| Kadunce Creek | 813 | 201 | Y | none |
| Kimball Creek | 813 | 201 | Y | none |
| Temperance River | 909 | 401 | Y | none |
| Tischer Creek | 1401 | 501 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 5,906 |  |  |

Wisconsin waters


LAKE MICHIGAN-BROWN AND TIGER TROUT
Illinois waters (tiger trout)

| Evanston | 2502 | 1,000 | Y | none |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Indiana waters (brown trout) |  |  |  |  |
| Inland Steel Pier | 2704 | 28,806 | FF | right ventral |
| Michigan City | 2707 | 13,320 | FF | right ventral |
| Michigan City | 2707 | 26,400 | F | none |
| $\quad$Subtotal  68,526  |  |  |  |  |



Table 12. (Cont'd.)

|  | Grid <br> Location | No. | Numbers | Age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Michigan waters
Point Lookout
Point Lookout
Tawas Bay
Tawas Bay
Thunder Bay
Thunder Bay
Subtotal
Total, Lake Huron
LAKE HURON-BROWN TROUT

| 1408 | 10,000 | FF | left pectoral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1408 | 10,000 | Y | left ventral |
| 1309 | 10,000 | FF | left pectoral |
| 1309 | 10,000 | Y | left ventral |
| 809 | 25,000 | Y | left ventral |
| 809 | 25,000 | F | left pectoral |
|  | 90,000 |  |  |
|  | 90,000 |  |  |

LAKE ERIE-BROWN TROUT

New York waters Silver Creek
Pennsylvania waters

| Pennsylvania waters |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Albion Reservoir (Temple Creek) | 718 | 840 | Y | none |
| Baldwin Pond (Raccoon Creek) | 619 | 410 | Y | none |
| Conneaut Creek | 718 | 950 | Y | none |
| Crooked Creek | 619 | 1,650 | Y | none |
| Elk Creek | 619 | 4,400 | Y | none |
| Lake Erie | 620 | 5,000 | Y | left ventral |
| Lake Erie | 620 | 33,800 | Y | none |
| Temple Run | 718 | 2,290 | Y | none |
| Twentymile Creek | 523 | 1,650 | 2 yrs. none |  |
| $\quad$ Subtotal |  | 50,990 |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total, Lake Erie | 76,990 |  |  |  |


|  | LAKE ONTARIO-BROWN TROUT |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Lake Ontario | 523 | 20,000 | Y | adipose-right ventral |
| Lake ontario | 623 | 38,470 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 705 | 15,000 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 707 | 5,000 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 708 | 25,000 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 711 | 12,50 | Y | adipose |
| Lake Ontario | 713 | 25,000 | Y | adipose-left ventral |
| Lake Ontario | 721 | 20,000 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 815 | 16,220 | Y | none |
| Lake Ontario | 816 | 8,000 | $Y$ | none |
| Lake Ontario | 817 | 13,891 | $Y$ | none |
| Lake Ontario | 819 | 7,109 | $Y$ | none |
| Lake Ontario | 819 | 12,500 | Y | adipose |
| Subtotal |  | 218,690 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Ontario |  | 218,690 |  |  |
| Great Lakes Total |  | $1,744,573$ |  |  |

[^3]TROUT, SPLAKE, AND SALMON PLANTINGS
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Table 13. Annual plantings (in thousands) of brook trout in the Great Lakes, 1976-1979.

|  | LAKE SUPERIOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year |  | Wisconsin |  | Minnesota |  | Total |  |
|  | 1976 |  | 25 |  | 7 |  | 32 |  |
|  | 1977 |  | 123 |  | 66 |  | 188 |  |
|  | 1978 |  | 166 |  | 30 |  | 196 |  |
|  | 1979 |  | 83 |  | 27 |  | 111 |  |
|  | Subtotal |  | 397 |  | 130 |  | 527 |  |
|  |  |  | LAKE | E MICHIGA |  |  |  |  |
| Year |  | Michigan |  | Wisconsin |  | Illinois |  | Total |
| 1976 |  | 61 |  | 12 |  | 6 |  | 79 |
| 1977 |  | - |  | 643 |  | - |  | 643 |
| 1978 |  | - |  | 243 |  | 5 |  | 248 |
| 1979 |  | - |  | 187 |  | 8 |  | 196 |
| Subtotal |  | 61 |  | 1,085 |  | 19 |  | 1,166 |
|  |  |  |  | LAKE ERIE |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year |  | Pennsylvania |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | 1976 |  | 6 |  | 6 |  |  |
|  |  | 1977 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | 1978 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | 1979 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | Subtotal |  | 10 |  | 10 |  |  |
|  |  |  | LAKE | KE ONTARI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year |  | New York |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  | 1976 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | 1977 |  | 8 |  | 8 |  |  |
|  |  | 1978 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | 1979 |  | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | Subtotal |  | 8 |  | 8 |  |  |

Great Lakes Total, brook trout, 1976-1979

Table 14. Plantings of brook trout in the Great Lakes, 1979.

| Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE SUPERIOR-BROOK TROUT |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota waters |  |  |  |  |
| Blackhoof River | 1401 | 802 | Y | none |
| Cascade River | 811 | 591 | Y | none |
| Chester Creek | 1401 | 149 | Y | none |
| Deer Yard Creek | 811 | 1,088 | FF | none |
| Devil Track River | 812 | 201 | Y | none |
| E. Split Rock River | 1205 | 1,405 | Y | none |
| Encampment River | 1205 | 274 | Y | none |
| French River | 1302 | 1,998 | Y | none |
| Gooseberry River | 1205 | 1,075 | Y | none |
| Grand Marais Harbor | 812 | 4,000 | Y | none |
| Kadunce Creek | 813 | 201 | Y | none |
| Kimball Creek | 813 | 301 | Y | none |
| Knife River | 1303 | 2,704 | Y | none |
| Lester River | 1302 | 3,855 | Y | none |
| Poplar River | 910 | 301 | Y | none |
| Stewart River | 1301 | 1,075 | Y | none |
| Stony Point | 1303 | 2,501 | Y | none |
| Sucker River | 1303 | 1,998 | Y | none |
| Talmadge River | 1302 | 148 | Y | none |
| Tischer Creek | 1401 | 148 | Y | none |
| Two Harbors | 1204 | 2,484 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 27,299 |  |  |
| Wisconsin waters |  |  |  |  |
| Bayfield | 1409 | 27,000 | F | none |
| Bayfield | 1409 | 18,700 | F | none |
| Brule River | 1404 | 5,037 | Y | right pectoral |
| Michigan Island | 1310 | 100 | A | none |
| Port Wing | 1405 | 7,000 | Y | none |
| Siskuit | 1307 | 7,000 | Y | none |
| Washburn | 1509 | 12,000 | F | none |
|  |  | 6,500 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 83,337 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Super |  | 110,636 |  |  |

LAKE MICHIGAN-BROOK TROUT
Illinois waters
Dawes Park
2502
8,260
none

| Location | Grid No. | Numbers | Age | Fin Clip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wisconsin waters |  |  |  |  |
| Baileys Harbor | 706 | 13,620 | Y | none |
| Kewaunee | 1104 | 14,350 | Y | none |
| Manitowoc | 1303 | 56,940 | Y | none |
| Marinette | 703 | 13,620 | Y | none |
| Point Creek | 1402 | 10,000 | Y | none |
| Sawyer Harbor | 905 | 11,000 | Y | none |
| Sheboygan | 1502 | 45,600 | Y | none |
| Whitefish Bay | 805 | 22,200 | Y | none |
| Subtotal |  | 187,330 |  |  |
| Total, Lake Michigan |  | 195,590 |  |  |
| Great Lakes Total |  | 306,226 |  |  |

Most assessment methods indicate a reduced sea lamprey population in the three upper Great Lakes. A total of 2,413 adult sea lampreys were captured at the eight index barriers in 1979-the third-lowest catch on record. Previous low catches were in $1974(1,912)$ and $1976(2,098)$.

Portable assessment traps fished in 22 tributaries of four lakes captured 18,129 adult sea lampreys: 1,313 from Lake Superior, 5,561 from Lake Michigan, 9,548 from Lake Huron, and 1,707 from Lake Ontario. Catches in 1978 totaled 20,641 sea lampreys from 37 tributaries of the four lakes.

The number of parasitic-phase sea lampreys collected from commercial and sport fishermen increased slightly in Lake Superior and decreased slightly in Lakes Michigan and Huron. The total number collected from the three lakes decreased from 820 in 1978 to 644 in 1979.

## Surveys and Chemical Treatments

## Lake Superior Surveys

Pretreatment investigations were completed on 15 streams in 1979, of which 8 were later treated. In the seven untreated streams, sea lamprey populations are apparently relatively large in two, the Bad and Brule rivers, and small to moderate in five. Five of the streams are tentatively scheduled for treatment in 1980, and two will be treated when the size and abundance of lamprey larvae warrants.

Larvae that survived recent chemical treatments were recovered in 11 streams. The problem was most pronounced in sections of three rivers-the Bad ( 52 sea lampreys), Potato (27), and Traverse (22).

Substantial upstream extensions of larval distribution were discovered in two rivers. Sea lamprey ammocetes were found about 25 km above their former range in the Brule River, and about 35 km above the upstream limits that had prevailed since the mid-1950's in the Sucker River. These extensions probably resulted from the relatively late activation of control barriers and more favorable water levels in headwater areas in recent years.

In a resurvey of the Point Louise area in the upper St. Marys River, which yielded few sea lamprey larvae in past years ( 1 in 1973 and 3 in 1974), two sea lamprey larvae ( 69 and 71 mm long) and 640 American brook lamprey larvae were collected. Collections at another station, 270 m to the east, included two additional sea lamprey ammocetes of another year class ( 108 and 111 mm ) and demonstrated that the extensive gravel beds in this area are periodically used by spawning adults.

Another significant problem now developing along the U.S. shore is the recent sea lamprey infestation of the St. Louis River (Fig. 1). Until a new waste treatment plant became operational in 1978-79, the stream probably was not suitable for sea lamprey production because water


Figure 1. St. Louis River, showing portion of the river infested with sea lampreys. Insert shows location of St. Louis River on Lake Superior.
quality was poor. Larvae were found for the first time in the river in September 1979, and it appears likely that the population will increase. The length range of the 10 larvae collected ( 13 to 27 mm ) indicated that they are of the 1979 year class, and it appears that the population is restricted to about 3.2 km of the main stream immediately below the barrier dam at Fond du Lac.

Treatment of the St. Louis River will be costly and difficult. The stream is the largest U.S. tributary to Lake Superior; flows between 17 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $170 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ during the summer and early autumn, when treatment would probably take place. The occurrence of large spawning runs of walleyes and suckers makes treatment earlier in the year undesirable. Much of the river below Fond du Lac is at or near lake level and subject to seiches, and flows of approximately $34 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ are judged necessary to ensure effective treatment. At this volume, cost of treatment with TFM and $1.6 \%$ Bayer powder is estimated at $\$ 82,000$. Lake seiches, multiple channels, large backwater areas, and deep water in the downstream reaches will greatly complicate treatment.

## Lake Superior Chemical Treatments

During the 1979 field season, chemical treatments were completed on 11 streams with discharges totaling $24.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Table 1, Fig. 2). Numbers of larval sea lampreys were high in the Huron and Two Hearted rivers and low in the rest of the streams.

Major obstacles during 1979 treatments to eradication of larval lampreys continued to be problems of access, beaver activity, and fluctuating water levels. Combinations of these factors could result in the survival of lamprey larvae in the Two Hearted, Big Garlic, and Au Train rivers and Furnace and Little Beaver creeks.

Mortality of pink salmon during fall treatments in odd-numbered years is becoming a problem, particularly in Lake Superior tributaries. The effects of a minimum lethal bank of TFM were observed on a small run of pink salmon in the Ravine River. It was estimated that about 200 salmon were present in the river during the chemical application. A concentration of TFM which averaged 0.5 ppm above the minimum lethal for sea lampreys was applied to the river. In a posttreatment survey made immediately after the chemical had cleared the river, 203 pink salmon were collected; of which 119 ( 77 males, 42 females) were dead, 18 were sick, and 66 appeared normal. Further examination of the dead females showed that 6 were spent, 8 were ripe with free-flowing eggs, and 28 had firm ovaries with no free-flowing eggs. A scheduled


Figure 2. Location of streams tributary to the Upper Great Lakes that were treated with lampricides in 1979.
treatment of the Silver River was postponed when thousands of pink salmon were observed in the river. Spawning salmon were present in the river from mid-September until late October.

## Lake Michigan Surveys

Pretreatment surveys were completed on 14 streams tributary to Lake Michigan in 1979. Twelve were subsequently treated; two, the Kalamazoo and Black (Van Buren County) rivers, will not be treated because the populations of sea lamprey larvae in both were relatively small.

A total of 112 streams were examined to monitor reestablished sea lamprey populations and to assess the success of the most recent stream treatments; of these, 55 were reinfested with larvae of various year classes. Moderate to large reestablished populations were indicated in the Carp Lake, Jordan, Manistee, Lincoln, Platte, Muskegon, Black (Mackinac County), Fishdam, Ogontz, Whitefish, Rapid, and Ford river systems.

Residual larvae were collected in 14 streams. The Whitefish River collections contained the largest number (620) of ammocetes that survived the 1978 chemical treatments.

Surveillance of the Fox River was given high priority in 1979. Despite the greatly improved water quality in the lower river and the capture of 59 adult silver lampreys in portable assessment traps at De Pere, there is still no evidence of sea lamprey spawning or survival of larvae anywhere in the system. The main stream below the dam at De Pere was sampled with granular Bayer at 21 separate sites (total area, 4.6 ha) and the tributaries below the outlet of Lake Winnebago were reexamined. No lampreys of any species were seen. Spawning gravel and larval habitat are plentiful in the main river, but bottom conditions may still be too poor to allow ammocetes to survive. There is almost no potential for lamprey production in the tributaries. Sampling in the system above Lake Winnebago was concentrated in the tributaries of Lake Poygan and the Wolf River drainage. A total of 2,514 native lampreys were collected in areas that in past surveys appeared best suited for sea lampreys. The collections included 178 metamorphosed silver lampreys, 43 metamorphosed northern brook lampreys, and 2,293 larvae that could be identified only as Ichthyomyzon.

Lake Michigan Chemical Treatments
Chemical treatments were completed on 17 streams with discharges totaling $120.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ in 1979 (Table 2, Fig. 2). Numbers of larval sea lampreys were large in the Sturgeon River, intermediate in the Cedar, Little Manistee, Pere Marquette, White, and Muskegon rivers, and small in the other 11 streams.

Treatment of the Whitefish River was postponed because water levels were extremely low. Recently metamorphosed sea lampreys from
the Whitefish River could contribute significant numbers of parasiticphase sea lampreys to Lake Michigan.

Fish mortalities during chemical treatments, even though relatively small, continued to create public relations problems. Spawning white and longnose suckers are sensitive to control chemicals and a small percentage of a spawning run may die during each treatment. A kill of several hundred white and longnose suckers during treatments of the Cedar River and Hibbards Creek resulted in additional cost for clean up and disposal.

## Lake Huron Surveys

Pretreatment surveys were completed on nine Lake Huron stream systems in 1979, and all were later treated partly or entirely. Small to moderate numbers of sea lampreys were indicated in all but the Rifle River, where a large population of larvae was present.

Investigations were conducted on 37 streams to assess reestablished larval populations and evaluate the effectiveness of recent chemical treatments. Reinfestation by various year classes of larvae was found in 23 drainages; concentrations were largest in the East Au Gres and Little Munuscong rivers and Albany Creek.

A fish ladder at the Dow Chemical Company dam on the Tittabawassee River (Saginaw River system) has been closed between March 1 and July 15 each year since 1977, effectively closing off the Chippewa River and Bluff Creek to spawning sea lampreys. No reestablished sea lamprey larvae have been found above the dam since closure of the ladder.

Residual sea lamprey ammocetes were collected in seven streams. Larval numbers were low except in the upstreams sections of the Rifle River, where 198 ammocetes and 5 recently transformed lampreys were collected. Inland lakes, ponds, and backwaters in this section of the river complicate control eforts. Many metamorphosing sea lampreys were taken during the treatment, indicating that ammocetes may transform at age III in this river. The last previous treatment was in July 1975.

Only one residual sea lamprey was recovered from the upper Ocqueoc River during surveys in late summer, and sampling with granular Bayer in Ocqueoc Lake yielded none. However, 18 newly metamorphosed sea lampreys were captured in fyke nets below Ocqueoc Lake in the fall

Sixteen streams where sea lampreys were not found in the past Were reexamined; populations were discovered in 2 tributaries of northern Lake Huron. Eight sea lampreys ( $25-75 \mathrm{~mm}$ long) were in Hur in Flowers Creek near Cedarville, and one ( 124 mm ) was taken in Huron Point Creek, a small stream about 0.8 km east of Albany Creek. Huron Point Creek has little potential for sea lamprey production, the single ammocete probably migrated from Albany Creek or its associated offshore population.


Figure 3. St. Marys River, showing principal sea lamprey ammocete concentration and downstream limits in 1979.

Sea lamprey larvae were recovered during surveys with granular Bayer offshore from two northern Lake Huron streams: 53 (33-96 mm long) off the mouth of the Carp River (most within 0.4 km of the mouth, but some up to 0.8 km from shore); and $11(42-131 \mathrm{~mm})$ from a 5.9-hectare area in Hammond Bay off the mouth of the Ocqueoc River.

Sea lamprey ammocetes caged in five streams since May 1977 to determine growth and age at metamorphosis were examined in May and October 1979. Although the age III larvae in some cages had a mean length of 134 mm and a maximum length of 150 mm , no metamorphosis occurred.

Surveys with granular Bayer in the St. Marys River were continued in 1979 to define the distribution and relative abundance of sea lamprey larvae. Surveys in 1978 revealed that larvae were scattered throughout 37 km of river downstream from the compensating gates. No larvae were collected at two stations in 1979 beyond the downstream limits of the 1978 survey. The river opens into Lake Munuscong in this area (no surveys were made in the lake). A station ( $370 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) in the Neebish Island area (Fig. 3) that accounted for 44 larvae in 1978, reexamined to obtain more data on the size of larvae, yielded 161 sea lamprey larvae, 39-127 mm long. This total is only six less than were collected from all 20 stations in 1978, and indicates a concentration of larvae in this area.

## Lake Huron Chemical Treatments

Chemical treatments were completed on 10 streams with discharges totaling $30.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ during the 1979 field season (Table 3, Fig. 2). Numbers of larval sea lampreys were high in the Sturgeon and Ocqueoc rivers, intermediate in the Chippewa and Rifle rivers and McKay and Steeles creeks, and low in the other four streams. Collections made during the first treatment of the Chippewa River indicated a moderate population of sea lamprey larvae distributed from Mount Pleasant, Michigan, upstream for 30 km . Few ammocetes were found downstream from Mount Pleasant.

The lower 3 km of Albany Creek was treated to destroy a population of residual sea lamprey larvae. The headwaters, which contained a population of reestablished ammocetes, could not be treated because flows were too low and the water was impounded. Treatments were postponed on Swan Creek because flows were low and on the Au Sable River because a large run of chinook salmon was in the stream. The Au Sable River will probably contribute sea lampreys to the parasitic population in Lake Huron because of this postponement.

Several problems were encountered during treatments. Salmonid runs, beaver activity, and low water flows continue to present major problems. Treatment success was diminished on Steeles, Hessel, and Albany creeks and the Rifle and Pine rivers because of beaver activity and low water. Groundwater seepages below the falls on the Ocqueoc River have provided a haven to ammocetes during treatments.

Lentic populations of sea lamprey ammocetes continue to plague control efforts. A large population of ammocetes was detected off the mouth of Albany Creek, but further survey of this area is needed to determine the area of distribution. The large number of ammocetes in the lower Sturgeon River during treatment suggests a potential source for the contamination of Burt Lake in the Cheboygan River system.

## Lake Erie Surveys

Surveys were conducted on seven streams tributary to Lake Erie. Investigations on Cattaraugus Creek indicated that the abundance and distribution of sea lamprey larvae have increased. A total of 211 sea lampreys (12-156 mm long) were taken at stations on the main stream, the South Branch, and Clear and Spooner creeks. Spooner Creek had no previous record of sea lamprey production.

Of the other six streams examined in 1979, two-Delaware Creek, Erie County, and Canadaway Creek, Chautauqua County-were also reexamined for changes in larval distribution and abundance since the surveys in 1978. A total of 24 sea lampreys ( $54-171 \mathrm{~mm}$ long), including 4 undergoing metamorphosis, were collected from Delaware Creek, which appears to have no significant increase in larval distribution or abundance. A slight increase in sea lamprey abundance and spread in distribution was noted in Canadaway Creek, where 30 sea lamprey larvae ( $11-59 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were collected from the main stream and Beaver Creek, a tributary that had no previous record of sea lamprey production.

An extensive examination of the Buffalo River system yielded only American brook lampreys and Ichthyomyzon larvae.

Further investigations to update information on streams in Pennsylvania and Ohio are needed. Distributional surveys on Conneaut, Crooked, and Raccoon creeks and the Grand and Sandusky rivers, all of which have yielded sea lampreys in the past, will be required. Streams that appear suitable for sea lampreys, but that have thus far yielded no evidence of infestation, will require further study.

Lamprey surveys in western Lake Erie are seriously handicapped by the lack of a permit to use Bayer 73 in the State of Ohio. Highly conductive water, high turbidity, and the presence of estuariesconditions that are common in the western tributaries-severly reduce the effectiveness of the electrofishing gear used for larval surveys. In other states, a granular formulation of Bayer 73 is routinely used under these conditions, but Bayer 73 is not used in Ohio because of the State's concern for native lampreys and other endangered fishes. Consequently, the reliability of surveys in demonstrating the presence or absence of larvae and their abundance and distribution in those streams is questionable.

The necessity of treating Lake Erie streams to control sea lamprey populations has been accepted by most of the agencies concerned.

However, if and when a decision is made to extend controls to that basin, it is essential that the State of Ohio sanction the use of lampricides.

## Lake Ontario Surveys

Surveys were conducted on 20 streams in the Lake Ontario basin in 1979; 12 are tributary to Lake Ontario proper, 3 are part of the Oswego River system, and 5 empty into the Niagara River.

Pretreatment investigations completed in the Black River, Jefferson County, confirmed that a series of dams at Watertown are definite barriers to spawning run adults and restrict sea lampreys to the lower 14.5 km of stream. A total of 146 larvae ( $19-161 \mathrm{~mm}$ long) and 16 metamorphosing lampreys were collected in 1979. The upstream limit of larval distribution was a point just above the reservoir at Dexter, New York, about 6 km from the mouth. The habitat between the upper limits of larval distribution and the dam at Watertown is almost entirely bedrock, rubble, and gravel, and has little potential for production of larvae. Treatment of the Black River will be complicated by the presence of larvae in the flowage at Dexter. Also, the probability of a lentic population in Black River Bay was suggested by the capture of a single ammocete ( 123 mm long) about 2.4 km off the mouth during limited surveys in 1978.

Surveys of Black Creek, a tributary of lower Oswego River, suggest that sea lampreys have been produced there almost annually since the early 1970's. The 1979 larval collections ( 70 sea lampreys, 33 to 144 mm long) indicated successful reproduction in 1976, 1977, and 1978. Young-of-the-year larvae were captured in 1977, along with larvae and metamorphosing lampreys that had been spawned several years earlier, probably in the period 1972-74. The 1972 and 1974 year classes were evident in survey collections made in 1976.

The origin of the adult lampreys that spawn in Black Creek is uncertain, but it seems likely that they migrate upstream from Lake Ontario. However, the possibility that they originate from an adult population further upstream in the Oswego River system itself cannot be dismissed. The mouth of Black Creek is 13 km above Lake Ontario, and spawning adults must bypass three dams, probably by way of navigation locks, to reach the tributary. The only known resident populations of adult sea lampreys in the Oswego River system are in Oneida, Cayuga, and Seneca lakes, which are 46,99 , and 120 km , respectively, upstream from Black Creek.

Chemical treatment of Black Creek is recommended because of the frequent establishment of larval year classes and the production of metamorphosed sea lampreys, which almost certainly migrate downstream 13 km to Lake Ontario. Infestation is now confined to one small tributary and the main stream below the tributary, and chemical treatment should be simple and inexpensive.

Two Lake Ontario tributaries-Grindstone and Johnson creekswere examined to determine the effectiveness of dams as barriers to adult sea lampreys. No ammocetes were found above the dams in either stream. Ammocetes occur in Grindstone Creek below the dam at Fernwood, but Johnson Creek has not yielded larvae-although adult lampreys have been reported by residents.

No previously undetected sea lamprey populations were found in Lake Ontario streams in 1979. An initial check of streams on Grand Island in the Niagara River revealed little potential for sea lamprey production. A survey of Irondequoit Creek produced nine American brook lamprey ammocetes. Adult sea lampreys were seen in the stream in the past, and the headwater areas have potential for sea lamprey production. However, Irondequoit Bay and the lower section of the stream are heavily polluted and probably unattractive to spawning adults.

## Lake Ontario Chemical Treatments

Stream treatments on the U.S. side of Lake Ontario are now the responsibility of the Canadian control agent.

## Studies of Adult Sea Lampreys

## Migrant Sea Lampreys

The eight index barriers on Lake Superior were operated for the last time in 1979. In the future, portable assessment traps will provide data on relative abundance and biological characteristics of spawning-phase sea lampreys. A total of 2,413 sea lampreys were captured at the barriers in 1979-the third-lowest catch on record (Table 4, Fig. 4). Previous low catches were in $1974(1,912)$ and $1976(2,098)$. The barrier on the Brule River in western Lake Superior accounted for $50 \%$ of the catch in 1979.

Analysis of the barrier catches in the Brule and Amnicon rivers showed dramatic decreases in runs of adults in the year after chemical treatments, followed by almost steady increases each year until the next treatment. For example, the run of adults in the Brule River declined from 6,163 in 1965 to 226 in 1966 ( a $96 \%$ decrease) after the river was treated in 1965 (Table 4). By the time the river was re-treated in 1969, the run had increased to 3,374 adults, but it then decreased to only 167 in 1970 (a $95 \%$ decrease). Other decreases were $94 \%$ after the 1972 treatment and $69 \%$ after the 1977 treatment.

Similar declines were observed in the Amnicon River after chemical treatments in 1971, 1975, and 1978, the number of adults decreasing one year after chemical treatment by 97,97 , and $98 \%$, respectively. These data support the hypothesis that adults are attracted to streams containing large populations of ammocetes, and this attraction is greatly diminished after ammocetes are destroyed by chemical treatments.


Figure 4. Annual catches of spawning-run sea lampreys at eight electric barriers on Lake Superior tributaries, 1958-79.

Barrier catches during 1973-79 indicate a $50 \%$ reduction in the adult sea lamprey population since the frequency of treatments was increased in 1972 , and a $93 \%$ reduction from the 51,000 adults taken in the eight barriers in 1961. The average number of lampreys captured annually was 3,340 in 1973-79 and 6,600 in the previous 7 years, 1966-72.

The average length ( 433 mm ) and weight ( 181 g ) of adult sea lampreys from Lake Superior in 1979 were similar to the averages in the previous 5 years (Table 5), when the average length was 431 mm and weight 177 g . Of adult sea lampreys collected in Lake Superior, $33 \%$ were males; for the previous 8 years (1971-78) this percentage varied from 29 to 31 .

The number of rainbow trout $(1,606)$ handled at the barriers on Lake Superior increased about $12 \%$ over both the 1978 catch $(1,433)$ and the 1974-78 average $(1,443)$. Data from the Brule River provided evidence that most adult rainbow trout migrated up this stream before the electrical weir was activated. Between September 1978 and April 1979 about 2,000 large rainbow trout were passed over a mechanical weir operated by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources about 19 km upstream from the electrical barrier. During operation of the electrical barrier, April 25-July 13, 1979, only 597 large rainbow trout were handled.

The catches of longnose suckers $(7,190)$ and white suckers $(9,317)$ were significantly lower than the large catches in 1978 and somewhat
lower than the 1974-78 averages of 9,545 longnose suckers and 9,976 white suckers.

The evidence of sea lamprey scars or wounds on spawning-run rainbow trout remained low. From 1974 to 1978, the percentage ranged from 0.8 to 3.4 and averaged 1.7; in 1979 it was 2.2.

Adult sea lamprey catches in the assessment weir on the Ocqueoc River on Lake Huron continue to fluctuate. A total of 3,248 adults were captured in 1979, compared with 2,121 in 1978, 503 in 1977, and 6,937 in 1976.

A barrier dam was built on Weston Creek, a tributary of the Manistique River (Lake Michigan), by inserting stop logs in an existing structure to prevent sea lampreys from bypassing a dam and gaining access to the upper Manistique River. Since 1974, an electrical barrier blocked the spawning run, but occasional power failures allowed a few adults to bypass the dam. The water over the barrier dam is about 61 cm deep and has a velocity of $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The vertical drop from the low water level to the top of the barrier is 43 cm . No sea lampreys were observed surmounting the barrier dam nor captured in a temporary electrical barrier installed upstream of the barrier dam to assess its effectiveness. A portable assessment trap fished below the barrier dam captured 146 sea lampreys, indicating that many lampreys were in the stream. Four large rainbow trout apparently jumped the barrier and were observed on redds below the electrical barrier. The barrier dam apparently is more effective in preventing escapement of adults than the electrical barrier formerly used.

## Assessment Traps

Investigations to locate suitable areas for the operation of portable assessment traps continued in 1979. A total of 35 traps fished on 22 tributary rivers of four of the Great Lakes captured 18,129 sea lampreys (Table 6, Fig. 5). Lampreys were trapped in all but two of the rivers. Since these investigations began in 1977, traps operated in 64 Great Lakes tributaries have collected 48,958 spawning adult sea lampreys.

Most potential assessment sites in tributaries of the three Upper Great Lakes have been evaluated. Annual trapping stations were selected on 6 Lake Superior tributaries (Tahquamenon, Betsy, Miners, Rock, Big Garlic, and Iron rivers), 11 Lake Michigan streams (Fox, Oconto, Peshtigo, Menominee, Manistique, Carp Lake, Jordan, Boardman, Betsie, Muskegon, and St. Joseph rivers), and on 3 Lake Huron streams (St. Marys, Cheboygan, and Trout rivers).

A total of 1,313 sea lampreys were trapped in four tributaries of Lake Superior. The number of lampreys captured in the Big Garlic, Rock, and Tahquamenon rivers increased from 135,508, and 310 adults in 1978 to 191, 677, and 433 adults in 1979, respectively. A trap fished for the first time at the low-head barrier dam in the Miners River captured 12 adult sea lampreys. Although the catch at this site was low, the


Figure 5. Location of streams tributary to the Great Lakes where assessment traps were fished in 1979.
barrier presents an excellent trapping situation. Monitoring of the apparently small sea lamprey run on the Miners River will continue.

Length, weight, and sex ratios of sea lampreys trapped in Lake Superior streams were similar to those of lampreys taken in the eight electrical barriers in 1979 (Table 7). Average lengths and weights for lampreys captured in the four assessment traps of Lake Superior were 431 mm and 179 g , compared with 433 mm and 181 g for lampreys taken in the electrical barriers. Male sea lampreys represented $37 \%$ of the catch in the assessment traps and $33 \%$ in the electrical barriers.

Assessment traps were fished in six Lake Michigan rivers along the north and west shores in 1979. Traps were operated below dams in the Fox and Oconto rivers (tributaries of southern Green Bay) to determine the effects of pollution abatement on sea lamprey migrations. Although no sea lampreys were captured in the Fox River, 59 silver lampreys were collected in two traps placed at the De Pere dam. These captures indicate that the traps should have taken sea lampreys if they had been present in significant numbers. Only three sea lampreys were captured in the Oconto River, indicating that this once heavily polluted river continues to attract only limited numbers of migrant adults. Traps fished in the Peshtigo and Menominee rivers (Green Bay) collected 396 adult sea lampreys, compared with 4,200 in 1978 and 1,358 in 1977 . The $94 \%$ reduction in the number of sea lampreys captured attests to the high
efficiency of the chemical treatments in the Peshtigo River in 1977 and 1978. The significantly reduced trap catch, the recent decline in the rate of lake trout scarring, and the dramatic drop in the number of parasiticphase sea lampreys collected by commercial fishermen indicate a much reduced sea lamprey population in Green Bay. Combined average lengths and weights for 69 sea lampreys from these rivers were 485 mm and $228 \mathrm{~g} ; 55 \%$ were males.

The sea lamprey catch from the Manistique River (Lake Michigan) was similar in $1979(4,948)$ and $1978(5,408)$, although trapping effort was nearly doubled in 1979. On the basis of catch per unit of effort and the increase in the recovery of sea lampreys marked and released ( $13 \%$ in 1978 and $32 \%$ in 1979), we estimate a reduction in the spawning run in 1979 of at least $50 \%$. Average lengths and weights for 1,486 sea lampreys examined were 487 mm and 236 g ; males represented $44 \%$ of the population.

The operation of traps along the eastern shore of Lake Michigan was suspended (one exception) during 1979 so that other potential sites could be investigated. The capture of 68 adults in Carp Lake River, at the site of the old downstream migrant sea lamprey trap, resulted in the selection of this station for future assessment in Lake Michigan.

Fishing of assessment traps in Lake Huron tributaries was expanded to include four new tributaries in 1979. This work proved unproductive; the experimental assessment sites in the Sturgeon, Black, Thunder Bay, and Au Sable rivers produced a total of only six sea lampreys. The poor results precludes further work in these rivers.

The operation of portable traps at index stations in the St. Marys, Cheboygan, and Trout rivers of Lake Huron continued in 1979. The catch of sea lampreys in the St. Marys River remained about the same in $1979(1,213)$ as it was in $1978(1,148)$. Average lengths and weights for the 491 sea lampreys examined were 472 mm and 222 g , and males and females were equally represented in the population. Although the catch in the Cheboygan River increased from 3,360 in 1977 to 6,489 in 1978 to 8,327 in 1979, trapping effort was increased at a similar rate, suggesting that this large run has been stable over the past 3 years. Average lengths and weights of 534 lampreys from the river were 441 mm and $196 \mathrm{~g} ; 38 \%$ were males. Because high water eroded a small bypass around the dam on the Trout River, the catch of only two spawning adults may not accurately reflect the magnitude of the run in this river. Improvements to the dam are necessary to maintain its usefulness as an index station.

Traps in four Lake Ontario tributaries, operated for the second consecutive year, captured 1,707 sea lampreys in 1979, compared with 721 in 1978. The catch nearly doubled in Grindstone Creek (315 to 623) and increased over five times in Catfish Creek ( 65 to 360 ). Combined average lengths and weights for 1.593 lampreys from these streams were 485 mm and 261 g . The percent males ranged from 50 to 52 . All remaining potential trap sites on Lake Ontario have been visually
examined to determine their suitability; trapping is expected to proceed on nine additional tributaries in 1980.

## Parasitic Sea Lampreys

The collection of parasitic-phase sea lampreys taken by fishermen from lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron continued in 1979 (Table 8). On the basis of data for 1978, we estimate that the 1979 returns are about $94 \%$ complete.

A total of 177 sea lampreys were taken by Lake Superior commercial and sport fishermen in 1979. Two statistical districts contributed in largest numbers of sea lampreys-61 in the Munising, Michigan, area (MS-4), and 50 in the Wisconsin area. The collections included only 10 recently metamorphosed parasitic-phase sea lampreys $\leq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, of which 7 were collected in the Keweenaw Peninsula area (MS-3). No significant change in the sea lamprey population was indicated by the number of sea lampreys collected from the fisheries.

Further reduction of the parasitic sea lamprey population in Lake Michigan was indicated in 1979 when only 207 sea lampreys were collected, compared with 1,614 in 1977 and 337 in 1978. Three Lake Michigan statistical districts contributed significant numbers of sea lampreys in 1979-the Algoma, Wisconsin, area (WM-4), 65; the Naubinway, Michigan, area (MN-3), 61; and the Fairport, Michigan, area (MM-I), 48. Sea lampreys from the Algoma, Wisconsin, area were $82 \%$ spawning-phase adults. Lake Michigan collections included 16 recently metamorphosed parasitic-phase sea lampreys $\leq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.

In Green Bay, where a $92 \%$ decrease in the number of sea lampreys collected from the fisheries occurred in 1978, a continued decline was indicated in 1979, when only 59 were collected, compared with 89 in 1978. This reduction in the lamprey population was also reflected by a decrease in wounding rates among lake trout, from 4.7 to $3.5 \%$ (L. Wells, personal communication).

In northern Lake Michigan, excluding Green Bay, 148 sea lampreys were collected in 1979, a $40 \%$ decrease from the total of 248 collected in 1978. Wounding rates on lake trout in this area are not available for direct comparison because parasitic sea lampreys are collected from the fisheries in statistical districts MM-2 and MM-3, whereas wounding data on lake trout are collected in districts MM-4 and MM-5.

Lake Huron collections, which are limited to the De Tour, Michigan, area (MH-1), totaled 260 sea lampreys in 1979, compared with 329 in 1978. The collections included 19 recently metamorphosed parasitic-phase sea lampreys $\leq 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Although the number of sea lampreys collected from fishermen in 1979 indicates a slight decrease from collections in 1978, this large number of lampreys collected from a limited fishery indicates a continued high abundance of sea lampreys in the waters of northern Lake Huron.

## Ammocete Studies

## Lake Superior

Surveys have been conducted each fall since 1960 at index stations in Lake Superior tributaries to determine the presence of young-of-theyear sea lampreys. The maximum number of larvae collected per hour of electrofishing in the year of establishment or subsequent years is recorded. Lampreys of the 1979 year class were recovered from 31 streams. This year class was later eliminated by chemical treatments from five streams: Ravine, Slate, and Big Garlic rivers and Beaver Lake Outlet and Furnace Creek. Young-of-the-year sea lamprey larvae were discovered in 1979 for the first time in the St. Louis River. An index station is to be established on this stream in 1980. Twenty-five streams have shown no evidence of reestablishment for the past 4 years. Table 9 shows the status of the remaining reestablished populations in Lake Superior tributaries. the most significant ones, other than those in streams to be treated in 1980, appear to be in the Salmon Trout (Marquette County), Traverse, and Middle rivers. These streams were last treated in 1977 or 1978, and very few of the larvae are more than 80 mm long.

## Lake Michigan

Index stations on tributaries to the north and west shores of Lake Michigan were also examined in 1979. The 1979 year class was collected from 18 of 62 streams surveyed. Sixteen streams have shown no evidence of reestablishment for the past 4 years or more. The status of the remaining reestablished populations in streams of the north and west shores of Lake Michigan is shown in Table 10.

## Lake Huron

Index stations are also being monitored for young-of-the-year larvae in streams tributary to the north shore of Lake Huron. Larvae of the 1979 year class were collected from 11 of 21 streams examined. They were later eliminated in one stream (Albany Creek) by chemical treatment. Only one stream (Canoe Lake Outlet) has shown no evidence of reestablishment for the past 4 years or more. Table 11 shows the status of the remaining reestablished populations in streams along the north shore of Lake Huron.

## Big Garlic River Trap

A total of 1,863 ammocetes and 48 recently transformed sea lampreys were captured at the downstream trap in the Big Garlic River, Lake Superior, in 1979. The catch for 1978 was 750 and 201, respectively. Large larvae (over 120 mm long) collected in the Big Garlic River are allowed to transform in a warm-water aquarium and are then transferred to the Hammond Bay Laboratory.

## Invertebrate Studies

Increasing public awareness to potential ecosystem damage has encouraged studies of the effects of chemical treatments on stream invertebrates. The objectives of these studies are to further develop knowledge of the effects of chemical treatments on invertebrate populations and to identify potential problems before streams are treated. A few field data have been gathered. Riffle samples were taken before and after the treatment of Door County \#23 Creek, Wisconsin. A series of bottom samples from a previously untreated section of the Brule River in Wisconsin were taken to identify organisms present before the scheduled spring treatment. Several staff members of the Marquette Biological Station are enrolled in the aquatic entomology course at Northern Michigan University to develop expertise in aquatic insects.

## Development of Permanent Campgrounds

Many campsites currently being used by chemical treatment crews are inadequate, unsuitably located, or occasionally unavailable. Locations for construction of permanent campsites are being sought from the U.S. Forest Service and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Six locations in the Hiawatha National Forest and one in the Wisconsin Brule River State Forest are being considered.

## Gas Chromatography

In August 1977, gas-liquid chromatography was first used in a field situation to analyze stream samples for Bayer 73 wettable powder. Analysis by this method was used on six streams in 1979-the Chippewa, Cedar, Little Manistique, Pere Marquette, White, and Ocqueoc rivers.

In September, gas chromatography was demonstrated to the staff of the Sea Lamprey Control Centre, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, during treatments of the Goulais and Thessalon rivers. During these treatments technical assistance was furnished by the National Fishery Research Laboratory, La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Future applications of gas chromatography are planned to determine Bayluscide residue levels in stream bottom samples, possible trace levels of TFM in municipal water supplies, and Bayluscide concentrations in bioassays.

Table 1. Details on the application of lampricide to tributaries of Lake Superior, 1979.
[Lampricide used is in kilograms of active ingredient.]

| Stream | Date | Discharge at mouth ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | TFM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Concentration (ppm) |  | Kilograms used | Hours applied |
|  |  |  | Minimum effective | Maximum allowable |  |  |
| Little Two Hearted River | July 7 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 180 | 12 |
| Two Hearted River | July 9 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 4.7 | 848 | 12 |
| Huron River | Aug 3 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 249 | 12 |
| Sucker River | Sept. 7 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 479 | 12 |
| Au Train River (upper Au Train) | Sept. 7 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 11.1 | 409 | 8 |
| Beaver Lake Outlet Little Beaver Crerv. | Sept. 11 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 40 | 9 |
| Big Garlic River | Sept. 11 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 349 | 12 |
| Furnace Creek | Sept. 11 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 7.4 | 150 | 12 |
| Sturgeon River Otter River | Sept. 19 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 299 | 8 |
| Slate River | Sept. 19 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 20 | 8 |
| Ravine River | Sept. 20 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 20 | 12 |
| Total |  | 24.7 |  |  | 3,043 |  |

Table 2. Details on the application of lampricides to tributaries of Lake Michigan, 1979. [Lampricides used are in kilograms of active ingredient.]

| Stream | Date | Discharge at mouth ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | TFM |  |  |  | Kilograms of Bayer 73 powder used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Concentration (ppm) |  | Kilograms used | Hours applied |  |
|  |  |  | Minimum effective | Maximum allowable |  |  |  |
| Door Co. \#23 Creek | May 11 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 20.5 | 30 | 8 | 0.0 |
| Millicoquins River | May 12 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 249 | 12 | 0.0 |
| Hibbards Creek | May 13 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 279 | 8 | 0.0 |
| Hog Island Creek | May 15 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 50 | 12 | 0.0 |
| Whitefish River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chippeny Creek | May 28 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 8.2 | 190 | 12 | 0.0 |
| Cedar River | June 10 | 25.5 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 4,521 | 12 | 21.9 |
| Sturgeon River | June 23 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 1,477 | 12 | - |
| Trail Creek | June 25 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 14.0 | 449 | 10 | - |
| Little Manistee River | July 9 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 9.0 | 838 | 12 | 4.1 |
| Platte River (lower Platte) | July 19 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 11.9 | 689 | 10 | - |
| Elk Lake Outlet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pere Marquette River | July 24 | 13.4 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 4,022 | 12 | 15.2 |
| White River | Aug. 7 | 9.6 | 4.0 | 9.0 | 3,064 | 19 | 14.6 |
| Horton Creek | Aug. 12 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 16.2 | 120 | 10 | - |
| Grand River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockery Creek | Aug. 20 | 1.1 | 8.0 | 19.5 | 519 | 12 | - |
| Norris Creek | Oct. 19 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 30 | 11 | - |
| Sand Creek | Oct. 21 | 0.4 | 11.0 | 28.0 | 369 | 11 | - |
| Muskegon River | May 27 | 44.7 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 7,265 | 12 | - |
| Cedar Creek | Aug. 22 | 0.2 | 9.0 | 20.5 | 90 | 9 | - |
| East Twin River Jambo Creek | Oct. 3 | $<0.1$ | 7.2 | 22.1 | 20 | 12 | - |
| Total | - | 120.3 | - | - | 24,391 | - | 55.8 |

Table 3. Details on the application of lampricides to tributaries of Lake Huron, 1979. [Lampricides used are in kilograms of active ingredient.]

| Stream | Date | Discharge at mouth ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | TFM |  |  |  | Kilograms of Bayer 73 powder used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Concentration (ppm) |  | Kilograms used | Hours applied |  |
|  |  |  | Minimum effective | Maximum allowable |  |  |  |
| Saginaw River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chippewa River | May 15 | 12.2 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 3,982 | 13 | 4.6 |
| McKay Creek | May 24 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 10.7 | 309 | 12 | 0.0 |
| Steeles Creek | May 27 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 11.5 | 40 | 7 | 0.0 |
| Hessel Creek | May 28 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 16.0 | 80 | 7 | 0.0 |
| Trout Creek | May 29 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 30 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Cheboygan River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sturgeon River | Aug. 12 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 1,756 | 10 | - |
| Ocqueoc River | Aug. 24 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 | 928 | 12 | 3.0 |
| Rifle River | Sept. 8 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 2,225 | 12 | 7.5 |
| Albany Creek | Oct. 3 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 10.7 | 50 | 12 | - |
| Au Sable River 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pine River | Oct. 7 | 2.9 | 8.0 | 17.0 | 1,706 | 18 | - |
| Total | - | 30.1 | - | - | 11,106 | - | 15.1 |

Table 4. Number of adult sea lampreys taken at electric barriers operated in eight tributaries of Lake Superior through July 13, 1961-79.

|  |  | Two <br> Hearted | Sucker | Chocolay | Iron | Silver | Brule | Amnicon | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1961 | 1,366 | 7,498 | 3,209 | 4,201 | 2,430 | 5,052 | 22,478 | 4,741 | 50,975 |
| 1962 | 316 | 1,757 | 474 | 423 | 1,161 | 267 | 2,026 | 879 | 7,303 |
| 1963 | 444 | 2,447 | 698 | 358 | 110 | 760 | 3,418 | 131 | 8,366 |
| 1964 | 272 | 1,425 | 386 | 445 | 178 | 593 | 6,718 | 232 | 10,249 |
| 1965 | 187 | 1,265 | 532 | 563 | 283 | 847 | 6,163 | 700 | 10,540 |
| 1966 | 65 | 878 | 223 | 260 | 491 | 1,010 | 226 | 938 | 4,091 |
| 1967 | 57 | 796 | 166 | 65 | 643 | 339 | 364 | 200 | 2,630 |
| 1968 | 78 | 2,132 | 658 | 122 | 82 | 1,032 | 2,657 | 148 | 6,909 |
| 1969 | 120 | 1,104 | 494 | 142 | 556 | 1,147 | 3,374 | 1,576 | 8,513 |
| 1970 | 87 | 1,132 | 337 | 291 | 713 | 321 | 167 | 1,733 | 4,781 |
| 1971 | 104 | 1,035 | 485 | 53 | 1,518 | 340 | 1,754 | 4,324 | 9,613 |
| 1972 | 146 | 1,507 | 642 | 294 | 280 | 2,574 | 4,121 | 132 | 9,696 |
| 1973 | 294 | 894 | 468 | 270 | 16 | 495 | 261 | 149 | 2,847 |
| 1974 | 201 | 489 | 249 | 17 | 1 | 117 | 568 | 270 | 1,912 |
| 1975 | 197 | 683 | 478 | 24 | 8 | 206 | 285 | 2,606 | 4,487 |
| 1976 | 148 | 229 | 314 | 10 | 33 | 199 | 1,085 | 80 | 2,098 |
| 1977 | 162 | 654 | 533 | 4 | 66 | 312 | 2,572 | 493 | 4,796 |
| 1978 | 185 | 355 | 974 | 6 | 26 | 162 | 794 | 2,310 | 4.812 |
| 1979 | 104 | 450 | 367 | 63 | 21 | 145 | 1,217 | 46 | 2,413 |

Table 5. Average lengths and weights of sea lampreys and percentage of males from index streams of Lake Superior, 1954-79.

| Year | Number in sample | Average length (mm) | Average weight (g) | Percent males |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1954 | 2.381 | 458 | 220 | 57 |
| 1955 | 5,736 | 438 | 195 | 53 |
| 1956 | 9,265 | 451 | 202 | 56 |
| 1957 | 10,305 | 433 | 174 | 66 |
| 1958 | 12,542 | 426 | 165 | 57 |
| 1959 | 14,42 1 | 431 | 167 | 58 |
| 1960 | 11,906 | 414 | 147 | 68 |
| 1961 | 18,201 | 409 | 136 | 67 |
| 1962 | 6,581 | 431 | 159 | 69 |
| 1963 | 7,221 | 426 | 160 | 66 |
| 1964 | 6,706 | 422 | 155 | 56 |
| 1965 | 7,680 | 431 | 164 | 52 |
| 1966 | 3,797 | 410 | 146 | 42 |
| 1967 | 2,217 | 421 | 168 | 33 |
| 1968 | 5,874 | 421 | 161 | 32 |
| 1969 | 6,498 | 419 | 164 | 27 |
| 1970 | 4,009 | 431 | 176 | 35 |
| 1971 | 7,060 | 449 | 190 | 31 |
| 1972 | 8,032 | 443 | 192 | 3 k |
| 1973 | 2,663 | 421 | 161 | 31 |
| 1974 | 1,749 | 432 | 170 | 30 |
| 1975 | 3,407 | 436 | 186 | 31 |
| 1976 | 1,904 | 430 | 181 | 29 |
| 1977 | 4,065 | 433 | 180 | 29 |
| 1978 | 3,632 | 430 | 169 | 31 |
| 1979 | 2,181 | 433 | 181 | 33 |

Table 6. Number of sea lampreys captured, marked, and released and number and percentage recaptured in assessment traps in tributaries of the Great Lakes, 1979.

| Lake and stream | Dates of operation | Number of sea lampreys |  | Total recaptured |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Captured | Marked and |  |  |
|  |  | in trap | released | Number | Percent |
| Lake Superior |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big Garlic River | 5/7-7/13 | 191 | 0 | - | - |
| Rock River | 5/10-7/13 | 677 | 664 | 305 | 46 |
| Miners River | 5/10-7/2 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Tahquamenon River | 5/15-7/14 | 433 | 0 | - | - |
| Subtotal |  | 1,313 | 675 | 305 | 45 |
| Lake Michigan |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Shore |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fox River | 4/19-6/27 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Oconto River | 4/17-6/1 | 3 | 0 | - | - |
| Peshtigo River | 4/17-6/17 | 265 | 264 | 52 | 20 |
| Menominee River | 5/5-6/17 | 131 | 130 | 17 | 13 |
| Manistique River | 5/22-6/14 | 4,948 | 4,683 | 1,483 | 32 |
| Weston Creek | 5/16-7/12 | 146 | 145 | $42^{\text {a }}$ | $29^{\text {a }}$ |
| East Shore |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carp Lake River | 4/30-6/6 | 68 | 67 | 13 | 19 |
| Subtotal |  | 5,561 | 5,289 | 1,607 | 30 |
| Lake Huron |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Marys River | 6/28-8/17 | 1,213 | 922 | 282 | 31 |
| Cheboygan River | $5 / 30-6 / 15$ | 8,327 | 1,062 | 685 | 65 |
| Sturgeon River | 4/30-6/6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Black River | 5/1-6/5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Trout River | 4/25-6/8 | 2 | 0 | - | - |
| Thunder Bay River | 5/2-6/5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| Au Sable River | 5/1-6/5 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Subtotal |  | 9,548 | 1,990 | 968 | 49 |
| Lake Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oswego River |  |  |  |  |  |
| W. Br. Fish Creek | 4/24-6/14 | 51 | 0 | - | - |
| Little Salmon River | 4/24-6/15 | 673 | 0 | - | _ |
| Grindstone Creek | 4/24-6/15 | 623 | 0 | - | - |
| Catfish Creek | 5/2-6/15 | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal |  | 1,707 | 0 | - | - |
| Total all lakes |  | 18,129 | 7,954 | 2,880 | 36 |

[^4]River; actual recapture for Weston Creek was $14 \%$.

Table 7. Average lengths and weights of sea lampreys and percentage of males in catches at electric barriers and assessment traps in tributaries of the Great Lakes in 1979.

| Method of capture and stream | Number in sample | Average length (mm) | Average weight (g) | Percentage males |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Superior |  |  |  |  |
| Electric barrier |  |  |  |  |
| Betsy River | 104 | 439 | 212 | 40 |
| Two Hearted River | 450 | 450 | 201 | 34 |
| Sucker River | 367 | 428 | 169 | 39 |
| Chocolay River | 56 | 419 | 180 | 46 |
| Iron River | 20 | 425 | 168 | 25 |
| Silver River | 129 | 407 | 172 | 30 |
| Brule River | 1,043 | 431 | 175 | 31 |
| Amnicon River | 12 | 444 | 183 | 25 |
| Subtotal, barriers | 2,181 | 433 | 181 | 33 |
| Assessment trap |  |  |  |  |
| Tahquamenon River | 433 | 432 | 196 | 43 |
| Miners River | , | 427 | 150 | 100 |
| Rock River | 310 | 429 | 165 | 31 |
| Big Garlic River | 191 | 431 | 165 | 33 |
| Subtotal traps | 935 | 431 | 179 | 37 |
| Lake Superior streams | 3,116 | 432 | 180 | 35 |

## Lake Michigan

Assessment trap
Manistique River
Weston Creek
Menominee River
Peshtigo River

| 1,486 | 487 | 236 | 44 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 36 | 480 | 225 | 47 |
| 17 | 469 | 209 | 59 |
| 52 | 490 | 234 | 54 |
| 13 | 432 | 158 | 31 |
| 1,604 | 487 | 235 | 46 |


| Lake Huron |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Electric barrier <br> Ocqueoc River | 320 | 453 | 193 | 36 |
| Assessment trap |  |  |  |  |
| Cheboygan River | 534 | 441 | 196 | 38 |
| Trout River | 2 | 461 | 231 | 50 |
| Thunder Bay River | 1 | 496 | 258 | 100 |
| St. Marys River | 1,028 | 472 | 222 | 50 |
| Subtotal traps | 1,348 | 456 | 208 | 44 |
| Lake Huron streams |  | 455 | 205 | 42 |
|  | Lake Ontario |  |  |  |
| Assessment trap | 605 | 487 | 264 | 52 |
| Grindstone Creek | 584 | 489 | 265 | 50 |
| Little Salmon River | 359 | 479 | 252 | 52 |
| Catfish Creek | 45 | 472 | 247 | 51 |
| Oswego River | 1,593 | 485 | 261 | 51 |
| West Branch Fish Creek | 7,661 | 460 | 213 | 41 |
| Lake Ontario streams |  |  |  |  |
| Great Lakes Total |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Number of parasitic-phase sea lampreys and (in parentheses) number of spawning-phase sea lampreys collected in commercial and

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { District }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { length }(\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Superior |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $>200$ | 3 (2) | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| M-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>200$ | 16 (7) | 13 (16) | 3 (1) | 14 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| M-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>200$ | 7 | 9 (1) | 7 | 12 | 13 | 5 (38) | 4 (2) | 2 |
| Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 3 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $>200$ | 232 (2) | 119 (1) | 117 | 97 (2) | 81 (1) | 127 (5) | 54 (19) | 50 |
| MS-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| $>200$ | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 |
| MS-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| $>200$ | 8 (2) | 5 (1) | 4 (1) | 11 (1) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 (1) |
| MS-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 11 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| $>200$ | 29 | 61 | 17 | 27 | - 16 | 22 | 14 (2) | 16 |
| MS-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| $>200$ | 121 (3) | 74 (1) | 45 | 13 | 20 | 13 (1) | 25 (1) | 59 (1) |


| MS-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 200$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $>200$ | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| MS-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 |
| $>200$ | 13 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 16 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 18 | 17 | 21 | 14 | 10 | 19 | 7 | 10 |
| > 200 | 434 (16) | 373 (20) | 204 (2) | 181 (3) | 157 (1) | 196 (44) | 123 (24) | 165 (2) |


| MM-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 200$ | 1 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 37 | 8 | 6 |
| $>200$ | 46 | 99 (1) | 40 (4) | 37 (9) | 94 (11) | 233 (12) | 36 (14) | 37 (5) |
| MM-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 1 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $>200$ | 9 | 3 | 5 | 19 (1) | 12 (1) | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| MM-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 22 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 8 |
| $>200$ | 104 (2) | 71 | 59 | 68 | 35 (2) | 51 | 100 | 53 |
| MM-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 10 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| $>200$ | 8 (4) | 6 (2) | 7 | 4 | 3 | - | - | - |
| MM-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $>200$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | - | - | - |
| MM-7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $>200$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - |

Table 8. (Cont'd.)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { District }^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { length (mm) } \end{aligned}$ | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MM-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $>200$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | _ | - | - |
| WM-I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| $>200$ | 31 (40) | 37 (8) | 38 (14) | 33 (8) | 41 (4) | 289 (11) | 4 (8) | 2 |
| WM-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 144 | 91 | 107 | 15 | 24 | 217 | 6 | 0 |
| $>200$ | 432 | 258 | 250 | 187 | 98 | 303 | 13 | 9 |
| WM-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| $>200$ | 108 | 47 | 29 | 20 | 38 | 130 | 25 | 18 |
| WM-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| $>200$ | 27 (160) | 56 (42) | 54 (80) | 77 (107) | 25 (86) | 62 (235) | 17 (95) | 12 (53) |
| WM-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| $>200$ | 11 | 13 | 19 | 3 | 7 | 2 (1) | - | - |
| WM-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| > 200 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 201 | 137 | 144 | 31 | 51 | 280 | 20 | 16 |
| $>200$ | 777 (206) | 593 (53) | 503 (98) | 451 (125) | 353 (104) | 1,075 (259) | 200 (117) | 133 (58) |


| MH-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 200$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 48 | 7 | 19 |
| $>200$ | 88 | 31 | 10 | 111 | 120 | 222 | 322 | 241 |
| MH-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| > 200 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MH-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - |
| > 200 | 21 | 8 | 12 | 24 (3) | 6 (3) | - | - | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 200$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 48 | 7 | 19 |
| > 200 | 114 | 39 | 22 | 135 (3) | 126 (3) | 222 | 322 | 241 |

[^5]Table 9. Tributaries of Lake Superior with reestablished populations of sea lampreys and the maximum number of ammocetes collected per hour with an electric shocker. [ $\mathbf{B}$ indicates the presence of a year class recovered with Bayer 73.]

| Stream | Date of last treatment | Year class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| Waiska River | 9/30/76 |  | 1 | 9 | 42 |
| Pendills Creek | 7/27/73 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 5 |
| Grants Creek | 7/21/63 | 1 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Galloway Creek | 10/6/76 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Tahquamenon River | 10/3/76 |  | 5 | 8 | 20 |
| Betsy River | 6/8/78 |  |  | 30 | 98 |
| Little Two Hearted River | 7/7/79 |  |  |  | 51 |
| Two Hearted River | 7/9/79 |  |  |  | 60 |
| Seven Mile Creek | 7/19/67 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Miners River | 9/5/77 |  |  | 6 | 0 |
| Munising Falls Creek | 9/3/64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Five Mile Creek | 8/31/77 |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| Deer Lake Outlet | 8/13/70 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chocolay River | 9/12/73 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Harlow Creek | 11/1/77 |  |  | 4 | 0 |
| Little Garlic River | 6/26/78 |  |  | 59 | 2 |
| Salmon Trout River (Mqt. Co.) | 6/21/78 |  |  | 97 | 11 |
| Silver River | 9/29/78 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Sturgeon River | 10/1/78 |  |  |  | 13 |
| Trap Rock River | 8/5/63 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Traverse River | 10/7/78 |  |  |  | 97 |
| Big Gratiot River | 10/7/75 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Salmon Trout River (Htn. Co.) | 10/11/78 |  |  |  | 36 |
| Misery River | 8/13/78 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Firesteel River | 9/18/77 |  |  | 35 | 19 |
| Ontonagon River | 7/29/78 |  |  | , | 9 |
| Potato River | 8/2/78 |  |  |  |  |
| Cranberry River | 9/16/77 |  |  | 18 | 0 |
| Black River | 7/14/76 |  |  | B | 0 |
| Bad River | 7/22/77 |  |  | 45 | 11 |
| Fish Creek (Eileen Twp.) | 7/19/72 | 0 | B | 0 | 0 |
| Sand River | 10/16/64 | 0 | B | 0 | 0 |
| Brule River | 7/19/77 |  | 4 | 17 | 0 |
| Poplar River | 7/7/77 |  | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| Middle River | 7/7/77 |  | 20 | 80 | 24 |
| Amnicon River | 9/21/78 |  |  |  | 17 |
| Nemadji River | 9/23/78 |  |  |  | 61 |
| Split Rock River | 8/1/76 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Arrowhead River | 7/7/77 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total number of streams in which year class was collected |  | 5 | 12 | 20 | 25 |

Table 10. Tributaries of the north and west shores of Lake Michigan with reestablished populations of sea lampreys and the maximum number of ammocetes collected per hour with an electric shocker.

| Stream | Date of last treatment | Year class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| Brevort River | 6/24/77 |  | 1 | 3 | 15 |
| Black River | 6/10/78 |  |  | 20 | 35 |
| Millecoquins River | 6/23/77 |  | 3 | 17 | 1 |
| Rock River | 6/27/77 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Crow River | 5/9176 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cataract River | 9/21/75 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Point Patterson Creek | 9/23/75 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Hudson Creek | 7/16/78 |  |  | 0 | 9 |
| Bulldog Creek | 6/9/77 |  | 22 | 2 | 1 |
| Gulliver Lake Outlet | 6/12/77 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Marblehead Creek | 6/11/77 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Manistique River | 8/10/74 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Johnson Creek | 6/13/77 |  | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Deadhorse Creek | 6/28/77 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Bursaw Creek | 7/13/78 |  |  | 0 | 15 |
| Parent Creek | 7/14/78 |  |  | 9 | 1 |
| Poodle Pete Creek | 9/4/75 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Fishdam River | 10/14/76 |  | 11 | 25 | 2 |
| Sturgeon River | 6/23/79 |  |  |  | 17 |
| Ogontz River | 10/18/78 |  |  |  | 19 |
| Hock Creek | 6/23/71 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Whitefish River | 8/24/78 |  |  |  | 26 |
| Rapid River | 8/4/77 |  |  | 39 | 0 |
| Portage Creek | 9/2/78 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Ford River | 5/12/77 |  | 60 | 92 | 45 |
| Cedar River | 6/10/79 |  |  |  | 15 |
| Menominee River | 8/21/77 |  |  | 3 | 1 |
| Peshtigo River | 6/23/78 |  |  | 0 | , |
| Hibbards Creek | 5/13/79 |  |  |  | 6 |
| Kewaunee River | 5/10/75 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| East Twin River | 5/12/75 | 1 | 0 | I | 0 |
| Total number of streams in |  |  |  |  |  |
| which year class was |  | 6 | 10 | 19 | 18 |

Table 11. Tributaries of the north shore of Lake Huron with reestablished populations of sea lampreys and the maximum number of ammocetes collected per hour with an electric shocker.

| Stream | Date of last treatment | Year class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
| Little Munuscong River | 6/9/77 |  | 85 | 31 | 9 |
| Munuscong River | 5/17/78 |  |  | 0 | 4 |
| Caribou Creek | 5/13/78 |  |  | 2 | 3 |
| Joe Straw Creek | 5/10/75 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trout Creek | 5/29/79 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Beavertail Creek | 5/23/75 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| McKay Creek | 5/24/79 |  |  |  | 20 |
| Nuns Creek | 9/21/74 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 23 |
| Pine River | 5/27/77 |  | 30 | 6 | 19 |
| McCloud Creek | 10/25/72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Carp River | 5/27/78 |  |  | 12 | 52 |
| Total number of strean which year class was |  | 3 | 3 | 6 | 10 |

## SEA LAMPREY CONTROL IN CANADA

## J. J. Tibbles, S. M. Dustin, and B. G. H. Johnson Department of Fisheries and Oceans

This report summarizes the activities of the Canadian Sea Lamprey Control Unit during the period April 1, 1979 to March 31, 1980, in compliance with a Memorandum of Agreement between the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and the Great Lakes Fishery Commission. The Department acts as agent for the Commission with respect to the Canadian portion of the sea lamprey control program, which is conducted by the Department's Sea Lamprey Control Centre located at Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario. In addition to treating the Canadian tributaries of the Great Lakes, this Centre has accepted responsibility for treating streams on the United States side of Lake Ontario.

The sea lamprey control program consists essentially of four types of activity: assessment, treatment, survey, and biological investigation. The assessment of sea lamprey spawning runs is accomplished by means of one electrical barrier and several mechanical weirs and traps; treatments of streams and other bodies of water require the controlled application of selective toxicants; surveys for larval lampreys (ammocoetes) are carried out with the use of electricity or chemicals; while biological studies are focused upon the distribution, movement, abundance, and growth of sea lamprey.

## Electrical Barrier, Weir and Trap Operations

The barrier operated on Kaskawong River, a tributary of Lake Huron, captured 44 per cent more sea lamprey in 1979 than in the previous year. The average size of the sea lamprey also increased in 1979, however the change in sex ratio was slight and showed no trend.

Mechanical weirs were installed and operated on Cypress and Sable Rivers (Lake Superior), on Blue Jay and Silver Creeks (Lake Huron) and on Graham Creek (Lake Ontario). They captured 9, 11, 77, 52 and 168 spawning phase sea lamprey, respectively. Box traps made of metal framing covered with hardware cloth were set in four Lake Huron tributaries (including St. Marys River), and in three Lake Ontario
streams. In total, the Lake Huron traps captured 499, and the Lake Ontario traps captured 177 spawning phase sea lamprey.

## Trawling for Sea Lamprey in St. Marys River

In the fall of 1979 the annual assessment of the adult sea lamprey population by surface trawling in St. Marys River was repeated. A total of 50 sea lamprey were captured and the catch rate of 0.26 per hour represented a 44 per cent increase over the previous year's figure (see Table 1).

## Sea Lamprey from the Canadian Commercial Fishery

In response to a reward offered to Great Lakes commmercial fishermen for sea lamprey and related catch data, a total of 267 specimens were submitted. Examination of these showed that females continue to predominate in commercial catches of sea lamprey, however no changes were observed in mean sizes of sea lamprey for the same months and from the same fishing gear compared with previous years.

## Stream Surveys

A total of 58 Lake Superior tributaries were surveyed by means of electro-shockers or granular Bayer 73. Included were routine surveys of 26 streams which had had no previous record of sea lamprey (all with negative results); re-establishment surveys of 11 streams previously treated with lampricide; distribution surveys on nine tributaries in preparation for future treatments; treatment-evaluation surveys on six previously treated streams; and population studies on six tributaries with known sea lamprey populations.

On Lake Huron a total of 65 tributaries were surveyed. Included were routine surveys of 50 tributaries (all of which were negative for sea lamprey); re-establishment surveys of six streams; distribution surveys of six streams; treatment-evaluation surveys of eight streams; and a population study on one stream. Some streams were surveyed more than once.

On the Canadian side of Lake Ontario a total of 24 tributaries were surveyed. These included routine surveys of five streams (all of which were negative for sea lamprey) and re-establishment surveys of eight streams. On the United States side of Lake Ontario a total of 18 tributaries were surveyed, including re-establishment surveys on 13 streams; distribution surveys on eight streams; treatment-evaluation surveys on 10 streams; and population studies on two streams. Routine surveys were conducted as usual by the staff of the United States Control Unit.

## Lampricide Treatments

Eight of the nine Lake Superior tributaries specified in the Memorandum of Agreement were treated with lampricide. These were Cranberry and Stillwater Creeks, Goulais, Michipicoten, Pic, Steel, Black Sturgeon and Kaministikwia Rivers. Table 2 lists details of these treatments. Nipigon River was not treated because the necessity of maintaining generation of hydro-electric power at Alexander Falls precluded reduction of discharge to treatable levels. All of the treatments were judged effective.

The seven scheduled treatments of Lake Huron tributaries (Silver, Telfer, and Sucker Creeks, Sauble, Mississagi, Thessalon, and Garden Rivers) were completed, and in addition, Sturgeon River was treated when surveys showed metamorphosing sea lamprey to be present (see Table 3). All of these treatments were judged effective.

Six streams (Port Britain, Lakeport, Grafton, Rouge, Mayhew and Salem) on the Canadian side of Lake Ontario and five streams on the United States side (Wolcott, Deer, Sterling, Little Sandy and Little Salmon) were all treated effectively (see Table 4)

Granular Bayer 73 was applied to selected areas in the Lake Superior basin as follows: Helen Lake (Nipigon River system), Mountain Bay, Cypress Bay, Mackenzie Bay and Batchawana Bay and to parts of St. Marys River (see Table 5)

## Sea Lamprey Barrier Dams

Low-head barrier dams were constructed on Sturgeon River, a Lake Huron tributary, and on Gimlet Greek, a tributary of Pancake River which flows into Lake Superior. Preliminary designs were prepared for proposed barrier dams on the following Lake Superior streams, Sheppard Creek, a tributary of Goulais River, Sable River and Stokely Creek and on two Lake Ontario streams, Duffin and Graham Creeks

## Sea Lamprey Larval Growth Study

In 1978 a number of spawning phase sea lamprey had been released above a barrier in Proctors Creek, a Lake Ontario tributary, in an attempt to establish a known age population of ammocoetes whose rate of growth could then be studied. Unfortunately attempts in 1979 to find ammocoetes resulting from this introduction were unsuccessful. A similar planting of adult sea lamprey above a barrier was made in 1979 in Soper Brook, a tributary of Bowmanville Creek, another Lake Ontario stream. Young-of-the-year ammocoetes were found in the fall of 1979 indicating successful spawning in this case.

Table 1. Numbers of sea lamprey caught per hour of trawling at the Edison Sault Electric plant in St. Marys River in 1977, 1978 and 1979.

| Week Ending |  |  | Trawling Time (Hours) |  |  | No. of Lamprey |  |  | No. of Lamprey per hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |
| Oct. 22 |  | Oct. 20 | 30.0 | 30.1 | 13.2 15.8 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Oct. 29 | Oct. 28 | Oct. 27 | 29.5 | 30.1 | 15.8 21.1 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Nov. 5 | Nov. 4 | Nov. 3 | 30.1 | 29.8 30.2 | 30.7 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Nov. 12 | Nov. 11 | Nov. 10 | 18.8 | 34.2 | 18.8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Nov. 19 | Nov. 18 | Nov. 17 | 30.3 | 24.2 | 18.8 27.9 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Nov. 26 | Nov. 25 | Nov. 24 | 23.0 | 27.1 | 30.0 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Dec. 3 | Dec. 2 | Dec. 1 | 30.1 | 12.2 | 31.2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Dec. 10 | Dec. 9 | Dec. 8 | 19.0 | 14.8 | 31.2 | , |  |  |  | - |  |
|  | Dec. 16 |  |  | - 6.0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  | 0 | 174.6 | 188.8 | 44 | 31 | 50 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

Table 2. Summary of streams and bay areas treated with lampricide on Lake Superior, 1979.

| Stream | Date | FLOW |  | TFM |  | Bayer 73 |  | Granular <br> Bayer 73 |  | Sea ${ }^{(1)}$ lamprey abundance |  | Stream Treated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | $\mathrm{f}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | $\mathrm{kg}$ | lbs. |  | lbs. | kg | Ibs. |  |  | km | miles |
| Cranberry Cr. | June 26-27 | 3.1 | 108 | 186 | 410 | - | - | - | - | S- | 4 | 7.6 | 4.7 |
| Stillwater Cr. | July 11-13 | 0.1 | 4 | 25 | 54 | - | - | - | - | S. | 34 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
| Steel R. | July 12-13 | 10.9 | 384 | 804 | 1,768 | 13 | 28 | - | - |  | 569 | 10.1 | 6.3 |
| Black Sturgeon R. | July 14-16 | 17.7 | 625 | 1,353 | 2,983 | 21 | 47 | 21 | 47 | S- | 176 | 16.3 | 10.1 |
| Kaministikwia R. | July 18-23 | 26.3 | 928 | 2,969 | 6,545 | 43 | 94 | 2 | 5 |  | 1,019 (10) | 58.1 | 36.1 |
| Michipicoten R. | Aug. 11-12 | 50.4 | 1,779 | 2,669 | 5,885 | 42 | 93 | - | - |  | 713 (1) | 18.5 | 11.5 |
| Pic R. | Aug. 14-19 | 49.6 | 1,750 | 2,959 | 6,523 | 43 | 95 | 147 | 325 | M- | 587 | 112.7 | 70.0 |
| Goulais R. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } 10-14, \\ & 19-21 \end{aligned}$ | $17.6$ | 620 | 1,378 | 3,037 | 17 | 37 | 64 | 141 | M- | 2,241 (47) | 132.4 | 82.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hectares | Acres |
| Nipigon River System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Helen Lake | July 20-25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 912 | 2,010 |  | 1,810 | 4.0 | 9.9 |
| Mountain Bay | July 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,075 | 4,575 |  | 516 | 9.1 | 22.5 |
| Cypress Bay | July 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 499 | 1,100 |  | 456 | 2.3 | 5.7 |
| Mackenzie Bay | July 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 612 | 1,350 | M- | 604 (5) | 2.4 | 6.0 |
| Batchawana Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Batchawana R. | Aug. 20, 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,116 | 2,460 |  | 2,432 (8) | 5.1 | 12.6 |
| -Chippewa R. | Aug. 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 352 | 775 |  | 590 (8) | 1.5 | 3.8 |
| Totals |  | 175.7 | 6,198 | 12,343 | 27,205 | 179 | 394 | 5,800 | 12,788 |  |  | 360.2 | 223.8 |
|  |  |  |  | kg | lbs. | kg | lbs. | kg | lbs. |  |  | km | miles |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.4 | 60.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hectares | Acres |

[^6]Table 3．Summary of streams treated with lampricide on Lake Huron， 1979.

| Stream | Date | FLOW |  | TFM |  | Bayer 73 |  | Granular Bayer 73 |  | Sea ${ }^{(1)}$ lamprey abundance | Stream Treated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | $\mathrm{f}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | kg | lbs． | kg | lbs． | kg | Ibs． |  | km | miles |
| Silver Cr ． | May 30 | 0.4 | 15 | 87 | 192 | 1 | 3 | － | － | A－ 729 | 5.1 | 3.2 |
| Telfer Cr． | June 1－2 | 0.3 | 10 | 164 | 361 | － | － | － | － | M－ 291 | 6.4 | 4.0 |
| Sauble R． | June 3－4 | 4.1 | 143 | 975 | 2，144 | 16 | 34 | － | － | S－ 175 | 3.5 | 2.2 |
| Sucker Cr． | June 14－15 | 0.4 | 15 | 36 | 79 | － | － | － | － | S－ 12 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| Mississagi R． | Aug．20－23 | 60.8 | 2，145 | 3，956 | 8，704 | 62 | 136 | 7 | 15 | A－ 2.651 （48） | 39.5 | 24.5 |
| Thessalon R． | Sept．17－19 | 7.7 | 273 | 643 | 1，415 | 10 | 21 | 5 | 10 | M－ 416 （4） | 37.0 | 23.0 |
| Garden R． | June 26， Sept．24－27 | 9.1 | 323 | 659 | 1，449 | － | － | 27 | 59 | M－1，762（1） | 74.1 | 46.0 |
| Sturgeon R． | Oct．17－19 | 1.3 | 44 | 372 | 819 | － | － | － | － | M－ 488 （2） | 16.0 | 9.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hectares | Acres |
| St．Marys R． －Whitefish Is． | Sept． 5 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 714 | 1，575 | S－ | 3.0 | 7.5 |
| －Root R． | Sept． 6 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 272 | 600 | S－ | 1.1 | 2.8 |
| －Garden R． | Sept． 28 | － | － | － | － | － | － | 95 | 210 | M－ | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| Totals |  | 84.1 | 2，968 | $\underset{\mathrm{kg}}{6,892}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I5, } 163 \\ & \text { Ibs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \\ & \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 194 \\ & \text { Ibs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{kg}}{1,120}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,469 \\ \text { lbs. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 182.5 \\ \mathrm{~km} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113.4 \\ & \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5 Hectares | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ \text { Acres } \end{array}$ |

（1） $\mathrm{S}=$ Scarce； $\mathrm{M}=$ Moderate； $\mathrm{A}=$ Abundant
（ ）indicates number of transforming sea lamprey larvae collected

Table 4．Summary of streams treated with lampricide on Lake Ontario， 1979.

| Stream | Date | FLOW |  | TFM |  | Bayer 73 |  | Granular <br> Bayer 73 |  | Sea ${ }^{(2)}$ <br> lamprey abundance |  | Stream Treated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | $\mathrm{f}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A} \\ \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | Ingr． Jbs． | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ac} \\ \mathrm{~kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ingr. } \\ \text { lbs. } \end{gathered}$ | kg | Ibs． |  |  | km | miles |
| CANADA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Port Britain Cr ． | May 31－June 2 | 0.2 | 6 | 75 | 166 | － | － | － | － | M－ |  | 9.6 | 6.0 |
| Lakeport Cr． | June 3－4 | 0.3 | 11 | 150 | 331 | ＿ | － | － | ＿ | M－ | 464 | 16.2 | 10.1 |
| Grafton Cr． | June 6－7 | 0.2 | 6 | 70 | 153 | － | － | ＿ | ＿ | A－ | 612 | 6.8 | 4.2 |
| Rouge R． | June 9－11 | 3.2 | 113 | 487 | 1，072 | － | ＿ | － | － | S－ | 149 | 26.3 | 16.3 |
| Mayhew Cr．${ }^{(1)}$ | June 9－12 | 0.3 | 10 | 85 | 188 | － | － | 95 | 210 | A－ | 611 | 26.3 4.8 | 16.3 3.0 |
| Salem Cr． | June 12－13 | 0.2 | 6 | 75 | 165 | － | － | － | － | A－ | 632 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
|  |  | 4.4 | 152 | 942 | 2，075 | － | － | 95 | 210 |  |  | 65.8 | 40.9 |
| UNITED STATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wolcott Cr． | May 4－5 | 0.9 | 30 | 228 | 502 | － | － | － | － | S－ | 14 | 6.8 | 4.2 |
| Deer Cr． | May 5－6 | 1.0 | 34 | 136 | 298 | － | － | － | － |  | 1，362 | 20.6 | 12.8 |
| Sterling Cr． | May 6－7 | 2.8 | 100 | 478 | I，053 | 4 | 8 | － | － |  | 570 | 16.1 | 10.0 |
| Little Salmon R． | May 9－14 | 5.7 | 200 | 694 | 1，529 | － | － | ＿ | － |  | 2，673 | 77.2 | 48.0 |
| Little Sandy Cr． | May 14－16 | 1.1 | 37 | 206 | 454 | － | － | － | － | M－ | 671 | 29.6 | 18.4 |
|  |  | 11.5 | 401 | 1，742 | 3，836 | 4 | 8 | － | － |  |  | 150.3 | 93.4 |

[^7]Table 5. Summary of granular Bayer 73 treatments, Lakes Superior and Huron, 1979.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | SEA LAMPREY LARVAE COLLECTED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TREATMENT |  | Granular Bayer 73 |  | Approx. area Treated |  | Size <br> Range <br> (mm) | Number Collected |  |  |  |
| Location | Date | lbs. | kg | Acres | Hectares |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0-51 \\ & (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52-101 \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102+ \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| LAKE SUPERIOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nipigon River System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Helen Lake | July 20-25 | 2,010 | 912 | 9.9 | 4.0 | 16-181 | 76 | 999 | 735 | 1,810 |
| Mountain Bay | July 23 | 4,575 | 2,075 | 22.5 | 9.1 | 26-156 | 7 | 122 | 387 | 516 |
| Cypress Bay | July 24 | 1,100 | 499 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 26-196 | 28 | 339 | 89 | 456 |
| Mackenzie Bay | July 24 | 1,350 | 612 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 36-186 | 18 | 312 | 274 | 604 (5) |
| Batchawana Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Batchawana R. | Aug. 20-22 | 2,460 | 1,116 | 12.6 | 5.1 | 21-171 | 1,634 | 662 | 136 | 2,432 (8) |
| -Chippewa R. | Aug. 21 | 775 | 352 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 26-156 | 56 | 348 | 186 | 590 (8) |
| TOTALS |  | 12,270 | 5,566 | 60.5 | 24.4 |  | 1,819 | 2,782 | 1,807 | 6,408 (21) |
| LAKE HURON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Marys River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Whitefish Is. | Sept. 5 | 1,575 | 714 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 46-141 | 2 | 56 | 20 | 78 (1) |
| -Root R. | Sept. 6 | 550 | 250 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 31-146 | 6 | 46 | 22 | 74 |
| -Garden R. | Sept. 28 | 210 | 95 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 36-131 | 5 | 60 | 2 | 67 |
| TOTALS |  | 2,335 | 1,059 | 11.0 | 4.4 |  | 13 | 162 | 44 | 219 (1) |

[^8]Table 5. Summary of granular Bayer 73 treatments, Lakes Superior and Huron, 1979.

| TREATMENT |  | Granular Bayer 73 |  | Approx. area Treated |  | Size Range (mm) | Number Collected |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location | Date |  |  | lbs. | kg |  | Acres | Hectares | (mm) | (mm) | (mm) | TOTAL |
| LAKE SUPERIOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nipigon River System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Helen Lake | July 20-25 | 2,010 | 912 | 9.9 | 4.0 |  | 16-181 | 76 | 999 | 735 | 1,810 |
| Mountain Bay | July 23 | 4,575 | 2,075 | 22.5 | 9.1 | 26-156 | 7 | 122 | 387 | 516 |
| Cypress Bay | July 24 | 1,100 | 499 | 5.7 | 2.3 | 26-196 | 28 | 339 | 89 | 456 |
| Mackenzie Bay | July 24 | 1,350 | 612 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 36-186 | 18 | 312 | 274 | 604 (5) |
| Batchawana Bay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Batchawana R. | Aug. 20-22 | 2,460 | 1,116 | 12.6 | 5.1 | 21-171 | 1,634 | 662 | 136 | 2,432 (8) |
| -Chippewa R. | Aug. 21 | 775 | 352 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 26-156 | 56 | 348 | 186 | 590 (8) |
| TOTALS |  | 12,270 | 5,566 | 60.5 | 24.4 |  | 1,819 | 2,782 | 1,807 | 6,408 (21) |
| LAKE HURON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Marys River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --Whitefish Is. | Sept. 5 | 1,575 | 714 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 46-141 | 2 | 56 | 20 | 78 (1) |
| -Root R. | Sept. 6 | 550 | 250 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 31-146 | 6 | 46 | 22 | 74 |
| -Garden R. | Sept. 28 | 210 | 95 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 36-131 | 5 | 60 | 2 | 67 |
| TOTALS |  | 2,335 | 1,059 | 11.0 | 4.4 |  | 13 | 162 | 44 | 219 (1) |

( ) = Number of sea lamprey larvae undergoing adult transformation

# ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF SEA LAMPREY CONTROL 

Thomas A. Edsall<br>Great Lakes Fishery Laboratory<br>Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105

and
Joseph B. Hunn
Great Lakes Fishery Laboratory
Hammond Bay Biological Station
Millersburg, Michigan 49759

## INTRODUCTION

The Great Lakes Fishery Commission is committed to a continuing program of assessing impact of residual sea lamprey populations on Great Lakes fish stocks. Its main charge is to develop an integrated, cost-effective lamprey control program that will include the continued use of chemical toxicants where appropriate, but that will also include the use of repellents, attractants, sterilants, physical barriers, and other measures that may be effective, economical, and ecologically safe. Under contract with the Commission, we perform research on the development of alternative methods for control of the sea lamprey.

## Development of Methods to Sterilize Adult Sea Lampreys

Our studies have shown that the release of artificially sterilized male sea lampreys into streams containing spawning populations of sea lampreys can reduce the reproductive success of these populations. Additional research is underway to develop effective, environmentally safe means for sterilizing adult male sea lampreys for use in sea lamprey control.

We conducted laboratory studies to determine if male spawning-run sea lampreys could be sterilized by injecting Depo-Testosterone Cypionate (DTC) at dose rates of 100,250 , and $500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Thirty males were injected ( 10 at each dose rate) and released in an artificial stream in the laboratory with 30 untreated females.

Lampreys observed spawning were removed from the stream and spawned artificially. Each female spawned with a treated male was also spawned with a normal male to provide a control on the fertility of the female. Batches of eggs from different spawnings were held separately in glass battery jars partly immersed in constant temperature troughs held at 18.3 C . Dead embryos were periodically removed. After 20 days of incubation, all remaining embryos were fixed in $4 \%$ formalin and examined microscopically. The results showed clearly that the injection of DTC had no effect on the number of larvae produced, and therefore had no sterilizing action at the dose rates tested.

Feasibility of a Staged, TFM-Release Technique to Prevent Mortality of Sensitive, Nontarget Fishes in Streams During Sea Lamprey Treatment

Treating of populations of sea lamprey larvae with the lampricide 3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol (TFM) is hampered in many streams tributary to the Great Lakes by the presence of sensitive, nontarget fishes that could be killed by exposure to concentrations of TFM required to kill lamprey larvae. A potential solution to this problem was suggested by the results of preliminary laboratory tests indicating that the tolerance of sensitive, nontarget fishes to TFM could be increased by stimulating their detoxifying enzyme systems and enhancing their ability to excrete TFM residues. In practice, this approach would involve exposing these nontarget species briefly to sublethal amounts of TFM, before releasing TFM in the higher concentrations needed to kill sea lamprey larvae. Implicit in this proposed solution was the assumption that the tolerance of sea lamprey larvae to TFM would not increase as a result of pre-exposure to sublethal concentrations of the lampricide.

The results of two tests conducted at the Hammond Bay Biological Station in May-June 1979 did not offer support for this staged TFM release approach as a solution to the problem. Under the conditions of the tests, we observed no increased survival of pre-exposed, nontarget fishes (longnose suckers, white suckers, and lake trout). Also, contrary to expectations, both tests suggested that pre-exposure of sea lamprey larvae to sublethal concentrations of TFM increased their tolerance to the chemical. The results of recently completed biochemical analyses showing levels of conjugated TFM in the gallbladder bile of the nontarget species also failed to present clear evidence that pre-exposure to TFM enhanced the ability of these fishes to excrete TFM residues.

More thorough tests are planned to investigate whether preexposure of sea lamprey larvae to sublethal concentrations of TFM increases their tolerance to the chemical.

## Field Tests of Attractants and Repellents for Potency Against Adult Spawning-Run Sea Lampreys

In a study begun in April 1978, we explored the possibility that transforming sea lampreys could be "imprinted" en masse on their downstream migration by metering small amounts of an environmentally safe odorant (imprintant) into the lower reaches of Great Lakes tributaries in which sea lampreys spawn. If imprinting could be demonstrated, imprintants could be used as lures to help capture adult sea lampreys approaching the Great Lakes tributaries to spawn. The first step in this study was a test of phenethyl alcohol (PA) as an imprintant for sea lampreys. This chemical was selected bacause it appeared to be environmentally safe and had been used successfully an an imprintant for salmonids.

We marked 316 recently metamorphosed sea lampreys (average size, 160 mm and 5 g ) by injecting a fluorescent rose dye-stripe into their posterior dorsal fins. The lampreys were then exposed to $5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ PA for 24 hours in Lake Huron water, and released at the electromechanical sea lamprey weir site in the Ocqueoc River on April 13, 1978. We also marked 47 transformed sea lampreys captured in 1977-78 during their downstream migration in the Ocqueoc River and released them (without exposing them to PA) on the same date, as controls. Of these controls, 26 were fall migrants (average size, 171 mm and 6.4 g ) which were marked with two green stripes in the posterior dorsal fin; the other 21 were spring migrants (average size, 171 mm and 6.9 g ) which were marked with one green stripe in the posterior dorsal fin.

The PA was metered into the Ocqueoc River at a concentration of about $5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}, 24$ hours a day for 81 days during the sea lamprey spawning run of 1979. the PA was released directly into the water flowing through a pair of identical funnel-type fish traps installed immediately adjacent to one another in the weir. Both traps operated continuously while PA was released for 24 consecutive hours in one trap and then for 24 hours in the other trap, and so on, throughout the test period.

Records of the trap catches revealed no attraction or avoidance reaction to PA by previously unexposed sea lampreys or other species of fish. Two marked sea lampreys exposed to PA as newly transformed adults were recaptured in the Ocqueoc River weir trap a year later, during the 1979 spawning run. One of these marked lampreys was taken in the trap net receiving PA on the day of capture. We did not determine
in which trap the other lamprey was captured because this individual was not identified on the day of capture. During the spawning run of 1979, we also examined about 6,000 spawning adult sea lampreys from the Cheboygan River (which received no PA or PA-exposed transformers), to determine if any of the marked lampreys had migrated into that river. These examinations yielded four marked lampreys that had been exposed to PA and released in the Ocqueoc River in 1978. None of the marked lampreys released as controls were recovered in either the Ocqueoc or Cheboygan Rivers.

A group of 100 recently transformed, PA-exposed sea lampreys was released in the Ocqueoc River during the spring of 1979 in a continuing evaluation of this chemical as a potential imprintant for sea lampreys. A refined testing procedure and the release of a larger number of PAexposed transformers will be required to adequately evaluate the potential of this method.

## Experimental Determination of the Mechanism and Effect of Sea Lamprey Predation on Lake Trout

We designed a laboratory study, begun in late 1978, to provide data needed to establish more fully the relation between sea lamprey wounding and sea lamprey-induced mortality in lake trout. A better understanding of the wounding-mortality relationship is needed to estimate the impact of the residual sea lamprey populations on lake trout stocks and to determine the optimum level of sea lamprey control. In the past, attempts have been made to determine lethal lamprey attack rates from the observed frequency of wounds and scars in samples of surviving fish. Most of this evidence linking wounding and scarring rates to lake trout mortality is circumstantial, however, because trout killed by lampreys in the wild are seldom found, and most of the methods tried or considered to circumvent this problem involved assumptions that cannot be fully met, or required bias-free data that are difficult to obtain.

In the present study, tests were designed specifically to produce basic information on wounding mortality in relation to four factors: size of lake trout, size of sea lampreys, prey-size preference of lampreys, and predator-prey ratio. These tests are being conducted by placing trout and sea lampreys together in large tanks or raceways supplied with Lake Huron water and observing the rates of wounding and mortality among the trout. Three tests have been completed. Tests 1 and 2 were conducted in fall at water temperatures that were declining from 10 C to 4 C , and test 3 was conducted in spring and summer at 10 C . Initial populations were 20 lake trout and 10 sea lampreys in tests 1 and 2 , and 40 lake trout and 20 sea lampreys in test 3 . Sea lampreys that died during testing were replaced, but lake trout that died were not replaced. The results of these three tests are as follows:

| Test number | Average length (mm) at start of study |  | Duration of test (days) | Mortality of trout (percent) | Average number of attack marks on dead and live trout at end of study |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lake <br> trout | Sea lampreys |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Dead | Live |
| 1 | 487 | 401 | 44 | 100 | 2.3 | - |
| 2 | 559 | 400 | 83 | 75 | 2.3 | 4.8 |
| 3 | 566 | 258 | 87 | 100 | 3.8 | - |

In test 1 , where relatively small lake trout were exposed to large lampreys nearing the end of their parasitic life stage, mortality was rapid and complete, and the dead trout bore an average of 2.3 attack marks. In test 2 , where slightly larger trout were exposed to large lampreys, $25 \%$ of the lake trout survived after 83 days; dead trout had an average of 2.3 attack marks, as in test I, but the surviving trout had an average of 4.8 attack marks. In test 3 , where the largest trout were exposed to the smallest lampreys, mortality was complete in 87 days, and the average number of attack marks was 3.8 .

Interpretation of these preliminary results is difficult because the tests are unreplicated and because tests 1 and 2 were conducted under slightly different conditions than test 3 . Nevertheless, the results suggest the expected relationships: attacks from large lampreys on small trout are more rapidly lethal than are attacks by small lampreys on larger trout ( 44 vs. 87 days); and fewer attacks are required by large lampreys to kill small trout than are required by small lampreys to kill larger trout ( 2.3 vs. 3.8). Although test 2 showed the expected relationship to test 1 , it appears to be in disagreement with test 3 . This discrepancy may be the result of the higher average water temperatures during test 3 , which may have caused sea lamprey attacks to be more rapidly lethal.

## Efficacy of New Formulations of Registered Lampricides Against Larval Sea Lamprey

The development of new bottom-release formulations of registered lampricides is desirable to increase the effectiveness of these compounds for use in controlling populations of sea lamprey larvae in deltas, estuaries, oxbows, and lakes. Their development has progressed more slowly than planned because attempts to obtain technical grade lampricides for reformulation were unsuccessful. Preliminary toxicity tests conducted in the interim with existing formulations and free-swimming lamprey larvae indicated that TFM alone could not be used effectively as a bottom-release lampricide because high concentrations (more than $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ for 15 minutes or more than $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ for 30 minutes) were
required to produce $100 \%$ mortality among the test populations; however, Bayer 73 alone or in combination with TFM showed considerable potential.

## Integrated Production of Sea Lamprey for Research

About 4,900 sea lampreys in various life stages were obtained for use in research conducted at the Hammond Bay Biological Station and the Monell Chemical Senses Center. Included were 3,246 spawning-run lampreys taken in the weir on the Ocqueoc River, 1,100 captured in the Cheboygan River, and 150 taken in the St. Marys River; 333 feedingstage sea lampreys were purchased from a commercial fisherman trapnetting in the vicinity of Hammond Bay; about 100 recently transformed sea lampreys were provided by personnel from the Marquette Biological Station; and 18 transformers (averaging 177 mm and 7.4 g ) were captured in fyke nets fished October-December in the Ocqueoc River.

The small numbers of larvae and transformers prevented or hampered completion of some of the planned work for the year.

## Chemical Attractants and Repellents for Sea Lampreys

This section summarizes research conducted during 1979, at the Monell Chemical Senses Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and the Hammond Bay Biological Station, to identify and characterize intraspecific chemical signals (pheromones) involved in sea lamprey migration and reproduction. Such substances may prove to be useful as lures to help capture spawning-run lampreys or to disrupt normal pheromone communication so that successful spawning is prevented or reduced.

The occurrence of pheromone communication in spawning-run sea lampreys has been inferred from the results of a large number of two-choice preference tests in which sexually mature lampreys exhibited significant preferences for water in which sea lampreys of the opposite sex had been held. During the 1979 spawning season, approximately 700 two-choice preference tests were conducted to determine the source of the male and female pheromones, to screen fractions of pheromone-containing secretions for behavioral activity, and to further characterize the physiological and environmental factors governing the onset and intensity of pheromone communication.

The male pheromone, which elicits a preference response in females, is present in the urogenital fluid of sexually mature males (i.e., those displaying secondary sex characters). Sexually mature females showed preferences for male urogenital fluid ( $<15 \%$ milt by volume) at concentrations of 51.3 and $25.6 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{L}$, but not for samples of mucus scraped from the skin of sexually mature males. Preferences were also observed when urogenital fluid containing no visible milt was presented
to females at a concentration of $51.3 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{L}$. Milt elicited no response in females at concentrations ranging from 6.4 to $51.3 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{L}$ suggesting that the active substance in male urogenital fluid may not originate in the gonads.

Rinses of eggs stripped from ovulated female lampreys frequently, but not always, elicited preference responses in males, whereas rinses of eggs surgically removed from unovulated females evoked no response. These results indicate that the female pheromone is present in the ovarian fluid of ovulated, but not unovulated, females. In previous tests, however, males responded to water in which sexually mature but unovulated females had been held, suggesting that the female pheromone may also be present in other body fluids, perhaps urine.

The results of these studies are consistent with previous findings which indicate that pheromone release, the responsiveness of the receiving sex or both correlated with sexual maturation. Although sexually mature sea lampreys show preferences for water in which a single sexually mature lamprey of the opposite sex has been held for as little as I hr, sexually immature lampreys do not respond to water in which immature lampreys of the opposite sex have been held. Experients to determine if sexually immature spawning-run males release the pheromone and if sexually immature females will respond to the male pheromone are currently underway.

We have also confirmed our previous observation that male sea lampreys, captured at the beginning of the spawning migration and not yet displaying secondary sex characters, are attracted to water in which sea lamprey larvae have been held. Additional tests are underway to determine if females show a similar preference for larval holding water, and if the intensity of the response changes during the course of the spawning season. Preliminary results indicate that the attraction of early-run males to water in which larvae have been held decreases as the responding animals become sexually mature.

# REGISTRATION-ORIENTED RESEARCH ON LAMPRICIDES 

Fred P. Meyer, Director National Fishery Research Laboratory U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service<br>La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

## Registration Activities

A meeting was held with EPA officials in Washington on October 23 to discuss the Bayer 73 submission. Since all current uses of lampricides are covered by adequate labels, EPA is most reluctant to have the Commission formally submit a registration application of any kind on Bayer 73. Instead, they would prefer that nothing further be submitted until the compound is called up for review. The time frame involved could be as long as 10 years and might be even longer. EPA officials were persuaded, however, to permit the Commission to place data and completed studies in the existing file. This would assure that no reports or data are lost during the lengthy time delay or due to possible personnel changes at La Crosse or at the Commission.

Rhodamine WT was added to the list of tracer dyes which can be legally used in sea lamprey control operations. Previously, EPA had ruled that rhodamine B and fluorescein sodium could be used without additional studies or submissions.

## Conditioning Studies with TFM: <br> Influence on Acute Toxicity

The acute toxicity of TFM to groups of untreated (nonconditioned) white suckers and white suckers previously exposed (conditioned) to sublethal concentrations of TFM was investigated. All toxicity tests were conducted in a flow-through sytem

Initially, the influence of conditioning on the subsequent acute toxicity of TFM was tested on two sizes of suckers, 15 mm and 47.5 mm .

The 24-hour $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values for both conditioned and nonconditioned fish of the small size were significantly ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) less than those of the large fish (Table 1) indicating that the smaller fish were considerably more sensitive to TFM. Fish of the larger size (mean length 47.5 mm ; mean wet weight 1.03 g ) were used in all subsequent experiments.

In the conditioning protocol for the remainder of the experiments, all conditioned groups of fish were exposed to a constant product of toxicant concentration and time. However, individual groups of conditioned fish were exposed to different regimens of time and TFM concentrations. Comparisons of 24 -hour $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ values for the various combinations indicated that prior conditioning to TFM did not significantly decrease the toxicity of this chemical to white suckers (Table 2).

To determine if conditioning for more prolonged time periods influenced the acute toxicity of TFM, white suckers were exposed for 8 -hour periods every 24 hours to concentrations of 0.1 of the 24 -hour $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ for 5 consecutive days. After the 5 th day, the acute toxicity of TFM to conditioned and nonconditioned fish was established by deter mining the acute toxicity in a flow-through toxicity test. The 24 -hour $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ concentrations and $95 \%$ confidence interval estimates were 7.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}(6.68-7.20)$ and $7.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}(6.4 \mathrm{I}-7.65)$ for nonconditional and conditioned fish, respectively. Again, no protection was indicated.

## Conditioning Studies with TFM <br> Influence on Residue Dynamics

Prior exposure to subacute concentrations of TFM neither en hanced the survival of northern pike nor altered the apparent residue dynamics of this chemical in fish exposed to acutely toxic concentrations of TFM. Mortality ( $8 / 11$ ) among fish exposed only to acutely toxic concentrations ( $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 3 hours was similar to that (8/12) of a group exposed to $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and then exposed to $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ for 3 hours.

Samples of plasma, liver, epaxial muscle, and gallbladder bile were taken from surviving fish in each group for analysis of TFM residues. No differences in the residue concentrations of either free or conjugated TFM were noted between treatment groups in any of the samples analyzed (Table 3). Only slight differences were observed in the ratios of TFM concentration among both liver to plasma and gallbladder bile to plasma ratios between the two treatment groups (Table 4). These differences were probably related to both the relatively greater body burdens of TFM in the "conditioned" fish and the longer period of time available to this group for partitioning of TFM into the various body compartments.

A study was undertaken to determine if prior exposure to low concentrations of TFM influenced its distribution and metabolism in mature lake trout, white suckers, and longnose suckers when they were exposed to near lethal concentrations. Experiments were conducted in
raceways at the Hammond Bay Biological Station, Millersburg, Michigan using Lake Huron water (temperature $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{pH} 8.2$ ). Concentrations of TFM for the "conditioning'" exposure were set at $1 / 10$ th $(0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ of the 24 -hour $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$ for white suckers, a value previously determined by static toxicity tests.

Concentrations of free and conjugated TFM in plasma and gallbladder bile samples from conditioned lake trout were similar to those found in nonconditioned fish (Table 5). The mean liver burden of free TFM in fish from the conditioned group was significantly ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) lower then that of fish in the nonconditioned group suggesting that either: (1) plasma clearance of TFM was more efficient in the former group, or (2) that the hepatic storage capacity for free TFM in this group was reduced.

Liver to plasma and bile to plasma ratios of free TFM were greater than one in samples from all treatment groups indicating that free TFM may be actively taken up by the liver and excreted into the bile. The mean liver to plasma ratio of free TFM was greater in fish of the nonconditioned group than from those of the conditioned group, but this difference was not significant (Table 6). The mean bile to plasma ratios of free TFM were similar among all treatment groups.

The mean concentrations of free and conjugated TFM in the plasma and gallbladder bile of white suckers were similar between the conditioned and nonconditioned groups (Table 7). The concentration of free TFM in the plasma in both groups was equal to or greater than that found in the gallbladder bile, but the concentration of conjugated TFM in the plasma was less. This observation suggests that glucuronidation of TFM is a major prerequisite for its transfer from plasma to bile in this species of sucker.

The concentration of total TFM in the gallbladder bile of the longnose sucker was nearly $1 / 1,000$ th that found in the white sucker (Table 6). This observation is surprising since biliary excretion is a major route of TFM elimination in other teleosts. Comparison of total TFM concentrations in the plasma and muscle of both species and the absence of mortality and morbidity in each species exposed indicates that both species were successfully eliminating the chemical. Other studies to confirm these observations and to identify specific routes of chemical clearance used by the longnose sucker would be useful.

## Conclusions

Prior conditioning of fish by exposure to sublethal concentrations of TFM does not alter the metabolic distribution of TFM in lake trout, Jongnose and white suckers, or northern pike. Therefore, we conclude that conditioning by preexposure to TFM offers no protection to nontarget species during lampricide applications.

## TFM Bar Formulations

Preliminary work was begun on development of a solid bar formulation of TFM for use in treating small headwater streams where metering pumps are now used. A solid formulation would eliminate the need to have a man monitor each metering pump. The first attempt at making a TFM bar produced a solidified material, but its consistency was too soft to be feasible. Adjustments in proportions of the various ingredients should permit production of a more handleable solid formulation

## TFM Bottom Formulations

Experimental formulations of TFM on extruded clay were sent to the National Fishery Research Laboratory by the Hammond Bay Biological Station. Amounts of the formulation calculated to give a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ITFM}$ was added to water, and the solutions were analyzed at $0.5,2.0$, and 24 hours. Although some variability was noted, all but one assay indicated a minimum release of $90 \%$.

## Soil Binding Study

Initiation of the soil binding study still awaits delivery of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$-labeled TFM from American Radiochemical Corporation.

## Simultaneous Analysis of TFM and Bayer 73

TFM and Bayer 73 are applied separately or in combination for the control of sea lampreys in tributary streams of the Great Lakes. Monitoring stream concentrations at each site is essential to successful treatments. Currently, separate methods of analysis are required for each component. This is inconvenient and time consuming so studies were conducted in search of a method that would permit simultaneous analysis for both compounds. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) shows definite promise because it is rapid, and analyzes for both compounds simultaneously. Since Bayer 73 is applied at only approximately $2 \%$ of the TFM concentrations, residue levels of Bayer 73 are below the detection limits by direct HPLC analysis. However, use of a Sep Pak $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ disposable column will efficiently adsorb both TFM and Bayer 73 from water solutions. The chemicals can then be quantitatively removed from the column with a small volume of methanol. Methanol elution effectively concentrates the sample and provides some sample cleanup. The eluate can then be analyzed directly on HPLC. Recoveries of TFM and Bayer 73 on these columns ranged from 90 to $99 \%$ with effective sample concentration of up to 25 times. If the method proves suitable for field use, the procedure will reduce the time required for both analyses by $50 \%$ or more. Total time involved for completing the
analyses is approximately 10 minutes. Investigations are continuing to further refine this procedure.

## Technical Assistance

John Allen, analytical chemist, provided technical assistance to the Canadian agent during treatment of the Goulais River in Ontario. With the assistance of David Johnson of the Marquette station, the usefulness of gas chromatography for monitoring concentrations of Bayer 73 during a river treatment was demonstrated. Instrument problems were resolved and analyses for the chemical in the river were completed satisfactorily. The use of gas chromatography for the analysis of Bayer 73 during treatment of the Huron River was also demonstrated for participants in the Sea Lamprey International Symposium.

## Bayer 73 Residues

Coho salmon exposed to $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ of Bayer 73 for 12 hours were transferred to fresh water for withdrawal and analyzed for residues of the lampricide. Residues in coho salmon were slightly higher in the bile and slightly lower in plasma than residues observed in rainbow trout. Bayer 73 was not detectable in blood plasma after 2 weeks of withdrawal, and only a small residue ( $0.11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) of the lampricide remained in gallbladder bile after 4 weeks of withdrawal (Table 8).

## Ancillary Bayer 73 Residue Method

Regulatory agencies require two methods of analysis for chemicals which are submitted for registration or reregistration. An ancillary procedure of analysis for Bayer 73 residues has been developed. This procedure involves formation of dimethylated derivative of the intact molecule rather than analysis for a hydrolysis product as is currently being done.

When Bayer 73 is reacted with methyl iodide and sodium hydride in dimethyl sulfoxide, a N,O-dimethyl derivative is formed which is amenable to gas chromatography. The new method is rapid and straightforward, utilizes relatively mild conditions, and yields a derivative detectable at the $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ (ppb) level. Recovery levels exceed $95 \%$.

## Environmental Studies of Bayer 73

by Dr. Derek C. G. Muir
Studies completed by Dr. Muir include work on the active portion of the Bayer 73 molecule. His paper "Determination of niclosamide (Bayer 2353) in water and sediment samples" is in press in International

Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry. Another paper "Studies on the rate of uptake of organic pollutants by fish in river water" is in press in Environmental Science and Technology. Abstracts of the papers follow.

Determination of niclosamide (Bayer 2353) in water and sediment samples. Derek C. G. Muir and Norbert P. Grift (Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans, Freshwater Institute, 501 University Crescent, Winnipeg, Manitoba R31 2N6, Canada)

A method is described for the determination of niclosamide ( $2^{\prime}, 5-$ dichloro-4'-nitrosalicylanilide) in river water and sediment. River water is extracted by shaking with ethyl acetate. Sediment is shaken with methanol:water (4:1). The mixture is centrifuged and the methanol is evaporated. The sediment extract is then partitioned with methylene chloride and the extracts are cleaned up on a Florisil column. Niclosamide can be analyzed, after methylation with methyl iodide, by gas chromatography with electron capture or alkali flame detection, or directly by high pressure liquid chromatography with a UV absorbance $(313 \mathrm{~nm})$ detector. Recoveries of niclosamide ranged from 99 to $116 \%$ in fortified river water and 73 to $126 \%$ in fortified pond sediment samples.

Studies on the rate of uptake of organic pollutants by fish in river water. Derek C. G. Muir and W. Lyle Lockhart (Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans, Freshwater Institute, 501 University Crescent, Winnipeg, Manitoba R31 2N6, Canada)

Rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri) were exposed to low levels ( 0.5 to $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) of nine pesticides as well as $2,4,5,2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-hexachlorobiphenyl and triphenylphosphate (all ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$-labeled) in river water and dilutions of river and dechlorinated city water (lab). Fish were sampled at regular intervals over 24 to 32 hour exposures and oxidized to determine ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ uptake. The results showed that there were striking differences in the rate of uptake of the organics between fish in river and lab water. Hexachlorobiphenyl showed the greatest differences in uptake rate while relatively water soluble compounds such as terbutryn and 2,4D showed the least effect. Differences in uptake rates were correlated with sorption coefficients of the compounds to suspended solids in river water. The lower rate of uptake of each organic in river water could be predicted by multiplying the uptake rate constant in lab water by the fraction of the compound in solution.

In this paper Dr. Muir states "Niclosamide degraded rapidly in river water ( $95 \%$ lost in 24 hours) but not in lab water, so that throughout much of the experiment the fish were exposed to mainly niclosamide degradation products in the river water treatment." This observation supports the GLFC position that no accumulation of Bayer 73 results from its use in sea lamprey control.

## Bayer 73 Formulations

Samples of granular Bayer 73 coated with various resins to prevent dusting during application and release of chemical as the granules sink through the water column were tested in the laboratory. Only one of the coating materials, Penford Gum 380, released the active ingredient at the same rate as the uncoated formulation at all time periods tested (15 minutes to 4 hours). Sieving for particle size revealed that the batch of granular Bayer 73 used in the coating experiments (obtained from Marquette Biological Station 9/79) contained only a slight amount (less than $0.1 \%$ ) of fine material smaller than No. 60 mesh. By comparison, some earlier batches contained up to $7 \%$ fines. It appears that the manufacturer has been able to improve the formulation to eliminate the dusting problem and that the coating probably will not be needed. However, release of active ingredient from the 1979 sample was only 23 to $24 \%$ in 4 hours as opposed to $39 \%$ for a sample obtained from the Ludington Biological Station 3 years before.

## Lampricide Nomenclature

The scientific nomenclature of chemicals is confusing at best and, when trade names are included, confusion may result. The lampricides are no exception. Bayer 73 has uses other than for sea lamprey control and has been referred to under a number of other names. Bayer 73, the compound used in sea lamprey control is merely the ethanolamine salt of $2^{\prime}, 5$-dichloro-4'-nitrosalicylanilide otherwise known as niclosamide or Bayer 2353. The function of the ethanolamine form is to provide a water soluble salt to facilitate its use in aquatic situations. When Bayer 73 or Bayer 2353 is added to water, the resulting solutions will contain exactly the same active ingredient.

Although TFM has no commercial use, some confusing nomenclature has evolved. Tables 9,10 , and 11 are an attempt to show the chemical formulae of the lampricides and some of the names which have been associated with them. The list of names is not meant to be a complete list of all names that have been used for the lampricides, but rather is intended to serve as a guide to help resolve some of the confusion.

## Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Assays

It has been shown that some chemicals affect enzyme systems in fish and many interfere with vital physiological functions. Studies dealing with the effects of fishery chemicals on the in vitro metabolism of the enzyme glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase are currently under way. The lampricide TFM was found to be inhibitory at $128 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, but this level is far above use pattern concentrations. This technique was
applied to several fishery chemicals to determine its applicability as a rapid screening method for toxicity. Our data indicate this technique does not provide an index that can be compared with fish toxicity.

## Publications on Lampricides

Allen, J. L., V. K. Dawson, and J. B. Hunn. 1979. Biotransformation of selected chemicals by fish. Pages 121-129 in M. A. Q. Khan, J. J. Lech, and J. J. Menn, eds. Pesticide and xenobiotic metabolism in aquatic organisms. American Chemical Society, ACS Symposium Series No. 99.
Allen, J. L., V. K. Dawson, and J. B. Hunn. 1979. Excretion of the lampricide Bayer 73 by rainbow trout. Pages $52-61$ in L. L. Marking and R. A. Kimerle, eds. Aquatic toxicology. American Society for Testing and Materials, Special Technical Publication 667, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
Gilderhus, P. A. 1979. Effects of granular 2',5-dichloro-4'-nitrosalicylanilide (Bayer 73) on benthic macroinvertebrates in a lake environment. Great Lakes Fishery Commission Technical Report No. 34:1-5.
Gilderhus, P. A. 1979. Efficacy of antimycin for control of larval sea lampreys (Petromyzon marinus) in lentic habitats. Great Lakes Fishery Commission Technical Report No. 34:6-16.
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Table 3. TFM concentrations in plasma, gallbladder bile, liver, and muscle of northern pike following exposure to TFM. Values represent the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}$; the number of animals are listed in parentheses.

TFM residues

| Type of exposure | TFM residues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma |  |  | Bile |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Liver } \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Free } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Muscle }}{\text { Free }} \begin{aligned} & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Free ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | \% Bound | Total ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Free ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | \% Bound |  |  |
| Treated | 4.95 | 2.89 | 40.4 | 110.0 | 1.15 | 98.67 | 10.65 | 13.43 |
| (3) | $\pm 0.20$ | $\pm 0.51$ | $\pm 12.38$ | $\pm 50.0$ | $\pm 0.22$ | $\pm 0.46$ | $\pm 2.10$ | $\pm 2.96$ |
| Conditioned | 4.37 | 3.03 | 29.57 | 157.1 | 1.60 | 98.50 | 12.73 | 11.92 |
| and treated | $\pm 0.61$ | $\pm 0.29$ | $\pm 5.56$ | $\pm 52.4$ | $\pm 0.36$ | $\pm 0.67$ | $\pm 3.16$ | $\pm 3.08$ |

Table 4. Liver to plasma and bile to plasma ratios of
TFM concentrations in northern pike. Values represent the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}$; the number of animals are listed in parentheses.

| Type of exposure | Liver:Plasma | Bile:Plasma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treated (3) | $2.22 \pm 0.45$ | $21.90 \pm 4.93$ |
| Conditioned and treated (3) | $2.94 \pm 0.39$ | $33.93 \pm 7.27$ |

Table 3. TFM concentrations in plasma, gallbladder bile, liver, and muscle of northern pike following exposure to TFM. Values represent the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE}$; the number of animals are listed in parentheses.

## TFM residues

| Type of exposure | Plasma |  |  | Bile |  |  | Liver <br> Free $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g})$ | Muscle <br> Free ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Bound } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{aligned}$ | \% Bound |  |  |
| Treated | 4.95 | 2.89 | 40.4 | 110.0 | 1.15 | 98.67 | 10.65 | 13.43 |
| (3) | $\pm 0.20$ | $\pm 0.51$ | $\pm 12.38$ | $\pm 50.0$ | $\pm 0.22$ | $\pm 0.46$ | $\pm 2.10$ | $\pm 2.96$ |
| Conditioned | 4.37 | 3.03 | 29.57 | 157.1 | 1.60 | 98.50 | 12.73 | 11.92 |
| and treated (3) | $\pm 0.61$ | $\pm 0.29$ | $\pm 5.56$ | $\pm 52.4$ | $\pm 0.36$ | $\pm 0.67$ | $\pm 3.16$ | $\pm 3.08$ |

Table 4. Liver to plasma and bile to plasma ratios of
TFM concentrations in northerm pike. Values represent the mean $\pm$ SE;
the number of animals are listed in parentheses.

| Type of exposure | Liver:Plasma | Bile:Plasma |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Treated (3) | $2.22 \pm 0.45$ | $21.90 \pm 4.93$ |
| Conditioned and treated (3) | $2.94 \pm 0.39$ | $33.93 \pm 7.27$ |

Table 5. TFM residues in plasma, gallbladder bile, liver, and lateral muscle of lake trout following selected exposures to TFM. Values represent the mean $\pm$ SEM; the number of samples are listed in parentheses.

| Type of exposure | TFM residues |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma |  | Bile |  | Liver | Muscle |
|  | Free ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Conjugated ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Free ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Conjugated ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}) \end{aligned}$ | Free ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}$ ) |
| Unconditioned | 0.14 | 0.04 | 6.7 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.01 |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\begin{gathered} =0.07 \\ \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm 0.02 \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 1.8 \\ & (4) \end{aligned}$ | $\pm 0.12$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} \pm 0.10 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \pm 0.00 \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unconditioned | 1.73 | 0.50 | 68.0 | 545.0 | 8.81 | ND ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\pm 0.62$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} \pm 0.08 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 9.4 \\ & (4) \end{aligned}$ | $\pm 53.8$ <br> (4) | $\pm(5)$ |  |
| Conditioned | 1.41 | 0.23 | 59.0 | 443.3 | $3.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.42 |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\pm 0.47$ | $\pm 0.11$ | $\pm 11.5$ | $\pm 80.9$ | $\pm 1.3$ | $\pm 0.11$ |
| $\stackrel{+}{+} \times 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | (3) | (3) | (3) | (2) | (5) | (5) |

${ }^{\text {a Not }}$ determined.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Significantly less ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) than the nonconditioned group.

REGISTRATION-ORIENTED RESEARCH ON LAMPRICIDES 131
Table 6. Liver to plasma and gallbladder bile to plasma ratios of free TFM in lake trout. Values represent the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$; the number of fish are listed in parentheses.

|  | Concentration |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Type of exposure | Liver:Plasma | Bile:Plasma |
| Unconditioned | $1.75 \pm 1.13$ | $53.2 \pm 23.7$ |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | $(5)$ | $(4)$ |
| Unconditioned | $5.93 \pm 2.28$ | $45.9 \pm 7.78$ |
| $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | $(4)$ | $(3)$ |
| Conditioned | $3.16 \pm 1.51$ | $55.97 \pm 17.27$ |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | $(3)$ | $(2)$ |
| $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 12 \mathrm{~h}$ |  |  |

Table 7. TFM residues in plasma, gallbladder bile, and lateral muscle of white suckers and longnose suckers following selected exposures to TFM Values represent the mean $\pm$ SEM; the number of fish are listed in parentheses.

| Species, concentration, and exposure time | TFM residue |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plasma |  | Bile |  | $\frac{\text { Muscle }}{\substack{\text { Free } \\(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})}}$ |
|  | ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | Conjugated ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{aligned}$ | Conjugated ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) |  |
| White suckers |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ \pm 0.08 \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.32 \\ \pm 0.15 \\ \text { (3) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.52 \\ \pm 0.28 \\ (5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.48 \\ \pm 1.03 \\ (4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.17 \\ \pm 0.04 \\ (5) \end{array}$ |
| $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.89 \\ \pm 3.29 \\ \hline(5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.36 \\ \pm 0.09 \\ \text { (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.91 \\ \pm 0.70 \end{array}$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} 1616.7 \\ \pm 236.3 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | ND ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \mathrm{mg} / 1 \times 8 \mathrm{~h} \\ & 3.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1 \times 12 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.5 \\ \pm 1.3 \\ (3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.26 \\ \pm 0.0 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.40 \\ \pm 3.14 \end{array}$ (3) | $\begin{gathered} 1100.0 \\ \pm 381.9 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ \pm 0.38 \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Longnose suckers |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.30 \\ \pm 0.08 \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.22 \\ \pm 0.09 \\ (5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.34 \\ \pm 0.27 \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | ND | $\begin{array}{r} 0.07 \\ \pm 0.01 \\ (5) \end{array}$ |
| $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l} \times 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.93 \\ \pm 3.57 \\ (4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.09 \\ \pm 0.05 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2 \\ \pm 0.6 \\ (3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \\ & \pm 0.37 \\ & (3) \end{aligned}$ | ND |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I} \times 8 \mathrm{~h} \\ & 3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{C} \times 12 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.11 \\ \pm 1.62 \end{array}$ <br> (4) | $\begin{array}{r} 1.11 \\ \pm 0.07 \\ (4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ \pm 0.14 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 1.18 \\ & \pm 0.22 \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.67 \\ \pm 0.21 \\ (4) \end{array}$ |

[^9]Table 8. Residues of Bayer $73(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ in plasma and bile of coho salmon exposed to $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ of the lampricide for 12 hours and transferred to fresh water for selected withdrawal times.

| Withdrawal time | Plasma $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Bile $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Control | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ |
| 0 hour | $5.00 \pm 4.23$ | 859 |
| 4 hours | $8.48 \pm 2.74$ | 892 |
| 8 hours | $6.24 \pm 1.37$ | 1.240 |
| 12 hours | $6.57 \pm 1.41$ | 1,262 |
| 24 hours | $5.47 \pm 0.482$ | 862 |
| 3 days | $1.80 \pm 0.264$ | 188 |
| 7 days | $0.439 \pm 0.203$ | 22.9 |
| 10 days | $0.073 \pm 0.056$ | 5.87 |
| 14 days | $<0.01$ | 2.37 |
| 21 days | $<0.01$ | 0.303 |
| 28 days | $<0.01$ | 0.110 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mean $\pm$ S.D.: five fish at each interval
${ }^{6}$ Pooled bile from five fish.

Table 9. Structure and nomenclature of TFM
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# Table 10. Structure and nomenclature of Bayer 2353 



Bayer 2353
Niclosamide
Niclosamid
2',5-dichloro-4'-nitrosalicylanilide
5-chloro- N -( $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-chloro-4'-nitrophenyl)salicylamide
5-chlorosalicyloyl-(0-chloro-p-nitranilide)
N -(2'-chloro-4'-nitrophenyl)-5-chlorosalicylamide
2-hydroxy-5, $2^{\prime}$-dichloro-4' nitro-benzanilide
Cestocid
Cestocid
Fenasal
Nasemo
Suseri
Sulgui
Tredemin
Vermitid
Yomesan

Table 11. Structure and nomenclature of Bayer 73


Bayer 73
2-aminoethanol salt of Bayer 2353
Salt of $2^{\prime}, 5$-dichloro- $4^{\prime}$-nitrosalicylanilide
Bayluscid
Bayluscide

3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol 3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol $\alpha \alpha \alpha$-trifluoro-4-nitro- $m$-creso 1,3,6-nitrotrifluorocresol
$\alpha \alpha \alpha$-trifluoro-4-nitro-metacresol
2-nitro-5-hydroxybenzotrifluoride
6-nitro-3-hydroxy-1-trifluormethyl-benzol
$\alpha \alpha \alpha$-trifluor-6-nitro-3-hydroxy-toluol
Lamprecid 2770
Dowlap F40
Dowlap ${ }^{(R)} \mathrm{F}$
Eelicide - TFM

## ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT FOR 1979

## Meetings

The Commission held its 1979 Annual Meeting in Toronto, Ontario on 26-28 June, and its Interim Meeting in Ann Arbor, Michigan on 27-28 November 1979. In addition, both the Canadian and U.S. sections met in plenary sessions on 27 June in conjunction with the Annual Meeting in Toronto. The Commission also held executive meetings of Commis sioners and staff as follows:

| 21-22 March | Ann Arbor, Michigan |
| :--- | :--- |
| 26 and 28 June | Toronto, Ontario |
| 6 September | Windsor, Ontario |
| $27-28$ November | Ann Arbor, Michigan |

The Great Lakes Fishery Commission also met with the International Joint Commission in Windsor, Ontario on 7 September 1979 to discuss items of mutual interest.

Meetings of standing committees during 1979 were:
Lake Michigan Committee, Michigan City, Indiana, 8 February
Lake Huron Committee, St. Clair, Michigan, 21 February
Sea Lamprey Control and Research Committee, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 27 February
Lake Superior Committee, Duluth, Minnesota, 1 March
Lake Ontario Committee, Buffalo, New York, 13-14 March
Lake Erie Committee, Buffalo, New York, 14-15 March
Council of Lake Committees, Buffalo, New York, 14-15 March and Toronto, Ontario, 25 June
Great Lakes Fish Disease Control Committee, Syracuse, New York, $10-11$ April
Scientific Advisory Committee, Toronto, Ontario, 25 June and Ann Arbor, Michigan, 26 November

Attendence at other Commission-related meetings included Lake Superior Advisory Committee, Lake Michigan Chub Technical Committee, Lake Michigan Study Group, Sea Lamprey International Sym-
posium, Stock Concept Symposium Steering Committee, and Strategic Great Lakes Fishery Management Plan Steering Committee and Work Group, sea lamprey control agents annual sea lamprey conference, and Lake Erie Fish Community Workshop.

## Officers and Staff

Chairman K. H. Loftus and Vice-Chairman R. L. Herbst continued their terms of office through 1979. One change in Commission membership occurred during 1979. Mr. H. D. Johnston, Assistant Deputy Minister for Pacific and Freshwater Fisheries, Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada, was appointed Commissioner effective 9 August 1979; he replaced Dr. C. J. Kerswill who had resigned in 1978.

Two changes in staff occurred during 1979. B. S. Biedenbender accepted a position as administrative assistant on 7 January. W. J. Maxon, chief administative officer, resigned 23 August to accept a position with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in Washington, D.C.

The Commission's internal operating committee structure was reviewed and the following assignments were made at the 21 March 1979 executive meeting.

Finance and Administration
Commissioners
R. L. Herbst, Chairman K. H. Loftus

Sea Lamprey Control and Research

| Commissioners | Staff Member |
| :--- | :--- |
| W. M. Lawrence, Chairman | A. K. Lamsa |
| F. E. J. Fry |  |

Management and Research

| Commissioners | Staff Member |
| :--- | :--- |
| C. Ver Duin, Chairman | C. M. Fetterolf |
| M. G. Johnson |  |
| F. R. Lockard |  |

Further changes were made at the Annual Meeting; 1979 ended with the following Commission membership on internal operating committees. In addition the Management and Research Committee was renamed the Fisheries and Environment Committee.

Finance and Administration

## Commissioners <br> R. L. Herbst, Chairman <br> H. D. Johnston

K. H. Loftus

Sea Lamprey Control and Research
Commissioners
W. M. Lawrence, Chairman
F. E. J. Fry

Fisheries and Environment

| Commissioners | Staff Members |
| :--- | :--- |
| M. G. Johnson, Chairman | C. M. Fetterolf |
| C. Ver Duin | M. A. Ross |

M. G. Johnson, Chairman
C. Ver Duin

Staff Members
B. S. Biedenbender
C. M. Fetterolf

Staff Members
C. M. Fetterolf
A. K. Lamsa

Staff Members
M. A. Ross

Staff Activities. The Commission's staff (Secretariat) performs several major functions. The Secretariat provides assistance to the standing commitees for all phases of the Commission's program. On behalf of the Commission it provides liaison with agencies and individuals with whom the Commission deals, including assistance in coordinating fishery programs, planning meetings, arranging the presentation of reports, and preparation of minutes. The Secretariat provides direct assistance to the Commission in program development and acts on behalf of the Commission as circumstances may require.

During 1979 the staff participated in the following conferences, meetings, and activities:

Lake St. Clair Coordination Meeting
Michigan Sea Grant
International Association for Great Lakes Research
International Joint Commission (IJC)
IJC Science Advisory Board
IJC Workshop on Hazard Assessment
American Fisheries Society
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Great Lakes Commission
Bio-Engineering Symposium
Mitigation Symposium
Meetings with USFWS, FDA, EPA, and Department of Agriculture on registration of pesticides and fishery chemicals
Iron River National Fish Hatchery Public Hearing
Lake Erie Fish Community Workshop
Symposium on Indian Fishing Rights
Winter navigation meetings

## Reports and Publications

In 1979, the Commission published an Annual Report for 1976, nine papers in its Technical Report Series, and two special publications.
Walleye stocks in the Great Lakes, 1800-1975: fluctuations and possible causes, by J. C. Schneider and J. H. Leach. Tech. Rep. 31. February 1979. 51 pp.
Modeling the western Lake Erie walleye population; a feasibility study, by B. J. Shuter, J. F. Koonce, and H. A. Regier. Tech. Rep. 32. April 1979. 40 pp.
Distribution and ecology of lampreys in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, 1957-75, by R. H. Morman. Tech. Rep. 33. April 1979. 59 pp.
Effects of granular 2', 5-dichloro-4'-nitrosalicylanilide (Bayer 75) on benthic macroinvertebrates in a lake environment, by P. A. Gilderhus. Tech. Rep. 34. May 1979. Pages 1-5.
Efficacy of antimycin for control of larval sea lampreys (Petromyzon marinus) in lentic habitats, by P. A. Gilderhus. Tech. Rep. 34. May 1979. Pages 6-17.

Variations in growth, age at transformation, and sex ratio of sea lampreys reestablished in chemically treated tributaries of the upper Great Lakes, by H. A. Purvis. Tech. Rep. 35. May 1979. 36 pp.
Annotated list of the fishes of the Lake Ontario watershed, by E. J. Crossmann and H. D. Van Meter. Tech. Rep. 36. December 1979. 25 pp .
Rehabilitating Great Lakes ecosystems, edited by G. R. Francis, J. J. Magnuson, H. A. Regier and D. R. Talhelm. Tech. Rep. 37 December 1979. 99 pp.
Commercial fish production in the Great Lakes 1867-1977, N. S. Baldwin, R. W. Saalfeld, M. A. Ross, and H. J. Buettner. Tech. Rep. 3 supplement. September 1979. 187 pp.
Current estimates of Great Lakes fisheries values: 1979 status report, by D. R. Talhelm, R. C. Bishop, K. W. Cox, N. W. Smith, D. N. Steinnes, and A. L. Tuomi. GLFC mineo. Rep. 79-1. 1979. 17 pp .
Illustrated field guide for the classification of sea lamprey atack marks on Great Lakes lake trout, by E. L. King and T. A. Edsall. Spec. Pub. 79-1. 1979. 43 pp.

## Accounts and Audits.

The Commission accounts for the fiscal year ending 30 September 1979 were audited by Icerman, Johnson, and Hoffman of Ann Arbor. The firm's reports are appended.

## Program and Budget for Fiscal Year 1979

At the 1977 Annual Meeting, the Commission adopted a program and budget for sea lamprey control and research in fiscal year 1979
estimated to cost $\$ 4,891,000$. The program called for continuation of sea lamprey control on Lakes Ontario, Huron, Michigan, and Superior, stream surveys to locate and monitor sea lamprey populations, continuing field research in direct support of control operations, the operation of assessment weirs on Lakes Superior and Huron, continuing research to assess immediate and long-term effects of lampricides in the environment, research to improve present control techniques, including biological controls, and a continuation of construction of barrier dams on selected streams to prevent sea lamprey access to problem areas, thus improving control and reducing the use of expensive lampricides and application costs. A budget of $\$ 246,400$ was adopted for administration and general research for a total program cost of $\$ 5,137,400$.

Following revisions to adjust to changes in proposed contributions by the two governments, the Commission ultimately proceeded with the following program for sea lamprey control and research on a budget of $\$ 5,120,000$.

|  | U.S. | Canada | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sea Lamprey Control and Research | $\$ 3,363,500$ | $\$ 1,510,100$ | $\$ 4,873,600$ |
| Administration and General Research | $\frac{123,200}{}$ | $\frac{123,200}{24,400}$ | $\frac{246,400}{}$ |
| Total | $\$ 3,486,700$ | $\$ 1,633,300$ | $\$ 5,120,000$ |

Sea lamprey control and research in Canada was carried out under agreement with the Canadian Department of Fisheries and the Environment $(\$ 1,449,000)$ and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service $(\$ 3,274,600)$ including lampricides and contingency funding for registration-oriented research. The Commission included in its agreement with Canada $\$ 100,000$ for construction of barrier dams in that country to block spawning-run sea lamprey. In the United States, the Commission held $\$ 150,000$ for barrier dam construction, of which $\$ 100,000$ was earmarked for a barrier dam for Wisconsin's Middle River (Lake Superior). At the end of the year, the United States government refunded $\$ 48,893$; the Canadian government had $\$ 122,000$ in unused funds, including $\$ 100,000$ for building barrier dams which was retained by the government for future constuction.

## Program and Budget for Fiscal Year 1980

At the 1978 Annual Meeting, the Commission adopted a program and budget for sea lamprey control and research in fiscal year 1980 estimated to cost $\$ 5,546,600$. The program calls for continuation of sea lamprey control on Lakes Ontario, Huron, Michigan, and Superior, stream surveys to locate and monitor sea lamprey populations, continuing field research in direct support of control operations, the operation of aśsessment weirs on Great Lakes tributaries, some required research to assess immediate and long-term effects of lampricides in the environment, research to improve present control technique, including bio-
logical controls, and construction of barrier dams on selected streams to prevent sea lamprey access to problem areas, thus improving control and reducing the use of expensive lampricides and application costs. A budget of $\$ 363,000$ was adopted for administration and general research for a total program cost of $\$ 5,909,600$ of which $\$ 4,008,700$ is being requested from the U.S. Government and $\$ 1,900,900$ from Canada.

The Canadian agent has scheduled 31 lampricide treatments; 6 in Canadian tributaries to Lake Ontario, 4 in New York tributaries to Lake Ontario, 9 in Lake Huron, and 12 in Lake Superior. In addition, one electric weir and six mechanical assessment traps will be operated on selected Great Lakes tributaries to catch spawning runs of sea lamprey, and stream surveys to monitor larval lamprey populations will be continued.

The U.S. agent has scheduied 53 lampricide treatments; 26 tributaries to Lake Superior, 19 to Lake Michigan, and 8 to Lake Huron. The operation of the eight assessment barriers on Lake Superior tributaries to monitor spawning runs of sea lamprey was discontinued to be replaced by a network of portable assessment traps on tributaries to Lakes Superior, Michigan, Huron, and Ontario. The U.S. agent will continue stream surveys to monitor larval lamprey populations, will maintain studies on the growth and time to metamorphosis of selected larval populations, and also will continue to assess the possible contribution of sea lampreys from the Oswego River-Finger Lakes system to the parasitic stocks of Lake Ontario.

The current sea lamprey research program at the Hammand Bay Biological Station and the registration-oriented work at the National Fishery Research Laboratory, La Crosse, Wisconsin, are to continue through fiscal year 1980.

The Commission negotiated a Memorandum of Agreement with its U.S. agent, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, for work costing $\$ 3,801,000$ includes lampricide purchases, contingency funding for reg-istration-oriented research on lampricides, and barrier dam construction. A Memorandum of Agreement was also executed with its Canadian agent, the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, for service costing $\$ 1,745,600$, including purchase of lampricides and construction of barrier dams. In addition, the Commission reviewed its administration and general research budget for fiscal year 1980. The funding by government for fiscal year 1980 is as follows.

Sea Lamprey Control and Research Administration and General Research Total

| Canada | Total |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,719,400$ | $\$ 5,546,600$ |
| 181,500 | 363,000 |
| $\$ 1,900,900$ | $\$ 5,909,600$ |

Program and Budget for Fiscal Year 1981
At the 1979 Annual Meeting, the Commission adopted a program and budget for sea lamprey control and research in fiscal year 1981
estimated to cost $\$ 6,079,300$. The program calls for continuation of sea lamprey control on Lakes Ontario, Huron, Michigan, and Superior, stream surveys to locate and monitor sea lamprey operations, research to improve present control techniques, including biological controls, and continuation of barrier dam construction on selected streams to prevent sea lamprey access to problem areas, thus reducing the use of expensive lampricides and application costs. A budget of $\$ 404,600$ was adopted for administration and general research for a total program cost of $\$ 6,483,900$. But the Commission is requesting no increase over fiscal year 1980 levels since it is using unobligated funds from bank interest and unexpended monies returned by the contract agents to make up the difference. The Commission, however, has urged the governments to recognize the fiscal year 1981 requirement as the budget base for determining future budgets.

## ICERMAN, JOHNSON \& HOFFMAN
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Great Lakes Fishery Commission
Ann Arbor, Michigan

We have examined the accompanying balance sheets of Great Lakes Fishery Commission as of September 30, 1979, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the year then ended. Our examination made in accordance with generally accepted aud thd such other auditing procedure we considered necessary in the circumstances.

In our opinion, the financial statements mentioned above present fairly the financial position of Great Lakes Fishery Commission at September 30, 1979, and the results of its operations and changes in its financial position fir the year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted account which we concur, in the method of accounting for unused funds as described in Note 4 of the Notes to the Financial Statements.


Ann Arbor, Michigan
December 4, 1979

## great lakes fishery commission

BALANCE SHEETS
September 30,1979

ASSETS
CURRENT ASSETS
Cash in bank
Accounts receivable - United States Fish and Wildife Service
Accounts receivable - Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans
Accounts receivable - ather
Due from Sea Lamprey Control and Research Fund

| Administration and General Research Fund | Sea Lamprey Control and Research Fund | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$199,926 | 1,784,847 | 1,984,773 |
| -0- | 48,893 | 48,893 |
| -0- | 103,700 | 103,700 |
| 8,757 | -0- | 8,757 |
| 18,700 | -0- | 18,700 |
| \$227,383 | 1,937,440 | 2,164,823 |

LIABILIties AND FUND bALANCE

## CURRENT LIABILITIES Accounts payable

Accounts payable Administrative and General
Research Fund
ayroll taxes payable

Total current liabilities
FUND BALANCES (Notes 2, 3, and 4)
Reserved for specific projects
Reserved for lampricide purchases
Reserved for barrier dam projects
Unreserved:
Designated for subsequent years'
expenditures
undesignated
Total fund balances

| \$ 7,390 | -0- | 7,390 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0- | 18,700 | 18,700 |
| 351 | -0- | 351 |
| 3,411 | -0- | 3,411 |
| 11,752 | 18,700 | 29,852 |
| 314,961 | -0- | 314,961 |
| -0- | 808,553 | 808,553 |
| -0- | 185,000 | 185,000 |
| -0- | 574,000 | 574,000 |
| $(98,730)$ | 351,187 | 252,457 |
| 216,231 | 1,918,740 | 2,134,971 |
| \$227,383 | 1,937,440 | $\underline{\underline{2}, 164,823}$ |

GREAT LAKES FISHERY COMMISSION
statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance Year Ended September 30, 1979

ADMINISTRATION AND GENERAL RESEARCH FUND

|  | Budget | Actual | Over or (Under) Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |
| Canadian government | \$ 152,350 | 152,350 | -0- |
| United States government | 123,200 | 123,200 | -0- |
| Interest earned | -0- | 192,657 | 192,657 |
| Miscellaneous | -0- | 1,432 | 1,432 |
|  | 275,550 | 469,639 | 194,489 |
| EXPENDITURES (Note 5) |  |  |  |
| Administrative | 228,000 | 302,892 | 74,892 |
| General research | 468,137 | 168.430 | (299,707) |
|  | 696,137 | 471,32? | [224,815 |
| Excess of revenues over (under) expenditures | $(420,587)$ | $(3,583)$ | 418,904 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |
| Operating transfer from Sea Lamprey Control |  |  |  |
| Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures | \$(420,587) | 17,017 | 437,604 |
| FUND BALANCE, October 1, 1978 |  | 199,214 |  |
| FUND BALANCE, September 30, 1979 |  | 16,231 |  |

See notes to financial statements on pages 5 and 6 .

## GREAT LAKES FISHERY COMMISSION

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENOITURES, AND CHANGES IN FIJND BALANCE Year Ended September 30, 1979

SEA lamprey control and research fund

|  | Budget | Actual | Over or (Under) Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| revenues |  |  |  |
| Canadian government: |  |  |  |
| Operating revenues | \$1,614,750 | 1,394,324 | $(220,426)$ |
| Refund of unexpended funds | -0- | 103,700 | 103,700 |
| United States government: |  |  |  |
| Operating revenues | 3,363,500 | 3,363,500 | -0- |
| Refund of unexpended funds |  | 48,893 | 48,893 |
|  | 4,978,250 | 4,910,417 | (67,833) |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |
| Canadian Department of the Fisheries and Oceans | 1,258,677 | 1,085,551 | $(173,126)$ |
| United States Fish and Wildlife Service | 2,386,200 | 2,386,250 | 50 |
| Lampricide purchases | 1,131,200 | 119,763 | $(1,011,437)$ |
| Special studies | 50,000 | 25,000 | $(25,000)$ |
| Barrier Dams | 150,000 |  | $(150,000)$ |
|  | 4,976,077 | 3,616,564 | (1,359,513) |
| Excess of revenues over (under) expenditures | 2,173 | 1,293,853 | 1,291,680 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |
| Operating transfers to Administration and General Research Fund | -0 | $(18,700)$ | 18,700 |
| Excess of revenues over (under) |  |  |  |
| FUND BALANCE, October 1, 1978 |  | 643,587 |  |
| FUND BALANCE, September 30, 1979 |  | \$1,918,740 |  |

See notes to financial statements on pages 5 and 6 .

## GREAT LAKES FISHERY COMMISSION <br> NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

 September 30, 1979Note 1. Significant accounting policies
The Commission's September 30 fiscal year end corresponds with the United States government's fiscal year. The Canadian agency has a March 31 fiscal year, so amounts budgeted for canadian revenue and expense represent $50 \%$ of both the 1978-79 and 1979-80 Canadian fiscal years.

All amounts appearing on the financial statements are in United States dollars. The books of account for the Commission are maintained on a modified accrual
basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when received except that balances of budgeted receipts that have been promised by the Canadian or United States governments are set up as receivables at September 30, 1979.
Inventories, equipment and related property items are expensed as they are purchased
The cash balances for both funds operate from two bank accounts, one checking account and one savings account. Therefore, at any point in time, the bank account and one savings account. Therefore, at any point in time, the bank
accounts are each composed of monies from the Administration and General Research Fund and the Sea Lamprey Control and Research Fund.

Note 2. FUND BALANCE RESERVES Conmitments related to incomplete projects are recorded as reserves in the fund
balance. As of September 30 , 1979, the commission had the following commitments relating to specific projects which are to be funded by the Administrative and General Research Fund.
$\quad$ Project Name
SGLFMP
SGLFMP - Ontario work group
STOCS
Brussard
Gleason
Spangler
JFRB - publication of SLIS
Tahlem
Monroe
GLERR study

| Budgeted | Expenditures | Reserved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$100,000 | 3,401 | 96,599 |
| 20,000 | -0- | 20,000 |
| 121,000 | 34,302 | 86,698 |
| 13,937 | 10,453 | 3,484 |
| 6,980 | 5,235 | 1,745 |
| 11,420 | 8,565 | 2,855 |
| 55, 000 | -0- | 55,000 |
| 2,300 | 311 | 1,989 |
| 11,000 | 503 | 10,497 |
| 59,000 | 22,906 | 36,094 |
| \$400,637 | 85,676 | 314,961 |

# great lakes fishery commission 

```
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)
    September 30, 1979
```

Nate 3. FUHD BALANCE DESIGNATIONS
The increase in budgeted expenditures for fiscal year ending 1980 is to be funded by the fund balance in the Sea Lamprey Control and Research Fund. The increase in
budgeted expenditures is approximately $\$ 574,000$.

Note 4. CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE
The Commission changed the method of accounting for unused funds. Under the previous method, the Connission encumbered unused funds at year-end and charged them to expense. for fincal year ended september 3a, 1979 , unused funds are
recognized as fund balance reserves and are not charged to expense until the year of expenditure. The effect of this change is a decrease in expenditures for the current fiscal year of $\$ 1,308,514$. The change has no effect on the unreserved
fund balance. fund balance.

Note 5. PRIOR YEAR BUDGETED EXPENDITURES
Current year expenditures for certain items had been budgeted in the 1978 fisca year without any reservation of the prior year-end fund balance. These expenditures were approximately $\$ 95,000$.

Note 6. FEDERAL INCOME TAXES
The Great Lakes Fishery Cormission is exempt from federal income taxes under Sec. 501(c) (1) of the Internal Revenue code.

## COMMITTEE MEMBERS - 1979

Commissioners in Italics SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE
F. E. J. Fry. Chm
F. W. H. Beamish
G. R. Francis
A. H. Lawrie (Convenor)
H. A. Regier
J. Watson

## CANADA

## SEA LAMPREY CONTROL AND RESEARCH

CANADA
F. E. J. Fr
J. J. Tibbles
UNITED STATES
W. M. Lawrence. Chm.
P. J. Manion

COUNCIL OF LAKE COMMITTEES

## CANADA

R. M. Christie. Chm.
L. Affleck
D. E. Gage
A. Holder

UNITED STATES
J. T. Addis
C. R. Burrows
W. James
N. E. Fogle
D. R. Graff
B. Muench
W. A. Pearce
R. Scholl
W. Shepherd
H. J. Vondett
A. Wright

LAKE COMMITTEES
LAKE HURON
R. M. Christie, Chm.
H. J. Vondett, V-Chm
LAKE ONTARIO
D. E. Gage, Chm.
W. A. Pearce. V-Chm.

LAKE MICHIGAN
H. J. Vondett, Chm
W. James, V-Chm
J. T. Addis
B. Muench

LAKE SUPERIOR
C. R. Burrows, Chm.
L. Affleck, V-Chm.
J. T. Addis
A. Wright

LAKE ERIE N. E. Fogle. Chm A. Holder. V-Chm
D. R. Graff
R. Scholl
W. Shepherd

GREAT LAKES FISH DISEASE CONTROL COMMITTEE
J. W. Warren, Chm
T. G. Carey, Secy.
D. Bumgarner
J. Byrne
J. B. Daily
V. Duter
P. Economen
D. Goldhwaite
R. H. Griffiths
J. R. Hammon
J. G. Hnath
R. W. Horner
G. E. Hudson
W. James
T. Johnson
C. Lakes
V. A. Mudrak J. O'Grodnick L. Pettijohn P. J. Pfister H. J. Sippel S. F. Snieszko
B. W. Souter


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lake trout $\times$ brook trout hybrid.
    ${ }^{2}$ Excludes small experimental splake plants by USFWS.
    ${ }^{3}$ Offshore plants.
    ${ }^{4}$ State plants-all other U.S. plants by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Atlantic salmon cross.
    ${ }^{2}$ Quebec strain.
    ${ }^{3}$ Swedish strain.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brown $\times$ brook trout hybrid.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brown $\times$ brook trout hybrid.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Twenty-two of these sea lampreys were marked and released in the main Manistique

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Boundaries are defined in "Fishery Statistical Districts of the Great Lakes," by S. H. Smith, H. J. Buettner, and R. Hile, Great Lakes Fishery Commission Technical Report No. 2, 1961. (In Lake Superior, $\mathbf{M}=$ Michigan and $M S=$ Minnesota; in Lake Michigan, MM $=$ Michigan and $W M=$ Wisconsin; and in Lake Huron, $M H=$ Michigan.) Lampreys were not collected from the fishermen in Lake Michigan districts MM-4, Illinois, or Indiana; or Lake Huron districts MH-2, MH-5, or MH-6.

[^6]:    (1) $\mathrm{S}=$ Scarce; $\mathrm{M}=$ Moderate; $\mathrm{A}=$ Abundant
    ( ) indicates number of transforming sea lamprey larvae collected

[^7]:    （1）Data include both treatments
    （2） $\mathrm{S}=$ Scarce； $\mathrm{M}=$ Moderate； $\mathrm{A}=$ Abundant

[^8]:    ( ) = Number of sea lamprey larvae undergoing adult transformation

[^9]:    ${ }^{4}$ Not determined.

